# Determining the AAC for The Weyerhaeuser Edson FMA Component\#1: Yield Projections 

## Forest Management Agreement Area FMA \#9700035

## Report Summary

Yield equations for the Weyerhaeuser Edson FMA were developed by stratifying TSP data (sample years 1996 to 1999) by broad inventory cover group (coniferous dominated versus deciduous dominated) and applying nonlinear volume estimation procedures to the data.

Processing program and version used: SAS 8.02
Plot and spatial data overlay: Each TSP was spatially linked to an Alberta Vegetation Inventory (AVI) polygon and a SiteLogix ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ecosite classification polygon.

Site Index: When possible, each sampled stand was assigned a site index value. To be eligible as for a site index measurement a tree could not be severely damaged and had to be either dominant or co-dominant with both a valid field measured height and age.

Height prediction equations: Localized species-specific coefficients were produced for height prediction from DBH using the Chapman-Richards functional form. These calculations were conducted for individual site productivity classes based on the plot level ecosite class and natural subregion. A minimum of 20 observations were required for a valid model. If valid coefficients could not be found, provincial coefficients were used.

Plot age calculations: Plot age was assigned using the following equation:
TSP sample year - AVI inventory origin year
Tree volume compilation: Coniferous volumes were compiled based on a whole tree system at a 15/11 utilization standard. Deciduous volumes were compiled based on a short wood harvesting system and a $15 / 10$ utilization standard. Both systems assume a 15 cm stump height. These are consistent with current mill standards.

Subjective deletions and cull: All plots located in stands with a composition of $80 \%+$ black spruce or $10 \%+$ larch composition were assumed to be unmerchantable and removed from any yield projections. Cull was not deducted during the yield analysis. It will be addressed as a proportional reduction applied to the recommended annual allowable cut level based on historical scaling data.

Merchantable total volume: In general, total stand yields were estimated as a function of coniferous/deciduous composition dominance, AVI crown closure, site index, site quality, and stand age.

Merchantable major species volume: In general, major species volume (i.e. coniferous volume from coniferous dominated stands) was estimated as a function of natural subregion, total volume, and coniferous composition.

Merchantable incidental volume: Incidental volume (i.e. deciduous volume from coniferous dominated stands) was estimated by simply subtracting merchantable major species volume from merchantable total volume.

Deciduous mortality reductions: Although TSP data to some extent already considers mortality (as dead trees do not contribute merchantable volume) an additional mortality constant was applied to deciduous volumes.

## Yield Strata:

In total 30 yield strata were used:

1. Coniferous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Good Site - "A" Crown Closure
2. Coniferous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Good Site - "B" Crown Closure
3. Coniferous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Good Site - "C" Crown Closure
4. Coniferous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Good Site - "D" Crown Closure
5. Coniferous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Medium Site - "A" Crown Closure
6. Coniferous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Medium Site - "B" Crown Closure
7. Coniferous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Medium Site - "C" Crown Closure
8. Coniferous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Medium Site - "D" Crown Closure
9. Coniferous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Poor Site - All Crown Closures
10. Coniferous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Good Site - "A" Crown Closure
11. Coniferous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Good Site - "B" Crown Closure
12. Coniferous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Good Site - "C" Crown Closure
13. Coniferous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Good Site - "D" Crown Closure
14. Coniferous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Medium Site - "A" Crown Closure
15. Coniferous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Medium Site - "B" Crown Closure
16. Coniferous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Medium Site - "C" Crown Closure
17. Coniferous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Medium Site - "D" Crown Closure
18. Coniferous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Poor Site - All Crown Closures
19. Coniferous dominated stands (Switch Stands Only) - Lower/Upper Foothills - Good Site - All Crown Closures*
20. Coniferous dominated stands (Switch Stands Only) - Lower/Upper Foothills - Medium Site - All Crown Closures*
21. Coniferous dominated stands (Switch Stands Only) - Lower/Upper Foothills - Poor Site - All Crown Closures*
22. Deciduous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Good Site - "A" Crown Closure
23. Deciduous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Good Site - "B" Crown Closure
24. Deciduous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Good Site - "C" Crown Closure
25. Deciduous dominated stands - Lower Foothills - Good Site - "D" Crown Closure
26. Deciduous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Good Site - "A" Crown Closure
27. Deciduous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Good Site - "B" Crown Closure
28. Deciduous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Good Site - "C" Crown Closure
29. Deciduous dominated stands - Upper Foothills - Good Site - "D" Crown Closure
30. Deciduous dominated stands - Lower/Upper Foothills - Poor Site - All Crown Closures**

Yield Curves - For this project the terms Yield Curve and Yield Strata are not synonymous. Each yield strata has 6 associated yield curves (except $*=1$ yield curve, ${ }^{* *}=2$ yield curves), all of which project the same total volumes. The 6 curves differ only in the relative coniferous/deciduous volume contribution, which is based on coniferous species composition. There are 111 yield curves for coniferous dominated stands and 50 yield curves for deciduous dominated stands for a total of 161 yield curves.

Area Weighted Projections: The 111 coniferous and 50 deciduous yield curves were weighted by estimated net harvestable area to produce four yield curves to represent yields from each broad cover group (C, CD, DC, and D). Yields are based on 15/11 coniferous utilization and 15/10 deciduous utilization.
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## 1 Introduction

Weyerhaeuser is committed to sound forest management and a thorough understanding of forest ecology. As part of this effort Weyerhaeuser has implemented sampling programs to measure various forest attributes including timber volume which provide vital information for yield projections. This document (in support of the Detailed Forest Management Plan) describes the method and final results of the Weyerhaeuser Edson FMA yield curve development process. This is the first component of a three part technical document that estimates the sustainable annual allowable cut AAC for the Weyerhaeuser Edson FMA.

Stand volume is a function of several factors, including some that are relatively easy to measure and some that are more difficult to define quantitatively. Easier to measure variables include site index, stand density, dominant species type, and age. Therefore, this report used the common approach where total volume was predicted as a function of site quality, AVI crown closure, coniferous or deciduous dominated stand type, and age.

Stochastic events (fire, significant insect infestations, or disease outbreaks) are more difficult to measure (and predict) but can also significantly impact stand volume. Except for large-scale fires, (which must be addressed through a post disturbance timber supply analysis) it was assumed that over the timber supply analysis planning horizon the average occurrence of stochastic events will remain the same. Therefore, the impact on current stand volume due to stochastic events that was expressed in the field collected data was assumed to be representative of the impact on future stand volume.

Obviously, management activities can also impact stand volume. However, the purpose of this report is to provide an estimate of volume in forest stands that have not experienced extensive management intervention. Therefore, as much as possible, plots were not placed in stands that had recent management intervention.

To summarize, the major objectives of this report are:

1. Explain the methods used to produce the Edson FMA yield functions.
2. Present the final Edson FMA yield functions and curves that will be used during the timber supply modeling process.

## 2 Methods

The supporting information used for constructing the yield curves was based to a large extent on documents written by Huang (see references - Section 5). The overall procedure was subdivided into six tasks. Six separate SAS (version 8.02) programs were written to address each individual task.

Table 2-1. Summary of six tasks (and associated SAS code) used to produce yield curves

| Task <br> Number | Task Description | SAS Program |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Prepare data (amalgamate and clean the temporary sample plot data) | 01mergetsp |
| 2 | Analyze site index relationships | 02 sil |
| 3 | Produce height/DBH functions | 03 ht_dbh |
| 4 | Compile plot volumes | 04 volume |
| 5 | Develop coniferous yield curves | 05total_yield_con |
| 6 | Develop deciduous yield curves | 06total_yield_dec |

Figure 2-1 provides a flowchart summary of the process. Each SAS program number indicates the order of execution (the program number is equal to the task number). In an attempt to assist the auditing process, each of the following sections addresses issues in close to the same order as presented in the programs.

| Input Data into 01mergetsp from outside data sources |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tree Level TSP Data | Plot Level TSP Data |
| v0006tree | v0006sitecomb |
| d0001tre | d0001sol |
| edvtree | edvsol |
| rawtree | rawhead |
| Plot Spatial Data | Updated Crown Class Calls |
| all_avi_site | new_cc |

## Input Data into 02si1 from Outside Data Source

Site Index Coefficients* Site Based Age Coefficients** si_coef
age_coef
Drayton Valley Stand Site Index Estimates***
drayton_si_stand


*     - Site index coefficients (Huang, Titus, and Klappstein 1997)
** - Site based age coefficients (Huang 1994)
*** - Drayton Valley data from concurrent Drayton Valley Yield
Analysis (J S Thrower)
$\dagger$ - Provincial Height/DBH and DIB to DOB coefficients (Huang 1994)

(\#) - Indicates the order of program execution
$\square$ - Input data file from an outside source (all these files have a .sas7bdat extension)

Figure 2-1. Schematic flowchart of overall yield curve production process

### 2.1 Overview of temporary sample plot raw data

A total of 3,221 temporary sample plots were measured from 1996 to 1999 (Table 2-2). The 1996, 1997, and 1999 cruises focused on sampling mostly merchantable stands, while the 1998 cruise sampled only low density stands (defined as having an 'A' density AVI crown closure).

The 1997 and 1999 cruises were completed entirely by Weyerhaeuser, while the 1998 low density cruise was completed by Alberta Lands and Forest Service. The 1996 data was obtained through a cooperative sampling program that included contributions from Weyerhaeuser, Blue Ridge Lumber, the Alberta government, and the Lobstick Loggers Association (for a summary of the TSP sampling protocol see Appendix 6.7).

Table 2-2. Summary of TSP programs from 1996 to 1999

| TSP Sampling <br> Year | TSP Program Code | Number of <br> Plots Sampled | Number of <br> Trees* Sampled |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1996 | Rawtree | 785 | 17,570 |
| 1997 | Edvsamp | 789 | 19,110 |
| 1998 | $d 0001$ | 231 | 2,899 |
| 1999 | $v 0006$ | 1,416 | 31,917 |
| Totals |  | $\mathbf{3 , 2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 , 4 9 6}$ |

*Includes both dead and living trees and 46 null plot place holders.

### 2.1.1 Raw data compatibility

To ensure that all four years of data were appropriately analyzed together, all sampling programs were evaluated for consistency. All trees measured during the TSP programs were assigned a qualitative description of tree vigor. However from 1996 to 1999 the standard used for tree vigor had changed. In 1997, 1998, and 1999 a specific measure called "tree condition code" was used, which was comprised of a detailed numbering system where specific qualitative tree characteristics were identified. Whereas, in 1996 (file rawtree) a relatively course variable called "cull suspect class" was used (see data library - appendix 6.1).

In the yield curve production process tree vigor descriptors were used primarily to identify severely damaged or dead trees. This designation was important as poor quality trees were not included in both the height/DBH and the site index (SI) model estimations (see respective sections for more detail). Although "cull class" and "tree condition code" were not fully compatible, it was assumed that both types of data
identified poor condition trees similarly (see section 2.2 .3 for a description of tree selection process). This option was considered superior to removing all 1996 data from the analysis.

Due to the more subjective nature of assigning tree vigor descriptors, it was recognized that these calls were not $100 \%$ clean. In 1997, 8 spruce trees were erroneously assigned a tree condition code of 91 (dwarf mistletoe - Arceuthobium sp.) which was presumed to be witch's broom (Chrysomyxa arctostaphyli Diet.) and treated as condition code 33 . Four other non-valid condition codes of $38,38,43$ and 46 were assigned. The original intent with these codes could not be determined and they were assumed to be not indicative of any severe (section 2.2.3) damage. Additionally, 1996 TSP data (rawtree file) had a single invalid value ("I") in the cull suspect class. This tree along with all other trees not assigned a cull suspect class were assumed to have a cull suspect class of " N " (non-suspect).

### 2.1.2 Stand transitions

Stand transitions were of little concern during this exercise as the only transition that is assumed is that harvested stands will regenerate as the same cover type at fully stocked status (as represented by the "C" crown closure fire origin yield projections).

### 2.2 Task 1: Data amalgamation and preparation

Program: 01Mergetsp.sas

Objective:

Amalgamate all TSP field tally data into two files, one listing individual plot measurements and another listing each individual tree measured. Both files undergo preliminary processing and are linked to AVI and SiteLogix ecosite data.

## Input Files:

```
v0006tree.sas7bdat - 1999 TSP individual tree data (31,917 records).
d0001tre.sas7bdat - 1998 TSP individual tree data (2,899 records).
edvtree.sas7bdat - }1997\mathrm{ TSP individual tree data (19,113 records).
rawtree.sas7bdat - 1996 TSP individual tree data (17,570 records).
```

v0006sitecomb.sas 7 bdat - 1999 TSP plot data ( 1,416 records).
d0001sol.sas $7 b d a t-1998$ TSP plot data ( 231 records).
edvsol.sas 7bdat - 1997 TSP plot data (789 records).
rawhead.sas $7 b d a t-1996$ TSP plot data ( 785 records).
all_avi_site.sas7bat - plot spatial data - includes SiteLogix and AVI attributes.
new_cc.sas 7 bat - new crown class calls.

### 2.2.1 Amalgamate plot level and tree level TSP data (Step 1)

The four years of TSP individual tree level data were amalgamated into one file (alltree.sas7bat). Each tree is uniquely identified by the fields: source, plotid, and tree. Likewise, all four years of plot level data were also amalgamated into one file (allplot.sas7bat) and then spatially merged to inventory and SiteLogix data. Each plot is uniquely identified by the fields: source and plotid.

## Reconciling TSP data to spatial data

The point location of each plot was spatially linked to an AVI and a SiteLogix polygon. The plot sample year (or sample year code name) and plot id were then used to link plot spatial data to TSP field tally data (Table 2-3).

Table 2-3. Summary of TSP plots and plots with spatial GIS location

| TSP Sampling <br> Year | Sample Year <br> Code Name | Number of <br> Digitally Entered <br> TSPs Sampled* | Number of GIS <br> TSP Point <br> Locations | Number of Valid <br> TSPs with GIS <br> point locations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1996 | Rawtree | 785 | 785 | 781 |
| 1997 | Edvsamp | 789 | 789 | 789 |
| 1998 | $d 0001$ | 231 | $232^{\dagger}$ | 231 |
| 1999 | $v 0006$ | 1,416 | 1,416 | 1,416 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{3 , 2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 1 7}$ |  |

*     - As indicated from the digitally entered raw tally sheet data.
$\dagger$ - The 1998 spatial data had one plot located in twp 51 , rge 14 , m 5, stand 610 - the raw tally sheets were checked and no plot was placed at this location. This plot was considered an erroneous entry and was ignored.

Of the 3,221 plots measured 3,217 could be linked to the representative spatial polygons. The only difficulty arose with eight plots sampled in 1996. Four plots (8A, 8B, 8C, and 175A) were not assigned a GIS point location. Normally, township, range and stand number could have been used to link these plots to the AVI stand attributes. However, this was not possible because the inventory stands were renumbered after the field data collection. Four additional plots (225C, 226A, 226B, and 226C) had a GIS plot location but no valid tally data. The raw tally sheets were examined and these plots were located in stands where recent harvesting (not reflected in the AVI stand call) had occurred. Therefore these stands were considered to be non-valid plots and had been correctly removed from the TSP digital file during the data entry stage.

### 2.2.2 Data cleaning (Step 2)

The TSP data had some invalid or missing crown class calls. Reconciling these records was important because only dominant and co-dominant trees were used for determining stand site index (SI). Invalid calls included one record which was assigned a crown class of ' N ' (1996 plot 9C, Tree 14). By comparing the DBH of this tree to others in the plot, it was determined that the tree was clearly not co-dominant or dominant. In total 6,092 trees did not have a crown class call and there were 22 "O" crown class (CC) calls (indicating "open grown") which appear to have been misapplied. For example, 1996 plot 111A had two trees assigned an "O" crown class designation; however this was not possible because there were a total of 14 trees that averaged approximately 20 m in a $160 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ plot. While having 6,114 trees with incorrect/missing calls may appear to be a problem, after removing severely damaged trees, dead trees, and trees without a breast height age, there were only 11 trees (potentially valid for estimating plot SI) that had either no CC
call or an ' O ' designation. These trees (Table 2-4) were individually checked and a crown class was assigned based on comparing height and diameters of the trees within the plot. When the crown class could not be determined, no call was made and these trees remained out of the SI analysis.

Table 2-4. Individual trees that had crown class manually checked (due to field being blank or an ' O ' value assigned)

| Case | TSP <br> Year | Twp | Rge | M | Stand | Plot | Tree | SP | DBH | HT | New CC* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1996 | 50 | 11 | 5 | 320 | 105 C | 13 | SB | 10.0 | 6.6 | D |
| 2 | 1996 | 51 | 10 | 5 | 912 | 157 A | 1 | PB | 61.7 | 28.5 | D |
| 3 | 1996 | 51 | 10 | 5 | 912 | 157 A | 2 | PB | 60.0 | 28.3 | D |
| 4 | 1997 | 51 | 18 | 5 | 262 | 182 | 21 | AW | 28.8 | 23.1 | D |
| 5 | 1996 | 52 | 10 | 5 | 691 | 183 B | 1 | SB | 25.0 | 16.6 | No call |
| 6 | 1996 | 52 | 10 | 5 | 691 | 183 B | 2 | SB | 19.9 | 13.3 | No call |
| 7 | 1998 | 52 | 12 | 5 | 640 | 1 | 5 | AW | 26.7 | 18.6 | No call |
| 8 | 1997 | 56 | 12 | 5 | 179 | 505 | 1 | AW | 20.5 | 16.5 | I |
| 9 | 1999 | 50 | 14 | 5 | 184 | 271 B | 19 | PL | 23.1 | 19.0 | C |
| 10 | 1997 | 56 | 13 | 5 | 182 | 551 | 1 | SW | 20.5 | 7.5 | No call |
| 11 | 1997 | 56 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 564 | 2 | AW | 31.1 | 23.1 | No call |

*The rationale for new CC calls is as follows:
Case 1 - The average height of dominant trees in the plot was 6.23 m .
Cases 2 and 3 - No tree in this block was assigned a CC call. However, they both had by far the largest measured DBH and Height values in the plot. No indications of harvest activity making "Veteran" status uncertain.
Case 4 - The average height of dominant trees in the plot was 22.9 m .
Cases 5, 6, 7 - Evidence was not strong enough to justify a new CC call.
Case 8 - The shortest tree on the block that was assigned a ' C ' was 18.4 m tall (over 2 m taller than the tree in case 8 ).
Case 9 - The measured co-dominants in the plot range from 16.7 m to 25.6 m .
Cases 10 and 11 - Evidence was not strong enough to justify a new CC call.

### 2.2.3 Assigning plots to landbase attributes (Step 3)

Assigning plots to landbase attributes such as ecosites, natural subregion, and AVI covertype call was required to begin the process of defining yield strata. The AVI attributes assigned to a plot were based upon the same logic used to define the net landbase (see Technical Report Component\#2 Landbase Allocations for more detail).

## Assigning AVI attributes

During the netdown process each stand within the FMA was assigned to a landbase category. The term landbase is an administrative assignment of the volume type (coniferous or deciduous) that a stand is to be primarily managed for. As landbase sometimes does not reflect a stand's expressed biological/ecological attributes, it is of no consequence during yield curve process. Instead the expressed attributes of the story of
primary management (defined as the story for which a stand is managed for) either overstory or understory were used to stratify the data and provide the variables for the yield functions.

The rules for determining the story of primary management (SoPM) differ by FMU. In most cases the SoPM of non-horizontal polygons was designated on the overstory. The following are the two exceptions:

1. Across the Edson FMA (including W6), stands were assigned to the understory AVI attributes when a polygon had a pure deciduous "A" crown closure overstory with an understory with a crown closure greater than " A ".
2. For W6 only, the understory AVI attributes were used when non-horizontal polygons had a pure deciduous overstory with a coniferous or mixedwood understory of "B", "C", or "D" crown closure.

When understory AVI attributes were used, the stand is referred to as a "Switch" stand.

## Author's note on using understory attributes in yield functions

Alberta SRD has traditionally insisted that the AVI attributes assigned to plots (and subsequently used in the yield functions) mirror the landbase netdown method. Thus, (as described above) the overstory AVI attributes must only be used for plots located in stands that are to be managed based on the overstory. While the understory attributes must be used for "Switch" stands. The yield curve development process in this report adheres to this traditional method.

In the author's opinion this traditional method violates some rules of sampling and statistics. Rather the yield curves should have been built based on overstory attributes only. The rationale is as follows:

- TSP volumes are very poorly represented by AVI understory attributes - As volume has a close relationship with tree size and overstory trees are obviously larger than understory trees, TSP volumes are best represented by the AVI overstory call. Even if a sound objective method of estimating understory volume only could be devised, this volume would not fairly represent the "release" that the understory trees would experience as the overstory trees die. Therefore, in "switch" stands the overstory is more closely tied to volume. The potential for bias is quite significant when estimating individual coniferous and deciduous volumes because "switch" stands typically have deciduous overstory with a coniferous understory. Therefore,
plots with predominately deciduous TSP volumes are assigned to coniferous understory AVI attributes.
- It is reasonable to assume that a significant number of non-switch stands were switch stands in the past - Therefore, "switch" stands do not require a unique category but are better estimated simply from non-switch stands.

The above caveat aside the author has agreed to develop the yield relationships under the traditional method.

## Horizontal Stands

Horizontal stands are defined in the Alberta Vegetation Inventory Standards Manual as "Stands...composed of numerous homogeneous stands within other distinctly different homogeneous stands, but both or each individual stand are too small to delineate...". Therefore, horizontal stands are processed somewhat differently than non-horizontal polygons. Although the different parts of a horizontal stand are located in the overstory and understory fields they are not to be understood as overstory and understory but rather separate "mini-stands" within the polygon. The following rules for delineating horizontal stands were used:

## Horizontal stands that had a valid forest covertype for both the overstory and understory fields:

a. if the overstory proportion of the stand was $50 \%$ or greater, the overstory was defined as the SoPM.
b. if the understory proportion of the stand was greater than $50 \%$, the understory was defined as the SoPM.

## Stands that had only one valid forest covertype:

a. if the overstory was the only valid forest covertype then the SoPM was defined as the overstory.
b. if the understory was the only valid forest covertype then the SoPM was defined as the understory.

## Stand level vegetation attributes (species composition, density, and age)

The coniferous and deciduous composition for the SoPM of each sampled stand was used to define if a plot was included in coniferous and/or deciduous yield projections (see section 2.6.1 for more detail).

Deciduous species - AW, BW, and PB

Coniferous species - SW, SB, PL, P, PJ, FB, FD, and LT

In addition, each plot was assigned a stand age by the following formula:
Total Stand Age $=$ Year TSP Sampled - AVI origin

## Stand natural subregion, ecosite and site quality assignment

The Edson FMA includes two natural sub-regions (NSR), the lower foothills and upper foothills. Each plot was assigned to an NSR as defined by the provincial natural subregion boundaries spatial coverage.

Site quality can be a strong determining factor of future yield. Each plot was assigned to an ecosite class by referencing a spatial data coverage developed for the Weyerhaeuser Edson FMA in July 2000 (called SiteLogix, Geographic Dynamics Corporation, 2000). SiteLogix ecosite classifications were based on the Field Guide to Ecosites of West-central Alberta (Beckingham et al. 1996). To maintain consistency, SiteLogix ecosite calls were used for all plots (field calls were ignored).

Based on ecosite, plots were assigned both a coniferous and deciduous site quality category of good, medium or poor. Some ecosite categories (for example C, D, and I) result in a different site quality ratings for both the coniferous or deciduous species types (Table 2-5). Site quality groupings were determined by comparing mean plot SI and confidence interval for each ecosite (see Appendix 6.3 and section 2.3.4). To strengthen these relationships both Edson and Drayton Valley FMA data were used. Final site categories were assigned by referencing both the boxplots and the Field Guide to Ecosites of West-central Alberta (Beckingham et al. 1996) as well as utilizing personal knowledge of the Edson FMA from a Weyerhaeuser professional forester with expertise in ecosite classification.

Table 2-5. Summary of assumed site quality for coniferous and deciduous stands by SiteLogix ecosite call

| Species Type | NSR | Site Quality | Ecosite Categories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coniferous | LF | Good | E, F |
|  |  | Medium | C, D, I |
|  |  | Poor | A, B, G, H, J, K, L, M, N |
|  | UF | Good | D, E, F |
|  |  | Medium | C, H, J |
|  |  | Poor | A, B, G, I, K, L, M, N |
| Deciduous | LF | Good | E, F, I |
|  |  | Medium |  |
|  |  | Poor | A, B, C, D, G, H, J, K, L, M, N |
|  | UF | Good | E, F |
|  |  | Medium | - |
|  |  | Poor | A, B, C, D, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N |

## Problem of different ecosites within a single stand

The Sitelogix coverage in the Edson FMA was raster based, which made for numerous slivers when overlaid with the AVI coverage. This made it possible to have 2 plots located within the same stand to be assigned to different Ecosite categories. Of the small number of times it occurred (12), $3 / 4$ of those instances differentiated between two ecosite categories of the same site quality (i.e. the difference between E and F , Table 2-5) and thus does not change the yield curve process. For the 3 remaining plots it was decided that the difference in ecosite within a stand accurately reflects the transitional nature of the stand and the calls must remain as is. While this issue makes for a less "clean" process, due to the very low number of plots affected, it has no real impact on the yield projections.

## Identify dead and severely damaged trees

Dead trees located within a plot were recorded however they did not contribute volume. Two methods were used to identify dead trees:

- a tree condition code of 25 or 26
or
- a species type of 'DC' or 'DD'.

Severely damaged trees are included in volume compilations however they were not permitted for estimating stand site index. Two methods were used to identify severely damaged trees:

- for the 1997,1998 , and 1999 sample years a $13,19,24,28,34$, or 35 tree condition code
or
- for the 1996 sample year an 'O' or ' $F$ ' cull suspect class.


## Removing plots located in unmerchantable stands

All plots located in stands that had $10 \%$ or greater overstory larch composition (based on the SoPM) and/or $80 \%$ or greater black spruce composition (based on the SoPM) were deemed unmerchantable and removed from the yield analysis. Plots located in areas removed from the net landbase for reasons other than merchantability were considered relevant for estimating the volume on other stands grouped within the same stratum and remained in the yield analysis. However, a follow-up analysis was performed to ensure no bias in this assumption (appendix 6.2.4).

### 2.2.4 Summary of results - plot netdown

The output files from the mergetsp.sas program were ecotree.sas7bdat (tree level data) and Allplot_avi.sas 7 bdat (plot level data). After removing all plots without a spatial location or located in unmerchantable stands, a total of 2,885 plots with 61,534 trees were used to construct the yield relationships (Table 2-7).

Table 2-6. Plot netdown summary

| Category | Number of Plots | Number of Trees |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Starting point - All digitally entered data | 3,221 | 71,496 |
| Plots without GIS spatial location | -4 | -46 |
| Plots in subjective deletion stands | -332 | $-9,871$ |
| Totals used to construct yield relationship | $\mathbf{2 , 8 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 , 5 7 9 *}$ |

*     - Number of sampled trees includes 45 place holders for null plots (1 null plot was located in a removed stand).

Table 2-7. Summary of net data by sample year

| TSP Sampling <br> Year | Sample Year <br> Code Name | Total Number <br> of Plots | Number of <br> Null Plots | Total Number of <br> Trees Sampled |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1996 | Rawtree | 684 | 6 | 14,312 |
| 1997 | Edvsamp | 698 | 7 | 16,302 |
| 1998 | d0001 | 230 | 9 | 2,890 |
| 1999 | v0006 | 1,273 | 23 | 28,075 |
| Totals |  | $\mathbf{2 , 8 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 , 5 7 9 *}$ |

*     - Number of sampled trees includes 45 place holders for null plots (1 null plot was located in a removed stand).


### 2.3 Task 2: Analyze site index relationships

Program: 02sil.sas

Objective:

Calculate coniferous and deciduous stand site index (50 year based).

Input Files:

Ecotree. sas7bdat- individual tree data - output from 01Mergetsp.sas.
age_coef.sas 7bdat- a listing of coefficients used to calculate stump age based on breast height age and site index (coefficients are stratified by natural subregion and species).
si_coef.sas 7bdat- a listing of coefficients used to calculate site index (coefficients are stratified by natural subregion and species).

### 2.3.1. Counting maximum number of required site trees in individual stands and identifying eligible site trees (Step 1)

Site index is a standard method of estimating the site quality where a stand is located. More specifically, it is the based on the total height attained by "site index trees" at a defined age (traditionally 50 years breast height in Alberta). A number of slightly different definitions have been used for site index trees. This report used the common definition of the largest dominant and co-dominant non-defect 100 trees per hectare (veterans were not included).

During yield estimation, stand level SI was used rather than individual plot level SI. The rationale being that plots in deciduous or low density stands often do not have a tree that can be successfully aged. Thus, overall this approach allows for more plots to be used to fit yield functions and should improve the estimates of volume on all strata and especially for deciduous and low density stands.

Ideally, enough site trees should have been sampled in each stand to meet the 100 largest trees per hectare criteria. For example if 5 plots of $160 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ were located in stand $A$, a maximum of 8 trees would be used to estimate SI in stand $A$. In high density stands there were sometimes an over abundance of eligible SI trees. Therefore, the largest trees (based on DBH) were selected in order until the 100 largest trees per hectare criteria was met. This was done to ensure that the site index values of high density stands were compatible to the SI estimate of lower density stands. If too many trees were used for a SI estimate it becomes a
measure of stand mean height growth rather the an estimate of site quality and therefore an estimate of expressed mean height growth which can be impacted by other factors such as competition, disease, insect, and climate. By selecting the largest trees the impact of confounding factors should be reduced. Alternatively, in low density stands there was often not enough SI trees to make the minimum 100 per ha requirement. When this occurred the SI estimate was based as normal on the fewer number of trees. This was considered a superior option to removing these stands.

Individual trees were considered valid for predicting SI if the following were true:

1. Tree had both a field-measured height and a breast height age count.
2. Tree was assigned to a natural subregion.
3. Tree was not dead or severely damaged.
4. Tree was assigned to either a dominant or co-dominant crown class.
5. Tree was not birch or larch.
6. Coniferous trees were not older than 180 years breast height.
7. Deciduous trees were not older than 150 years breast height.

When the above criteria were applied, there were a total of 5,917 valid SI trees.

### 2.3.2 Calculating individual tree SI and stump height age (Step 2)

The iterative process suggested by Huang et al. (1997) was used to calculate a site index value for each individual tree. The following SAS code was used:

```
si0=20;
do until(abs(si0-si1)<0.00000001);
xl=(1+b0*(si0-1.3)+exp(b1+b2*log(bhage+b3)+b4*log(bhage+b5)**2-log(si0-1.3)));
x2=(1+b0*(si0-1.3)+exp(b1+b2*log(50 +b3)+b4*\operatorname{log}(50 +b5)**2-log(si0-1.3)));
si1=1.3+(ht-1.3)*x1/x2;
si0=(si0+sil)/2;
end;
where:
si0, si1 = the site index values converged to estimate site index.
bhage \(=\) breast height age (years)
ht \(\quad=\) tree height ( m )
```

Tree stump age (at 30 cm ) was estimated based on breast height age and site index. The equation used was (Huang, 1994):
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{SI}$

## Equation 1

where:

| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $=$ Stump height age (years) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $=$ Breast height age (years) |
| SI | $=$ Site index |
| $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c | $=$ parameters to be estimated |

Total tree age was calculated by adding years to stump height to the stump height age (Table 2-8).

Table 2-8. Number of years to stump height by species

| Species | Years to <br> Stump Height (30cm) |
| :--- | :--- |
| AW | 1 |
| PB | 1 |
| PL | 5 |
| PJ | 5 |
| SW | 8 |
| SB | 8 |
| FB | 8 |
| FA | 8 |

### 2.3.3 Calculating and assigning stand site index (Step 3)

Ideally, SI should be estimated based on individual trees species. However, for simplicity only a general coniferous and deciduous SI were calculated for each stand (where possible) by averaging all coniferous (excluding larch) and all deciduous (excluding birch) tree species respectively.

- deciduous species group - trembling aspen and balsam poplar
- coniferous species group - white spruce, black spruce, balsam fir, alpine fir, lodgepole pine, and jack pine


### 2.3.4 Evaluation of ecosite versus SI (the feed-back loop - Step 4)

The Edson stand SI data was combined with the Drayton Valley stand SI data (an output from concurrent Drayton Valley yield curve process being completed by JS Thrower) to produce boxplots showing the mean, median, $25^{\text {th }}$ quartile, and $75^{\text {th }}$ quartile SI for each ecosites (Appendix 6.3). This information was used to validate the assumptions on site quality and used as a feed-back loop for the mergetsp.sas program (section 2.2.3). If there was an indication that the assignment of site quality classification (good, medium, poor) was incorrect the necessary changes were made to the mergetsp.sas program and it was re-run.

### 2.4 Tasks 3: Produce height/diameter function coefficients

Program: 03Ht_DBH.sas

Objective: Model height/DBH relationships stratified by species and site quality. This relationship was used to estimate height for trees that did not have a field measured height taken.

Input Files:
Ecotree.sas 7bdat - individual trees data - derived from 01Mergetsp.sas.
Prov_ht_dbh.sas7bdat - provincial height/DBH coefficients, as per Huang, 1994.
All_ht_coef.sas7bdat - a complete listing of all possible natural subregion, site quality and species combinations.

### 2.4.1 Identifying trees eligible for height/DBH regression analysis (Step 1)

The individual tree records with the following attributes were not included in a height/DBH relationship:

- either the height or the DBH measurement was missing
- there was no natural sub-region or species call assigned
- tree was dead or severely damaged (see section 2.2.3 for definition)

This protocol resulted in a total of 10,248 records for estimating the height/DBH coefficients.

### 2.4.2 Estimating coefficients through Richards-type non-linear regression analysis (Step 2)

All eligible tree records were stratified into groups based on natural subregion, site quality, and species. Huang (1994) suggests that a Richards-type non-linear model can be used to estimate total height from DBH measurements for major Alberta tree species. The following model was used:

$$
\mathrm{H}=1.3+\mathrm{a}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{bD}}\right)^{c}
$$

## Equation 2

where:
$\mathrm{H}=$ total tree height (m)
$\mathrm{D}=$ diameter a breast height outside bark (cm)
$\mathrm{e}=$ base of the natural logarithm
$\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}=$ parameters to be estimated

### 2.4.3 Output coefficients

Each stratum required a minimum of 20 observations for a valid height/DBH model to be constructed (Table 2-9). Otherwise, the provincial coefficients (by natural subregion) were assumed to be more trustworthy (Huang 1994). The graphical output of this data was displayed within the SAS program, however due to space the graphs are not presented in this report.

Table 2-9. Height to DBH coefficients output from regression analysis of stratum with 20 or more observations

| Nsr | Site Quality | Sp | Number of Observations | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | a | b | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LF | LFG | AW | 2215 | 0.6838 | 26.1324 | 0.0640 | 1.1487 |
| LF | LFG | BW | 572 | 0.4610 | 57.3119 | 0.0034 | 0.5094 |
| LF | LFG | FB | 113 | 0.8126 | 25.8726 | 0.0669 | 1.5756 |
| LF | LFG | PB | 1086 | 0.7403 | 25.8085 | 0.0559 | 1.1637 |
| LF | LFG | PL | 652 | 0.7205 | 26.5698 | 0.0659 | 1.3408 |
| LF | LFG | SB | 381 | 0.7426 | 24.9787 | 0.0579 | 1.3360 |
| LF | LFG | SW | 1230 | 0.8233 | 35.7343 | 0.0308 | 1.1695 |
| LF | LFM | PL | 1176 | 0.7316 | 25.3153 | 0.0666 | 1.3203 |
| LF | LFM | SB | 475 | 0.7224 | 55.9936 | 0.0108 | 0.8126 |
| LF | LFM | SW | 132 | 0.7802 | 32.1327 | 0.0453 | 1.3778 |
| LF | LFP | AW | 154 | 0.8372 | 28.4938 | 0.0470 | 1.0080 |
| LF | LFP | LT | 21 | 0.8599 | 29.7424 | 0.0361 | 1.0504 |
| LF | LFP | PL | 101 | 0.4036 | 19.0840 | 0.2028 | 8.9780 |
| LF | LFP | SB | 219 | 0.6879 | 18.4948 | 0.1209 | 2.1936 |
| LF | LFP | SW | 54 | 0.7241 | 26.0952 | 0.0556 | 1.4444 |
| UF | UFG | AW | 218 | 0.5364 | 25.2710 | 0.0640 | 1.2489 |
| UF | UFG | FB | 24 | 0.5373 | 19.9430 | 0.0626 | 1.2294 |
| UF | UFG | PB | 54 | 0.4868 | 25.5395 | 0.0478 | 1.0915 |
| UF | UFG | PL | 850 | 0.7146 | 26.4530 | 0.0703 | 1.5208 |
| UF | UFG | SB | 206 | 0.6528 | 22.9259 | 0.0550 | 1.0736 |
| UF | UFG | SW | 82 | 0.7186 | 29.3605 | 0.0413 | 1.2552 |
| UF | UFM | PL | 51 | 0.8161 | 23.3839 | 0.0772 | 1.4783 |
| UF | UFM | SB | 53 | 0.8243 | 20.0154 | 0.0932 | 1.5475 |

### 2.5 Task 4: Compile plot volumes

Program: 04volume.sas
Objective:

Use Huang's (1994) protocol to estimate the volume of each individual tree and compile into plot level estimates of $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ha volume.

## Input Files:

Prov_bark_coef.sas7bdat - provincial DIB to DOB coefficients, as per Huang, 1994.
Ecotree. sas 7 bdat- individual tree data - output from 01Mergetsp.sas.
Fin_ht_dbh.sas7bdat- height/DBH coefficients used in estimating tree heights - output from 03Ht_ $\bar{D} B H . s a s$.

Allplot_avi.sas $7 b d a t$ - plot data linked to spatial data - output from 01Mergetsp.sas.
All_SI_stand.sas $7 b d a t-$ stand SI estimates - output from 02SI.sas.

### 2.5.1 Merge coefficients to individual tree data (Step 1)

Provincial coefficients for taper, DBH/stump height diameter, DIB to DOB, and height/DBH were merged directly to individual tree records file (Ecotree.sas 7 bdat). The taper and DBH/stump height coefficients (Huang 1994) were entered directly in the volume.sas program file. The DIB to DOB, taper and DBH/stump height diameter coefficients (Huang 1994) were entered via SAS data sets.

### 2.5.2 Individual tree volume (Step 2)

## Estimating total tree height and stump height diameter

Calculating tree volume requires an estimate of total tree height and stump height diameter. Tree heights were estimated by equation 2 . If a tree had both a field-measured height and an equation-estimated height, the field-measured height took precedence. Tree volumes were estimated at a 15 cm stump height where the stump height diameter (inside bark) was estimated by using Kozak's variable-exponent taper equation (Equation 5) the results of which were fed into:
$\mathrm{DOB}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bDIB} \quad$ Equation 3
where:
$\mathrm{DOB}=$ diameter outside bark at any point on the stem(cm)
DIB = diameter inside bark at any point on the stem(cm)
$\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=$ parameters to be estimated

## Calculating coniferous and deciduous volumes

Coniferous volumes were calculated based on the whole tree method and deciduous volumes were calculated based on the shortwood method. In total 36,235 coniferous trees and 19,727 deciduous trees were considered valid for volume compilation (Table 2-10).

Table 2-10. Summary of individual coniferous and deciduous trees used in volume compilation

| Data used in coniferous and deciduous volume compilation | Number of <br> Valid Records |
| :--- | ---: |
| Input all TSP individual tree observations (Ecotree2.sas7bdat) | $\mathbf{6 1 , 5 7 9}$ |
|  |  |
| Coniferous volume compilation - tree must be a living coniferous tree <br> with a valid NSR and site call (i.e. (sptype='pine' or sptype='conif') and <br> site $\diamond$ 'XXX' and dead='N') | $\mathbf{3 6 , 2 3 5}$ |
| Deciduous volume compilation - tree must be a living deciduous tree <br> with a valid NSR and site call (i.e. sptype='decid' and site<>'XXX' and <br> dead $<$ 'N') | $\mathbf{1 9 , 7 2 7}$ |

*     - File included 45 null plot place holders as indicated by a "NO" species code.


## Utilization standard and calculating merchantable length

Merchantable coniferous tree volumes were calculated at $15 / 11$ utilization standard; whereas $15 / 10$ was used for deciduous trees. The merchantable length of both deciduous and coniferous trees was calculated using Kozak's variable taper equation (Equation 5) through the following iterative process:

```
g0=0.9;
    do until(abs(g0-g1)<0.00000001);
        c=b1*(g0)**2+b2*log(g0+0.001)+b3*SQRT(g0)+b4*exp(g0)+b5*(DBH/ht);
    g1=(1-((TOPDIAM /(a0*DBH**a1*a2**DBH))**(1/c))*(1-SQRT(0.225)))**2;
    g0=(g0+g1)/2;
    end;
```

where:
$\mathrm{g} 0 \quad=\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{ht}$ (essentially the Z variable from Kozak's variable taper equation)
TOPDIAM = top diameter limit for the utilization standard ( 11 cm for coniferous, and 10 cm for deciduous)
DBH = diameter at breast height outside bark (cm)
SQRT = square root
a0, a1, a2, b1, b2, b3, b4, b5 = taper coefficients

The final solved value for g 0 equals the location on the tree stem where diameter inside bark (DIB) is equal to the top diameter limit of the utilization standard. The following equation was then used to estimate the actual height off the ground of the top diameter limit:
$\mathrm{HI}=\mathrm{g} 0 * \mathrm{HT} \quad$ Equation 4
where;
HI = merchantable height
g0 = final solved g0 value from the iterative process
HT $=$ total tree height
Total merchantable length of the tree was calculated by simply subtracting the stump height $(0.15 \mathrm{~m})$ from the total height (merchantable length=HI-0.15).

## Sectioning coniferous trees (whole tree system)

The merchantable length of each coniferous tree was divided into 10 equal length sections (merchantable length/10). To aid in volume calculation, the DIB was estimated at the bottom, middle and top of each section. The first point of measurement was at stump height, then in total there were an additional 20 DIB measurements all equal distance apart (measurement distance $=$ total merchantable length $/ 20$ ).

## Sectioning deciduous trees (shortwood system)

Deciduous tree volume was calculated based on the shortwood system used in the Edson FMA. The total merchantable length of each individual deciduous tree was divided into 2.5654 m ( 101 inches) logs. The last segment was allowed to have some variability in length from 2.1336 m ( 84 inches) to 2.6924 m ( 106 inches). DIB was estimated at the bottom, middle, and top of each log.

## Calculating DIB

Using taper models can increase volume projection accuracy (Huang, 1994). Kozak's variable taper equation was used:

$$
\mathrm{DIB}=\mathrm{a}_{0} \mathrm{DBH}^{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \bullet \mathrm{a}_{2}^{\mathrm{DBH}} \bullet \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{Z}^{2}+\mathrm{b}_{2} \ln (\mathrm{Z}+0.001)+\mathrm{b}_{3} \sqrt{\mathrm{Z}}+\mathrm{b}_{4} e^{Z}+\mathrm{b}_{5}\left(\frac{D B H}{H}\right)}
$$

## Equation 5

where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { DIB } & =\text { diameter inside bark }(\mathrm{cm}) \text { at height } \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{~m}) \\
\text { DBH } & =\text { diameter at breast height outside bark }(\mathrm{cm}) \\
\text { H } & =\text { total tree height }(\mathrm{m}) \\
\mathrm{h} & =\text { height above ground that DIB is to be estimated at }(\mathrm{m}) \\
\mathrm{Z} & =\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{H}
\end{array}, \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X} & =\frac{1-\sqrt{\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{H}}}{1-\sqrt{\mathrm{p}}} \\
\mathrm{p} & =\text { location of the inflection point (assume to be } 0.225 \text { or } 22.5 \% \text { of total tree height) } \\
\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{3}, \mathrm{~b}_{4}, \mathrm{~b}_{5}=\text { parameters to be estimated }
\end{array}
$$

## Individual Tree Volume Compilation

Newton's equation was used to calculate the volume for each section by the following formula:
$\mathrm{VM}=\frac{\mathrm{ML} / 10}{6}(0.00007854) \cdot\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}+4 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}\right) \quad \quad$ Equation 6

| VM | $=$ Merchantable volume $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ML | $=$ Merchantable length $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $=$ diameter inside bark at the bottom of the section $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $=$ diameter inside bark at the middle of the section $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $=$ diameter inside bark at the middle of the section $(\mathrm{cm})$ |

Each section's volume was added together to obtain the merchantable volume for the entire tree.

### 2.5.3 Plot level compilation (Step 3)

Various plot level volumes were calculated by summing individual tree volumes. Volumes calculated include:

- total coniferous volume - $15 / 11$ utilization (all coniferous species - does not include LT)
- total coniferous + larch volume - $15 / 11$ utilization (all coniferous species - includes LT)
- total deciduous volume $-15 / 10$ utilization (all deciduous species)
- total spruce volume - $15 / 11$ utilization (defined as 'SW' and 'SB' species only)
- total fir volume - $15 / 11$ utilization (defined as ' FB ' and 'FA' species only)
- total pine volume - $15 / 11$ utilization (defined as 'PL' and 'PJ' species only)
- total larch volume - 15/11 utilization (defined as 'LT' species only)
- total aspen volume - $15 / 10$ utilization (defined as 'AW' species only)
- total balsam poplar volume - $15 / 10$ utilization (defined as 'PB' species only)
- total birch volume - $15 / 11$ utilization (defined as 'BW' species only)

Total plot volume was converted to volume per hectare by multiplying by $10,000 /$ plot size. Volume compilations were output to the SAS data file Allplot_vol.sas 7 dbat file. In addition, the relative volume each tree species contributes to coniferous and deciduous volumes were calculated and stored in the files Conpercent.sas7dbat, Swtpercent.sas7dbat and Decpercent.sas7dbat (see section 2.6.5).

### 2.6 Tasks 5 and 6: Coniferous and deciduous yield model development

Programs:
05total_yields_con.sas - evaluates coniferous yield form
06total_yields_dec.sas - evaluates deciduous yield form
Objective:
To produce the final yield projections for coniferous and deciduous dominated stands.
Input Files:
Allplot_vol.sas7bdat - Compiled plot volume data (output from 04Volume.sas).
Percent.sas 7 bdat - summary of relative contributions each species makes to total deciduous and coniferous volume (stratified by natural subregion and site quality).
con108.sas 7bdat - an exhaustive list of all 108 possible coniferous yield curves.
dec $48 . \operatorname{sas} 7$ bdat - an exhaustive list of all 48 possible deciduous good site yield curves.
dec2poor.sas 7 bdat - an exhaustive list of the 2 possible deciduous poor site yield curves.
switch1.sas 7 bdat - an exhaustive list of the 1 possible switch stand yield curve.
decmort.sas 7 bdat - proportion of deciduous volume to be retained from mortality as stand ages (Table 2-14).
yieldtemp.sas 7 bdat - an exhaustive list of all possible stands ages from 0 to 200 (by 5 year increments).

### 2.6.1 Selecting plots to be included in yield groups (Step 1)

Plot compiled volumes were placed in four yield groups.

1. Coniferous dominated stands (switch stands not included)
2. Deciduous dominated stands on good sites (includes both switch and non-switch stands)
3. Coniferous dominated switch stands on good sites
4. Stands with greater than $10 \%$ Deciduous composition on poor sites

One SAS program estimated coniferous stand yields (Yield Groups \#1 and \#3) and another SAS program was used to estimate deciduous dominated stand yields (Yield Groups \#2 and \#4) (Figure 2-2). The vast majority of the Edson FMA harvestable area is estimated within Yield Groups \#1 and \#2, both of which used a similar method of yield projection. The methods used for yield group \#3 and \#4 are discussed separately at the end of the section.

The procedure of grouping pine and white spruce species types into a single coniferous covertype may cause concern due to the different growth trajectories that pine and spruce follow. However, the impact this will have on the projected AAC should be minimal because the distribution of plots located in white spruce and pine leading species coniferous stands is in almost exactly the same proportion as the net landbase area (Plots - 72\% pine leading, 28\% white spruce leading versus Net landbase area - 71\% pine leading, 29\% white spruce leading).


Figure 2-2. Flowchart summary of fitting yield curves

The majority of the 2,885 valid plots sampled were used to estimate volumes for yield group \#1 and \#2 (Table 2-11). Due to the significant number of plots sampled the yield projections for groups 1 and 2 were primarily data driven (considered in association with biological/ecological theory). Plots with $40 \%, 50 \%$, or $60 \%$ coniferous stand composition were used in both the coniferous (non-switch stands) and deciduous good site models. This allowed for an increased range of data to assist volume predictions for mixedwood stands.

Due to a lack of plots, yield groups 3 and 4 had to incorporate assumptions based on educated "guesses". It was recognized that this was not an ideal situation, therefore the yield projections for groups 3 and 4 were carefully examined to ensure a reasonable result. Over $96 \%$ of the net landbase area is assigned to either yield group 1 or 2 therefore any concerns about the reliability of the yield projections for groups 3 and 4 should be assuaged as the impact on the projected AAC will be minimal.

Table 2-11. Number of plots used to estimate yields on coniferous and deciduous dominated stands

| Yield Group | Yield Model | Coniferous Composition | Number of plots by natural subregion |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower Foothills | Upper Foothills |  |
| 1 | Coniferous Switch stands not included | $70 \%, 80 \%, 90 \%$, or $100 \%$ | 920 | 303 |  |
|  |  | 40\%, $50 \%$, or $60 \%$ | 177 | 53 |  |
|  | Total | 40\% to 100\% | 1,097 | 356 | 1,453 |
| 2 | Deciduous Good Site Switch and Non-switch stands included | 0\%, 10\%, 20\%, 30\% | 1,143 | 91 |  |
|  |  | $40 \%, 50 \%$, or $60 \%$ | 220 | 53 |  |
|  | Totals | 0\% to 60\% | 1,363 | 144 | 1,507 |
| 3 | Coniferous switch stand | 50\% to 100\% | 125 | 5 | 130 |
| 4 | Deciduous Poor Site Switch and Non-switch stands included | $>10 \%$ Deciduous composition on Poor Sites only | *10 | 0 | 10 |

Note: 27 plots did not have an AVI cover type and could not be assigned

*     - Due to insufficient plots, 7 plots used for this relationship were also used in yield group 1 or 3.


### 2.6.2 Modeling yields for yield groups 1 and 2 (Step 2)

Total stand volume is frequently estimated as a function of site quality, density, and species composition (Husch et al. 2003). Similarly, total yield volumes for yield groups 1 and 2 (Table 2-11) were derived as:

Total Gross Volume $=f$ \{species dominance, site quality, site index, age, stand density $\}$

More specifically, total gross merchantable volume (15/11 utilization for coniferous trees, 15/10 utilization for deciduous trees) model was fit to the following non-linear model:

$$
\text { Total Volume }=\left(\mathrm{t} 0+\mathrm{t} 1 \bullet \mathrm{CC} \_\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{T} 5 \bullet \mathrm{CC} \_\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T} 6 \bullet \mathrm{CC} \_\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{t} 2 \bullet \mathrm{SSI}\right) \bullet \mathrm{SAGE} \bullet \exp (\mathrm{t} 4 \bullet \mathrm{SAGE})+\mathrm{t} 3
$$

Equation 7
where:

SSI - stand level site index
SAGE - AVI stand age (see section 2.2.3)
CC_B - Dummy variable used to identify stands assigned a "B" AVI crown closure
CC_C - Dummy variable used to identify stands assigned a "C" AVI crown closure
CC_D - Dummy variable used to identify stands assigned a "D" AVI crown closure
$\mathrm{t} 0, \mathrm{t} 1, \mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{t} 3, \mathrm{t} 4, \mathrm{t} 5$, and t 6 - Coefficients output from the modeling process (see Table 3-1 for the final values of these parameters).

Site index, plot age, and crown closure (CC_B, CC_C, and CC_D) were directly fit as independent variables within the total volume function. Species dominance and site quality were addressed as strata variables. Stratification by species dominance (or yield group) has already been discussed (Table 2-11). Yield group \#1 (Coniferous dominated (non-switch) stands) was further stratified into two site categories. Good and medium site plots were grouped separately from poor site plots (site quality as defined by Table 2-5) for the following three reasons: First, greater similarity was observed between good and medium sites (in terms of moisture and species type) than with poor sites. Second, the expressed form of the curve fit for good and medium sites was different from poor site curves (see appendix 6.2). Third, crown closure parameters were significant ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) predictors of total volume on good and medium sites, but were not significant for poor sites. For these reasons the crown closure parameters were dropped ( $\mathrm{t} 1=0, \mathrm{t} 5=0, \mathrm{t} 6=0$ ) from the function used to estimate total volume on coniferous dominated poor site stands. Equation 7 was modified to estimate total volume on poor site conifer dominated stands:

$$
\text { Total Volume }=(\mathrm{t} 0+\mathrm{t} 2 \bullet \mathrm{SSI}) \bullet \mathrm{SAGE} \bullet \exp (\mathrm{t} 4 \bullet \mathrm{SAGE})+\mathrm{t} 3
$$

## Equation 8

Coniferous and deciduous SI measurements were not obtained in all sampled stands (due to rot or lack of qualifying trees). For coniferous yields (yield group 1, Table 2-11) 109 plots did not have a valid coniferous SI measurement and for deciduous dominated stands on good sites 252 plots did not have a valid deciduous SI. To ensure no bias, these plots could not simply be dropped from the process but rather an SI value was assigned to those plots equal to the mean SI value by site quality classification (Table 2-5).

Using site index directly in Equation 7 (or Equation 8) recognizes the inherent range of variability of site quality within each stratum. Theoretically, it should be possible to estimate the volume of individual stands
with more accuracy by applying a stand-specific SI value to Equation 7 rather than using the "mean" curve for a given strata. However, as SI was not estimated for each stand in the inventory, mean SI (calculated by natural subregion and site quality classification (Table 2-12)) was used to produce the final yield curves.

There are 30 total volume yield strata for the Edson FMA (Table 2-12). Over 95\% of the Edson FMA net harvestable land area is located in the lower foothills, thus significantly more plots were located in the Lower Foothills.

Table 2-12. Number plots used for each total yield stratum

| Stratum <br> Number | Yield Group Number and Description | NSR | Site | Mean SI | CC | Net Area (ha)* | Number of Plots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 <br> Coniferous Switch Stands Not included | LF | G | 16.2 | A | 13,289 | 109 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | B | 10,410 | 113 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | C | 37,846 | 277 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | D | 5,615 | 38 |
| 5 |  |  | M | 14.7 | A | 4,502 | 44 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | B | 8,500 | 92 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | C | 31,937 | 242 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | D | 8,642 | 85 |
| 9 |  |  | P | 12.1 | A to D | 11,556 | 97 |
| 10 |  | UF | G | 16.2 | A | 914 | 24 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | B | 2,000 | 50 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | C | 8,805 | 199 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | D | 2,409 | 47 |
| 14 |  |  | M | 14.5 | A | 606 | 18 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | B | 118 | 3 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | C | 608 | 10 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  | D | 86 | 2 |
| 18 |  |  | P | 11.1 | A to D | 13,289 | 3 |
| Coniferous Non-Switch Stand Totals |  |  |  |  |  | 147,997 | 1,453 |
| 19 | Coniferous Switch Stands | LF/UF | G | NA | A to D | 9,607 | 130 |
| 20 |  |  | M | NA | A to D | 196 | $0^{\dagger}$ |
| 21 |  |  | P | NA | A to D | 81 | $0^{\dagger}$ |
| Coniferou | Totals |  |  |  |  | 9,884 | 130 |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Good Site } \\ \text { Switch and Non- } \\ \text { switch stands } \end{gathered}$ | LF | G | 17.7 | A | 7,631 | 109 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | B | 19,276 | 259 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | C | 75,217 | 828 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | D | 14,089 | 167 |
| 5 |  | UF | G | 17.1 | A | 422 | 12 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | B | 1,010 | 28 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | C | 3,361 | 101 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | D | 374 | 3 |
| Deciduous Good Site Non-Switch and Switch Stand Totals |  |  |  |  |  | 123,381 | 1,507 |
| 9 | $4$ <br> Deciduous <br> Poor Site <br> Switch and Non- | LF/UF | P | NA | A to D | 852 | $10 \ddagger$ |


| Stratum <br> Number | Yield Group <br> Number and <br> Description | NSR | Site | Mean SI | CC | Net Area (ha)* | Number of <br> Plots |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | switch stands |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deciduous Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* The areas presented are close approximations. In instance of conflict, values presented in technical report Component \#2: Landbase Allocations take precedence.
$\dagger$ - Coniferous Yield Strata 20 and 21 were not directly estimated through plot data but with an adjustment factor see section 2.6.8.
$\ddagger$ - Deciduous Yield Strata 9 includes 7 coniferous poor site plots. Additionally, three poor site deciduous plots were dropped because they unrealistically inflated projected volumes.


### 2.6.3 Determining major species volume - Yield Groups 1 and 2 (Step 3)

"Major species group" was defined as the species for which a stand is primarily managed (i.e. coniferous trees from coniferous dominated stands and deciduous trees from deciduous dominated stands). Proper strategic management necessitates a reasonable estimate of major species volume. The following general model was used:

Major species gross merchantable volume $=f$ \{natural sub-region, percentage coniferous, plot age, and total gross merchantable volume\}

The 1,453 coniferous plots and 1,507 deciduous plots were stratified by natural subregion (Table 2-11) and both sets of plots were used to fit the following function:

## Major species merchantable volume $=(\mathrm{c} 0+\mathrm{c} 1 \bullet \mathrm{PC}) \bullet$ TOTVOLFM

## Equation 9

where:
PC - percent coniferous composition
TOTVOLFM - Total gross merchantable volume (field measured)
c 0 , and c 1 - Coefficients output from the modeling process (see Table 3-1 for the final values of these parameters)

This equation simply estimates major species volume as a proportion of total volume based upon the percentage of coniferous composition (Figure 6-27).

### 2.6.4 Determining incidental volumes

Incidental volumes were estimated by the following simple formula:
Incidental volume $=$ Total Volume - Major species volume $($ as per Equation 9)

### 2.6.5 Calculating volumes by species type and preparing data for output (Step 4)

The contribution of individual trees species (coniferous and deciduous) was estimated by assuming each species would contribute the same proportion to the projected volume as the plot observed volumes. For both coniferous and deciduous dominated stands, volumes were compiled by natural subregion and site (Table 2-13). The contribution percentages were then applied against the respective estimated coniferous or deciduous volumes to predict stand volume by species.

For the most part, the Edson FMA deciduous volume was dominated by trembling aspen (Populus tremuloides Michx.) the only exception was on coniferous dominated stands on medium/poor sites in the upper foothills where balsam poplar (Populus balsamifera L.) provided the majority of volume. The majority of the coniferous volume was split between lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta Doug. ex. Loud. var. latifolia Engelm.) and both black and white spruce (Picea mariana (Mill.) BSP and Picea glauca (Moench) Voss). Lodgepole pine was more prevalent on good sites in the upper foothills and medium sites in the lower foothills, whereas spruce species were more likely to dominate good sites in the lower foothills and poor sites in general.

Table 2-13. Percentage contribution of merchantable volume by species, natural subregion and site quality for deciduous and non-switch coniferous stands

| Stand <br> Species <br> Dominance | NSR and Site | Deciduous (15/10 utilization) |  |  |  | Coniferous (15/11 utilization) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Aspen | Balsam <br> :Poplar | White Birch | Totals | Pine | Spruce |  | Totals |
| Coniferous (Switch stands not included) | LFG | 68\% | 29\% | 3\% | 100\% | 41\% | 57\% | 2\% | 100\% |
|  | LFM | 79\% | 20\% | 1\% | 100\% | 71\% | 29\% | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | LFP | 84\% | 11\% | 5\% | 100\% | 44\% | 56\% | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | UFG | 83\% | 15\%! | 1\% | 100\% | 82\% | 16\% | 2\% | 100\% |
|  | UFM | 25\% | 74\%! | 1\% | 100\% | 50\% | 50\% | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | UFP | 25\%* | 74\%* | 1\%* | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Deciduous | LFG | 64\% | 32\% | 4\% | 100\% | 28\% | 70\% | 2\% | 100\% |
|  | LFP/UFP | 52\% | 48\% | 0\% | 100\% | 92\% | 6\% | 2\% | 100\% |
|  | UFG | 70\% | 29\% | 1\% | 100\% | 56\% | 38\% | 6\% | 100\% |

Note: Due to a lack of data the deciduous species volume proportions for UFP coniferous dominated stands were assumed to be equal to UFM.

### 2.6.6 Mortality in deciduous volumes

When field sampled TSPs are used to estimate yield, losses due to mortality are reflected because dead trees are removed from volume compilations. However, deciduous mortality typically accelerates as stands age. Due to a lack of plots in the older age classes (few plots greater than 140 years) it is possible the empirical yield curves under-represent the mortality loss to deciduous volumes. Therefore, an age-based mortality constant (Huang 1999) was applied to the deciduous volumes in an attempt to more fully capture this loss (Figure 2-3). Deciduous volumes were reduced by an estimated percentage volume loss due to mortality (Table 2-14, Figure 2-3). Volume reduction due to mortality is applied to deciduous species only, therefore the more deciduous volume predicted in a stand the greater the decrease in projected total volume projected as a stand ages.

Table 2-14. Estimated rate of deciduous volume retention due to mortality

| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Stand } \\ \text { (yrs) } \end{array} & \text { Age } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { to } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 105 | 110 | 115 | 120 | 125 | 130 | 135 | 140 | 145 | 150 | 155 | 160 | 165 | 170 | 175 | 180+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deciduous <br> Retention <br> Rate | 1.000 | 0.980 | 0.941 | 0.884 | 0.814 | 0.732 | 0.644 | 0.544 | 0.465 | 0.382 | 0.305 | 0.238 | 0.181 | 0.134 | 0.096 | 0.068 | 0.046 |



Figure 2-3. Estimated rate of deciduous volume retention versus stand age

After applying the mortality constants it might be expected that deciduous volumes will be more accurate. However, losses in volume due to mortality are to some extent offset by ingrowth (often of coniferous species) and growth release (of surviving trees) (Husch et al. 2003). Currently, no information is available
to reliably estimate the rate of ingrowth and release. As these potential volume variables are not included it must be acknowledged that after applying the mortality constant, it is quite possible that total volumes at older ages (especially $125+$ years) are underestimated.

### 2.6.7 Verifying yields and bias check (Step 4)

Yield projections were verified versus field observed plots (See Appendix 6.2).

### 2.6.8 Yields from coniferous "switch" stands - yield group 3 (Step 5)

Volumes for coniferous switch stands (yield group 3-Table 2-12) were estimated as a separate coniferous strata. There were sufficient plots (130) to develop a yield relationship for good site coniferous stands but not for medium and poor sites.

## Total Volume Coniferous Switch Stands $=\mathrm{t} 0 \bullet \mathrm{SAGE} \bullet \exp (\mathrm{t} 4 \bullet \mathrm{SAGE})+\mathrm{t} 3$

Equation 10
Total volumes from medium and poor site switch stands were estimated by developing a site conversion factor by comparing the following yield group \#1 yield curves (see section 3.1 below):

1. Yield Curve 18 - Good site, Lower Foothills, C crown closure, $100 \%$ coniferous composition
2. Yield Curve 42 - Medium site, Lower Foothills, C crown closure, $100 \%$ coniferous composition
3. Yield Curve 54 - Poor site, Lower Foothills, All crown closures, $100 \%$ coniferous composition Lower Foothills yield curves were used to develop the relationships because all but 5 switch stand plots occurred in the lower foothills. Likewise, stands with a crown closure of "C" were also sampled with the greatest frequency and provided the strongest set of data to develop the relationship. Yield curves with $100 \%$ coniferous composition were used because they show the truest relative difference to volume caused by site (the least impacted by the deciduous mortality constant). The index was developed to reflect the changes as a stand ages (Table 2-15) and a conversion factor was calculated for every 5 year age class from 0 to 200 years.

Table 2-15 Estimate of relative volume compared to Good site projections for coniferous switch stands

| Age | Relative difference in volume compared to Good site |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Good Site | Medium Site | Poor Site |
| 50 | $100 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 100 | $100 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| 150 | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $65 \%$ |

For switch stands there was no relationship between the AVI coniferous composition and the coniferous volume. The major species volume was estimated by removing the C1 parameter in Equation 9:

## Major species merchantable volume $=\mathrm{c} 0 \bullet$ TOTVOLFM

Equation 11

Individual species volumes were then estimated using Table 2-16.

Table 2-16. Percentage contribution of merchantable volume by species, natural subregion and site quality for deciduous and non-switch coniferous stands

| Stand | NSR and Site | Deciduous (15/10 utilization) |  |  |  | Coniferous (15/11 utilization) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species <br> Dominance |  | Aspen | Balsam Poplar | White Birch | Totals | Pine | Spruce | Fir | Totals |
| Coniferous <br> (Switch <br> Stands only) | All | 72\% | 25\% | 3\% | 100\% | 20\% | 75\% | 5\% | 100\% |

### 2.6.9 Yields from deciduous dominated stands on "Poor" sites - yield group 4 (Step 5)

In the Edson FMA, merchantable deciduous stands do not occur across as wide a band of ecosites as do coniferous stands. Therefore, deciduous stands were grouped into "good" and "poor" ecosite categories only (Table 2-5). The vast majority of deciduous merchantable stands were located on "good" sites (Table 2-12). In fact, deciduous stands on "poor" sites will almost always be considered non-merchantable and removed from the harvestable land area. However, due to multiple operators in the Edson FMA, stands can be assigned to a "landbase" which does not necessarily correspond to the BCG. This makes it possible for a stand to be identified as coniferous landbase but have a deciduous BCG which will require a poor site deciduous yield curve to estimate volume.

As seen above, constructing "good" site deciduous curves was a relatively straight forward data-driven process. However "poor" site deciduous curves were difficult to construct as there were only 6 deciduous "poor" site plots (in 2 stands) sampled. Three of those plots (all sampled in 1997 and located in one stand plot numbers 235,236 , and 237) were considered outliers and removed from the analysis as they had volumes uncharacteristic of a poor site $\left(326,385\right.$, and $\left.330 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}\right)$. In an attempt to construct a reasonable relationship for poor site deciduous curves, poor site coniferous plots that had at least $20 \%$ deciduous composition were added to the relationship. This resulted in a total of 10 plots ( 7 coniferous stands and 3 deciduous stands). The modest numbers of coniferous poor site plots added to the data ensure that the model was still strongly influenced by the deciduous plots. It would be correct to argue that 10 points is
too few to fit a yield curve, however this is such a small area ( 850 ha ) that it is not vital that the curve be statistically valid but rather that the results are theoretically reasonable (as deciduous yield curves \#49 and \#50 suggest) (section 3.2). The total volume equation used for deciduous dominated stands on poor sites was similar to the equation used for poor site coniferous stands (Equation 8), the only difference being SI was not used in the equation.

Deciduous Dominated Stands on Poor Sites Total Volume $=\mathrm{t} 0 \bullet \mathrm{SAGE} \bullet \exp (\mathrm{t} 4 \bullet \mathrm{SAGE})+\mathrm{t} 3$

## Equation 12

It was not possible to produce a valid major species volume estimate for this yield curve. Therefore, a simple method was used where major species volume was estimated based on BCG. All deciduous dominated mixedwood stands were assumed to have a 60/40 deciduous to coniferous volume split and similarly all pure deciduous stands were assumed to have a $90 / 10$ deciduous to coniferous volume split. Species volumes and deciduous mortality were calculated as sections 2.6.5 and 2.6.5 describe above.

### 2.6.10 Cull deductions

Cull deductions will be applied as a percentage reduction to the final AAC volume.

### 2.6.11 Application of yield curves to the landbase

See technical document \#2 Landbase Assignment provides a explanation as to how the yield curves were assigned to individual stands.

## 3 Results and Discussion

## Yield groups \#1 and \#2

Three total volume models were fit for yield groups \#1 and \#2 (Table 3-1):

1. Coniferous dominated stands on good and medium sites
2. Coniferous dominated stands on poor sites
3. Deciduous dominated stands on good sites

The results were similar for all three models. As crown closure was not a significant indicator parameter of merchantable volume on poor sites, the t , t 5 , and t 6 coefficients were not fit for Equation 8. However, crown closure showed a significant (at $95 \%$ confidence) positive relationship with total volume for the good/medium site coniferous model and the good site deciduous model. Plots with an "A" crown closure predicted the lowest total volume, followed by "B", then "D" and finally "C" (which predicted the largest total volume). This relationship was (for the most part) expected. A reasonable response as to why "C" crown closure plots indicate a greater total volume than those located in "D" stands is to suggest that some "D" crown closure stands were over-stocked and thus show slightly less merchantable volume than "C" crown closure stands (Smith 1962). Site index was also shown to be a significant explanatory variable (t2) with all three models showing an increase in SI resulting in an increase in predicted volume.

The $t 0$ and t 4 parameters show that age significantly impacts volume. All three models also had similar results with t 0 showing a significant positive relationship and t 4 showing a significant negative with total volume. This indicates as a stand ages total volume increases, however late-mature to over-mature stands experience increasing downward pressure on stand volume (t4 parameter) perhaps due to stagnation and/or mortality. This relationship between stand age and total volume is somewhat expected, however the loss of volume at the older ages in coniferous dominated stands appears to be more rapid than what would be anticipated. For example, coniferous yield curve number 18 (see section 3.1) losses over $50 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ from age 150 to 200 years, this results in a $22 \%$ loss in stand coniferous volume in that time period. This decrease might be caused by having only 2 plots present in coniferous stands greater than 160 years old, meaning that the older age yields are based on extrapolation. While this potential weakness must be recognized, there is no direct empirical evidence to adjust the yield projections (therefore none was applied). This potential short coming of the yield projections can be addressed in future forest management plans by ensuring that a greater number of plots are placed in stands 150 years and greater. Other than the above potential problem, the estimates for total volume are reasonable from a statistical and biological stand point.

When both coniferous and deciduous major species volumes were modeled (Table 3-2-models 4 to 7) all parameters were significant. In all four models there was a positive relationship between total volume and major species volume. Additionally, as expected, an increased coniferous composition was shown to significantly increase the coniferous volume in coniferous dominated stands and to significantly decrease the deciduous volume in deciduous dominated stands.

## Yield groups \#3

The model for estimating total volume from good site coniferous dominated switch stands had no significant parameters (Table 3-3). However, the results were comparable to the volumes predicted from good, medium and poor sites from yield groups \#1 and \#2. These yield predictions will be applied to a relatively small area, about $3.5 \%$ of the entire net harvestable landbase. Therefore, these projections can be used with some caution. Interestingly, the model for predicting coniferous volume as a function of total volume was shown to be significant at $95 \%$ confidence (Table 3-4).

## Yield groups \#4

The model for deciduous dominated stands on poor sites was not significant for any of the parameters (Table 3-5). However, the results are what would be expected theoretically and the area these yield projections will be applied to is small ( 850 ha ). Therefore, it is expected that these yield projections can be used with some caution but with the understanding that there will be little impact on the final AAC.

| Model <br> Type | N | E\# | Major Species | Natural Subregion | Site Quality | Function | t0 | t1 | t2 | t3 | t4 | t5 | t6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Volume | 1 | 7 | Coniferous (switch stands not included) | LF/UF | Good \& Medium | TGMVOL | 8.4197 | 1.1564 | 0.2873 | -428.6 | -0.00756 | 1.4477 | 1.3094 |
|  | 2 | 8 |  |  | Poor |  | 4.1953 | NA | 0.1891 | -252.7 | -0.00543 | NA | NA |
|  | 3 | 7 | Deciduous | LF/UF | Good | TGMVOL | 2.7648 | 0.9312 | 0.0649 | -83.7085 | -0.00463 | 1.4910 | 1.4214 |

Note: Bolding indicates parameter is significant at $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence
N - Model number
TGMVOL $=\left(\mathrm{t} 0+\mathrm{t} 1 \bullet \mathrm{CC} \_\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{t} 5 \bullet \mathrm{CC} \_\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{t} 6 \bullet \mathrm{CC} \_\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{t} 2 \bullet \mathrm{SSI}\right) \bullet \mathrm{SAGE} \bullet \exp (\mathrm{t} 4 \bullet \mathrm{SAGE})+\mathrm{t} 3$
where:
TGMVOL - Total Gross Merchantable Volume
CC_B - Dummy variable signifying "B" crown closure
CC_C - Dummy variable signifying "C" crown closure
CC_D - Dummy variable signifying "D" crown closure
SSI - Stand Site Index (Conifer SI used when major species = conifer, Deciduous SI used when major species = deciduous) SAGE - AVI stand age
Table 3-2. Summary of major species volume model (Yield Group \#1 and \#2) coefficients for Edson DFMP yield curves

| Model <br> Type | N | E\# | Major Species | Natural Subregion | Site Quality | Function | c0 | c1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coniferous | 4 | 9 | Coniferous | LF | ALL | CGMVOL | 0.0162 | 0.0860 |
| Volume | $\stackrel{7}{5}$ | 9 | Coniferous | UF |  |  | 0.2833 | 0.0639 |
| Deciduous | 6 | 9 | Deciduous | LF | ALL | DGMVOL | 0.8878 | -0.0721 |
| Volume | 7 | 9 | Deciduous | UF |  |  | 0.9351 | -0.1057 |
| Note: Bolding indicates parameter is significant at $95 \%$ confidence <br> N - Model Number <br> E\# - Equation number <br> CGMVOL $=(\mathrm{c} 0+\mathrm{c} 1 \bullet$ PC $) \bullet$ TGMVOLFM <br> DGMVOL $=(\mathrm{c} 0+\mathrm{c} 1 \bullet$ PC $) \bullet$ TGMVOLFM <br> where: <br> CGMVOL - Gross Coniferous Merchantable Volume <br> DGMVOL - Deciduous Merchantable Volume <br> PC - Stand percentage coniferous composition (from AVI) <br> TGMVOLFM - Field Measured Total Gross Merchantable Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3-3 Summary of total volume model (Yield Group \#3) coefficients for Edson DFMP yield curves

| (ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N - Model number
E\# - Equation number
TVOLSWT $=\mathrm{t} 0 \bullet$-SAGE $\bullet \exp (\mathrm{t} 4 \bullet$ SAGE $)+\mathrm{t} 3$
where:
where:
TVOLSWT - Total Gross Merchantable Volume (Switch Stands)
SAGE - AVI stand age
Table 3-4 Summary of major species model (Yield Group \#3) coefficients for Edson DFMP yield curves

N - Model number
E\# - Equation number
CONVOLSWT $=\mathrm{c} 0 \bullet$ TOTVOLFM
where:
TVOLSWT - Total Gross Merchantable Volume (Switch Stands)
SAGE - AVI stand age
TGMVOLFM - Field Measured Total Gross Merchantable Volume
Table 3-5. Summary of total yield model (Yield Group \#4) and major species model coefficients for poor site deciduous dominated stands Edson DFMP yield curves

| Model <br> Type | N | E\# | Major <br> Species | Natural <br> Subregion | Site <br> Quality | Function | t0 | $\mathbf{t 3}$ | $\mathbf{t 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total <br> Volume | 10 | 12 | Deciduous | LF/UF | Poor | TVOLPD | 17.6016 | -639.9 | -0.00831 | | Note: None of the parameters were significant at $95 \%$ confidence. |
| :--- |
| N - Model Number |
| TVOLPD $=$ t $0 \bullet$ SAGE $\bullet \exp (\mathrm{t} 4 \bullet$ SAGE $)+\mathrm{t} 3$ | | where: |
| :--- |
| SAGE - AVI stand age |

### 3.1 Coniferous Yield Curves ( $\mathbf{1 5}$ / 11 Utilization and $\mathbf{1 5 c m}$ Stump Height)

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) | Deciduous <br> Volume (15/10) (m3/ha) | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 19 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.2 |
| 60 | 70 | 1.2 | 31 | 0.5 | 39 | 0.6 |
| 70 | 110 | 1.6 | 49 | 0.7 | 61 | 0.9 |
| 80 | 143 | 1.8 | 64 | 0.8 | 79 | 1.0 |
| 90 | 167 | 1.9 | 75 | 0.8 | 93 | 1.0 |
| 100 | 185 | 1.9 | 83 | 0.8 | 103 | 1.0 |
| 110 | 191 | 1.7 | 88 | 0.8 | 103 | 0.9 |
| 120 | 184 | 1.5 | 91 | 0.8 | 92 | 0.8 |
| 130 | 167 | 1.3 | 93 | 0.7 | 74 | 0.6 |
| 140 | 145 | 1.0 | 92 | 0.7 | 53 | 0.4 |
| 150 | 125 | 0.8 | 90 | 0.6 | 34 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 107 | 0.7 | 87 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 93 | 0.5 | 83 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 83 | 0.5 | 78 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 76 | 0.4 | 72 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 70 | 0.3 | 66 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=A$ \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=1


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=2

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFG $\propto C=A \% C o n=6$ Yield Curve $\#=2$


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=3

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

## Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves

NSR \& Site $=$ LFG $\propto C=A \% C o n=7$ Yield Curve $\#=3$


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=4

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Dociduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> MAI |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& site= LFG $O C=A \% C o n=8$ Yield Curve \#=4


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=5

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 19 | 0.4 | 15 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.1 |
| 60 | 70 | 1.2 | 55 | 0.9 | 15 | 0.2 |
| 70 | 110 | 1.6 | 87 | 1.2 | 23 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 143 | 1.8 | 113 | 1.4 | 30 | 0.4 |
| 90 | 167 | 1.9 | 132 | 1.5 | 35 | 0.4 |
| 100 | 185 | 1.9 | 146 | 1.5 | 39 | 0.4 |
| 110 | 195 | 1.8 | 156 | 1.4 | 39 | 0.4 |
| 120 | 197 | 1.6 | 162 | 1.3 | 35 | 0.3 |
| 130 | 192 | 1.5 | 164 | 1.3 | 28 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 183 | 1.3 | 163 | 1.2 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 173 | 1.2 | 160 | 1.1 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 162 | 1.0 | 154 | 1.0 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 151 | 0.9 | 147 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 140 | 0.8 | 138 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 130 | 0.7 | 128 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 118 | 0.6 | 117 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& site= LFG $O C=A \% C o n=9$ Yield Curve \#=5


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=6
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site=LFG $\propto C=A \% C o n=10$ Yield Curve \#=6


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=7

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 59 | 1.2 | 26 | 0.5 | 33 | 0.7 |
| 60 | 114 | 1.9 | 51 | 0.8 | 63 | 1.1 |
| 70 | 158 | 2.3 | 71 | 1.0 | 88 | 1.3 |
| 80 | 193 | 2.4 | 86 | 1.1 | 107 | 1.3 |
| 90 | 220 | 2.4 | 98 | 1.1 | 122 | 1.4 |
| 100 | 240 | 2.4 | 107 | 1.1 | 133 | 1.3 |
| 110 | 245 | 2.2 | 113 | 1.0 | 132 | 1.2 |
| 120 | 234 | 1.9 | 116 | 1.0 | 118 | 1.0 |
| 130 | 212 | 1.6 | 118 | 0.9 | 94 | 0.7 |
| 140 | 185 | 1.3 | 117 | 0.8 | 68 | 0.5 |
| 150 | 159 | 1.1 | 115 | 0.8 | 44 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 137 | 0.9 | 112 | 0.7 | 25 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 120 | 0.7 | 107 | 0.6 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 108 | 0.6 | 102 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 101 | 0.5 | 96 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 94 | 0.5 | 89 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=7


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=8

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10)\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array}
$$ <br>

10 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 20 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=8


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=9

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=9


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=10
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#= 10


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=11
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\text { years })\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} \\
10 & 0 & 0.0 & 0 & 0.0 & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 30 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& site= LFG $O C=B \%$ Con= 9 Yield Curve \#=11


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=12

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (y3 | Total <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site=LFG $C C=B \%$ Con= 10 Yield Curve $\#=12$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=13
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=C \%$ Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=13$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=14
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=C \%$ Con=6 6 Yield Curve $\#=14$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=15

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 69 | 1.4 | 43 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.5 |
| 60 | 125 | 2.1 | 77 | 1.3 | 48 | 0.8 |
| 70 | 170 | 2.4 | 105 | 1.5 | 65 | 0.9 |
| 80 | 206 | 2.6 | 127 | 1.6 | 79 | 1.0 |
| 90 | 233 | 2.6 | 144 | 1.6 | 89 | 1.0 |
| 100 | 253 | 2.5 | 157 | 1.6 | 97 | 1.0 |
| 110 | 261 | 2.4 | 165 | 1.5 | 96 | 0.9 |
| 120 | 255 | 2.1 | 170 | 1.4 | 85 | 0.7 |
| 130 | 240 | 1.8 | 172 | 1.3 | 68 | 0.5 |
| 140 | 220 | 1.6 | 171 | 1.2 | 49 | 0.4 |
| 150 | 200 | 1.3 | 168 | 1.1 | 32 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 182 | 1.1 | 164 | 1.0 | 18 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 167 | 1.0 | 157 | 0.9 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 154 | 0.9 | 150 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 145 | 0.8 | 141 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 135 | 0.7 | 131 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFG $\propto C=C \%$ Con= 7 Yield Curve \#= 15


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=16

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 69 | 1.4 | 48 | 1.0 | 20 | 0.4 |
| 60 | 125 | 2.1 | 88 | 1.5 | 37 | 0.6 |
| 70 | 170 | 2.4 | 120 | 1.7 | 50 | 0.7 |
| 80 | 206 | 2.6 | 145 | 1.8 | 61 | 0.8 |
| 90 | 233 | 2.6 | 164 | 1.8 | 69 | 0.8 |
| 100 | 253 | 2.5 | 178 | 1.8 | 75 | 0.7 |
| 110 | 262 | 2.4 | 188 | 1.7 | 74 | 0.7 |
| 120 | 260 | 2.2 | 194 | 1.6 | 66 | 0.6 |
| 130 | 249 | 1.9 | 196 | 1.5 | 53 | 0.4 |
| 140 | 233 | 1.7 | 195 | 1.4 | 38 | 0.3 |
| 150 | 216 | 1.4 | 192 | 1.3 | 25 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 201 | 1.3 | 186 | 1.2 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 186 | 1.1 | 179 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 174 | 1.0 | 170 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 163 | 0.9 | 160 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 152 | 0.8 | 149 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=C \%$ Con= 8 Yield Curve $\#=16$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C $\%$ \% Con=9 Yield Curve \#=17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=C \%$ Con= 9 Yield Curve \#=17


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=18

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 69 | 1.4 | 60 | 1.2 | 9 | 0.2 |
| 60 | 125 | 2.1 | 109 | 1.8 | 15 | 0.3 |
| 70 | 170 | 2.4 | 149 | 2.1 | 21 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 206 | 2.6 | 180 | 2.3 | 26 | 0.3 |
| 90 | 233 | 2.6 | 204 | 2.3 | 29 | 0.3 |
| 100 | 253 | 2.5 | 222 | 2.2 | 31 | 0.3 |
| 110 | 265 | 2.4 | 234 | 2.1 | 31 | 0.3 |
| 120 | 268 | 2.2 | 241 | 2.0 | 28 | 0.2 |
| 130 | 266 | 2.0 | 244 | 1.9 | 22 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 259 | 1.8 | 243 | 1.7 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 249 | 1.7 | 239 | 1.6 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 238 | 1.5 | 232 | 1.4 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 226 | 1.3 | 223 | 1.3 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 213 | 1.2 | 212 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 201 | 1.1 | 199 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 187 | 0.9 | 186 | 0.9 | 0.0 |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& site= LFG $C C=C \% C O n=10$ Yield Curve \#=18


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=19
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\text { years })\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10)\end{array} \\
10 & 0 & 0.0 & 0 & 0.0 & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array}
$$ <br>
20 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 <br>

(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 30 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=D \%$ Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=19$


|  | NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D $\%$ \% Con=6 Yield Curve \#=20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=D \%$ Con=6 Yield Curve \#= 20


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=21

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 64 | 1.3 | 40 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 120 | 2.0 | 74 | 1.2 | 24 | 0.5 |
| 70 | 164 | 2.3 | 102 | 1.5 | 46 | 0.8 |
| 80 | 200 | 2.5 | 124 | 1.5 | 63 | 0.9 |
| 90 | 227 | 2.5 | 140 | 1.6 | 76 | 1.0 |
| 100 | 247 | 2.5 | 153 | 1.5 | 87 | 1.0 |
| 110 | 254 | 2.3 | 161 | 1.5 | 94 | 0.9 |
| 120 | 249 | 2.1 | 166 | 1.4 | 94 | 0.9 |
| 130 | 234 | 1.8 | 168 | 1.3 | 83 | 0.7 |
| 140 | 215 | 1.5 | 167 | 1.2 | 67 | 0.5 |
| 150 | 195 | 1.3 | 164 | 1.1 | 48 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 177 | 1.1 | 160 | 1.0 | 31 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 162 | 1.0 | 153 | 0.9 | 18 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 150 | 0.8 | 146 | 0.8 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 190 | 141 | 0.7 | 137 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 131 | 0.7 | 127 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFG $\propto C=D \%$ Con=7 Yield Curve \#=21


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=22
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=D \%$ Con= 8 Yield Curve $\#=22$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=23

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Dociduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> MAI |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=D \%$ Con= 9 Yield Curve \#= 23


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=24

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 64 | 1.3 | 56 | 1.1 | 8 | 0.2 |
| 60 | 120 | 2.0 | 105 | 1.7 | 15 | 0.2 |
| 70 | 164 | 2.3 | 144 | 2.1 | 20 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 200 | 2.5 | 175 | 2.2 | 25 | 0.3 |
| 90 | 227 | 2.5 | 199 | 2.2 | 28 | 0.3 |
| 100 | 247 | 2.5 | 216 | 2.2 | 31 | 0.3 |
| 110 | 258 | 2.3 | 228 | 2.1 | 30 | 0.3 |
| 120 | 262 | 2.2 | 235 | 2.0 | 27 | 0.2 |
| 130 | 259 | 2.0 | 238 | 1.8 | 22 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 252 | 1.8 | 237 | 1.7 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 243 | 1.6 | 233 | 1.6 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 232 | 1.4 | 226 | 1.4 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 220 | 1.3 | 217 | 1.3 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 208 | 1.2 | 206 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 195 | 1.0 | 194 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 181 | 0.9 | 180 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site = LFG $O C=D$ \%Con= 10 Yield Curve \#= 24


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=A \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=25

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ | Deciduous <br> MAI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | $0 . \mathrm{ma})$ | $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 5 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 54 | 0.9 | 24 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.1 |
| 70 | 93 | 1.3 | 42 | 0.6 | 30 | 0.5 |
| 80 | 124 | 1.6 | 55 | 0.7 | 52 | 0.7 |
| 90 | 148 | 1.6 | 66 | 0.7 | 69 | 0.9 |
| 100 | 166 | 1.7 | 74 | 0.7 | 82 | 0.9 |
| 110 | 172 | 1.6 | 79 | 0.7 | 92 | 0.9 |
| 120 | 165 | 1.4 | 82 | 0.7 | 92 | 0.8 |
| 130 | 150 | 1.2 | 84 | 0.6 | 83 | 0.7 |
| 140 | 131 | 0.9 | 83 | 0.6 | 67 | 0.5 |
| 150 | 112 | 0.7 | 81 | 0.5 | 48 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 96 | 0.6 | 78 | 0.5 | 31 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 83 | 0.5 | 74 | 0.4 | 18 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 73 | 0.4 | 69 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 190 | 68 | 0.4 | 64 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 61 | 0.3 | 58 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFM $O C=A \% C o n=5$ Yield Curve \#= 25


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=A \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=26
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFM $O C=A \% C o n=6$ Yield Curve \#=26


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=LFM CC=A $\%$ \% Con=7 Yield Curve \#=27 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFM $O C=A \% C o n=7$ Yield Curve \#=27


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=A \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=28

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Dociduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> MAI |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFM $O C=A \% C o n=8$ Yield Curve $\#=28$


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=A \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=29
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFM $\propto C=A \% C o n=9$ Yield Curve \#= 29


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=A \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=30

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 5 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 54 | 0.9 | 47 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.1 |
| 70 | 93 | 1.3 | 82 | 1.2 | 12 | 0.2 |
| 80 | 124 | 1.6 | 109 | 1.4 | 15 | 0.2 |
| 90 | 148 | 1.6 | 130 | 1.4 | 18 | 0.2 |
| 100 | 166 | 1.7 | 145 | 1.5 | 21 | 0.2 |
| 110 | 176 | 1.6 | 155 | 1.4 | 21 | 0.2 |
| 120 | 180 | 1.5 | 162 | 1.3 | 19 | 0.2 |
| 130 | 179 | 1.4 | 164 | 1.3 | 15 | 0.1 |
| 140 | 174 | 1.2 | 163 | 1.2 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 167 | 1.1 | 160 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 160 | 158 | 1.0 | 154 | 1.0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 148 | 0.9 | 146 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 137 | 0.8 | 136 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 126 | 0.7 | 126 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |
| 200 | 114 | 0.6 | 114 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR $\&$ Site $=\mathrm{LFM} \propto C=\mathrm{A} \%$ Con=10 Yield Curve $\#=30$


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=B \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=31

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 45 | 0.9 | 20 | 0.4 | 25 | 0.5 |
| 60 | 98 | 1.6 | 44 | 0.7 | 54 | 0.9 |
| 70 | 141 | 2.0 | 63 | 0.9 | 78 | 1.1 |
| 80 | 175 | 2.2 | 78 | 1.0 | 97 | 1.2 |
| 90 | 201 | 2.2 | 90 | 1.0 | 111 | 1.2 |
| 100 | 220 | 2.2 | 98 | 1.0 | 122 | 1.2 |
| 110 | 225 | 2.0 | 104 | 0.9 | 121 | 1.1 |
| 120 | 216 | 1.8 | 107 | 0.9 | 108 | 0.9 |
| 130 | 195 | 1.5 | 109 | 0.8 | 87 | 0.7 |
| 140 | 171 | 1.2 | 108 | 0.8 | 62 | 0.4 |
| 150 | 146 | 1.0 | 106 | 0.7 | 40 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 126 | 0.8 | 103 | 0.6 | 23 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 110 | 0.6 | 99 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 99 | 0.5 | 93 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 92 | 0.5 | 87 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 85 | 0.4 | 81 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFM $\propto C=B \%$ Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=31$


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=B \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=32
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= LFM $O C=B \%$ Con=6 Yield Curve \#=32


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=B \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=33
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= LFM $O C=B \%$ Con=7 Yield Curve \#= 33


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=B \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=34
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFM $O C=B \%$ Con= 8 Yield Curve \#= 34


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=B \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=35
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= LFM $O C=B \%$ Con=9 Yield Curve \#= 35


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=B \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=36
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFM $\propto=B \%$ Con $=10$ Yield Curve $\#=36$


|  |  |  | Site=LFM CC | \%Con=5 | d Curve \#=37 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 55 | 1.1 | 24 | 0.5 | 30 | 0.6 |
| 60 | 109 | 1.8 | 49 | 0.8 | 60 | 1.0 |
| 70 | 153 | 2.2 | 68 | 1.0 | 85 | 1.2 |
| 80 | 188 | 2.3 | 84 | 1.0 | 104 | 1.3 |
| 90 | 214 | 2.4 | 96 | 1.1 | 119 | 1.3 |
| 100 | 234 | 2.3 | 104 | 1.0 | 129 | 1.3 |
| 110 | 239 | 2.2 | 110 | 1.0 | 129 | 1.2 |
| 120 | 228 | 1.9 | 114 | 0.9 | 115 | 1.0 |
| 130 | 207 | 1.6 | 115 | 0.9 | 92 | 0.7 |
| 140 | 181 | 1.3 | 114 | 0.8 | 66 | 0.5 |
| 150 | 155 | 1.0 | 112 | 0.7 | 43 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 134 | 0.8 | 109 | 0.7 | 25 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 117 | 0.7 | 105 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 105 | 0.6 | 99 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 98 | 0.5 | 93 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 91 | 0.5 | 86 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFM $O C=C \%$ Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=37$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | NSR \& Site=LFM CC=C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= $F F M \propto C=C \% C o n=6$ Yield Curve $\#=38$


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=C \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=39

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha)})$ |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFM $\propto C=C \% C o n=7$ Yield Curve $\#=39$


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=C \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=40

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 55 | 1.1 | 38 | 0.8 | 16 | 0.3 |
| 60 | 109 | 1.8 | 77 | 1.3 | 32 | 0.5 |
| 70 | 153 | 2.2 | 108 | 1.5 | 45 | 0.6 |
| 80 | 188 | 2.3 | 132 | 1.7 | 56 | 0.7 |
| 90 | 214 | 2.4 | 151 | 1.7 | 63 | 0.7 |
| 100 | 234 | 2.3 | 164 | 1.6 | 69 | 0.7 |
| 110 | 242 | 2.2 | 174 | 1.6 | 69 | 0.6 |
| 120 | 241 | 2.0 | 179 | 1.5 | 61 | 0.5 |
| 130 | 231 | 1.8 | 181 | 1.4 | 49 | 0.4 |
| 140 | 216 | 1.5 | 181 | 1.3 | 35 | 0.3 |
| 150 | 200 | 1.3 | 178 | 1.2 | 23 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 185 | 1.2 | 172 | 1.1 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 172 | 1.0 | 165 | 1.0 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 160 | 0.9 | 157 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 150 | 0.8 | 147 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 139 | 0.7 | 136 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFM $O C=C \%$ Con= 8 Yield Curve $\#=40$


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=C \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=41

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 55 | 1.1 | 43 | 0.9 | 11 | 0.2 |
| 60 | 109 | 1.8 | 86 | 1.4 | 23 | 0.4 |
| 70 | 153 | 2.2 | 121 | 1.7 | 32 | 0.5 |
| 80 | 188 | 2.3 | 148 | 1.9 | 39 | 0.5 |
| 90 | 214 | 2.4 | 169 | 1.9 | 45 | 0.5 |
| 100 | 234 | 2.3 | 185 | 1.8 | 49 | 0.5 |
| 110 | 244 | 2.2 | 195 | 1.8 | 49 | 0.4 |
| 120 | 245 | 2.0 | 201 | 1.7 | 43 | 0.4 |
| 130 | 238 | 1.8 | 204 | 1.6 | 35 | 0.3 |
| 140 | 228 | 1.6 | 203 | 1.4 | 25 | 0.2 |
| 150 | 215 | 1.4 | 199 | 1.3 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 203 | 1.3 | 193 | 1.2 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 190 | 1.1 | 185 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 178 | 1.0 | 176 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 167 | 0.9 | 165 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 155 | 0.8 | 153 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=LFM CC=C \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=41


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=C \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=42

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 55 | 1.1 | 48 | 1.0 | 7 | 0.1 |
| 60 | 109 | 1.8 | 95 | 1.6 | 13 | 0.2 |
| 70 | 153 | 2.2 | 134 | 1.9 | 19 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 188 | 2.3 | 164 | 2.1 | 23 | 0.3 |
| 90 | 214 | 2.4 | 188 | 2.1 | 27 | 0.3 |
| 100 | 234 | 2.3 | 205 | 2.0 | 29 | 0.3 |
| 110 | 245 | 2.2 | 216 | 2.0 | 29 | 0.3 |
| 120 | 249 | 2.1 | 223 | 1.9 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 130 | 246 | 1.9 | 226 | 1.7 | 21 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 240 | 1.7 | 225 | 1.6 | 15 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 230 | 1.5 | 221 | 1.5 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 220 | 1.4 | 214 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 208 | 1.2 | 206 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 196 | 1.1 | 195 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 184 | 1.0 | 183 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 171 | 0.9 | 170 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=\mathrm{LFM} \propto C=C \% C o n=10$ Yield Curve $\#=42$


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=43
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=43


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=44
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=44


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=45
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=45


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=46

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 50 | 1.0 | 35 | 0.7 | 15 | 0.3 |
| 60 | 104 | 1.7 | 73 | 1.2 | 31 | 0.5 |
| 70 | 147 | 2.1 | 104 | 1.5 | 44 | 0.6 |
| 80 | 182 | 2.3 | 128 | 1.6 | 54 | 0.7 |
| 90 | 208 | 2.3 | 146 | 1.6 | 62 | 0.7 |
| 100 | 227 | 2.3 | 160 | 1.6 | 67 | 0.7 |
| 110 | 236 | 2.1 | 169 | 1.5 | 67 | 0.6 |
| 120 | 234 | 2.0 | 175 | 1.5 | 60 | 0.5 |
| 130 | 224 | 1.7 | 177 | 1.4 | 48 | 0.4 |
| 140 | 210 | 1.5 | 176 | 1.3 | 34 | 0.2 |
| 150 | 195 | 1.3 | 173 | 1.2 | 22 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 180 | 1.1 | 168 | 1.0 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 167 | 1.0 | 161 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 155 | 0.9 | 152 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 145 | 0.8 | 143 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 134 | 0.7 | 132 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=46


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D $\%$ Con=9 Yield Curve \#=47 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=47


NSR \& Site=LFM CC=D \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=48

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous Volume (15/10) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 50 | 1.0 | 44 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.1 |
| 60 | 104 | 1.7 | 91 | 1.5 | 13 | 0.2 |
| 70 | 147 | 2.1 | 129 | 1.8 | 18 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 182 | 2.3 | 159 | 2.0 | 22 | 0.3 |
| 90 | 208 | 2.3 | 182 | 2.0 | 26 | 0.3 |
| 100 | 227 | 2.3 | 199 | 2.0 | 28 | 0.3 |
| 110 | 238 | 2.2 | 210 | 1.9 | 28 | 0.3 |
| 120 | 242 | 2.0 | 217 | 1.8 | 25 | 0.2 |
| 130 | 240 | 1.8 | 220 | 1.7 | 20 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 233 | 1.7 | 219 | 1.6 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 224 | 1.5 | 215 | 1.4 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 214 | 1.3 | 209 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 203 | 1.2 | 200 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 191 | 1.1 | 189 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 179 | 0.9 | 177 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 165 | 0.8 | 164 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFM $\propto C=D$ \%Con= 10 Yield Curve \#=48


NSR \& Site=LFP CC=X \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=49
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& site = LFP $O C=x$ \%Con= 5 Yield Curve \#=49


NSR \& Site=LFP CC=X \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=50

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha)})$ |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFP $\propto C=x \%$ Con=6 Yield Curve $\#=50$


NSR \& Site=LFP CC=X \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=51

| Stand Age (years) |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 28 | 0.5 | 17 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.2 |
| 70 | 57 | 0.8 | 36 | 0.5 | 22 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 83 | 1.0 | 51 | 0.6 | 32 | 0.4 |
| 90 | 105 | 1.2 | 65 | 0.7 | 40 | 0.4 |
| 100 | 124 | 1.2 | 77 | 0.8 | 47 | 0.5 |
| 110 | 136 | 1.2 | 86 | 0.8 | 50 | 0.5 |
| 120 | 142 | 1.2 | 94 | 0.8 | 47 | 0.4 |
| 130 | 141 | 1.1 | 101 | 0.8 | 40 | 0.3 |
| 140 | 137 | 1.0 | 106 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.2 |
| 150 | 131 | 0.9 | 110 | 0.7 | 21 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 125 | 0.8 | 113 | 0.7 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 121 | 0.7 | 114 | 0.7 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 118 | 0.7 | 115 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 118 | 0.6 | 115 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 118 | 0.6 | 114 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFP $\propto C=x$ \%Con= 7 Yield Curve \#=51


NSR \& Site=LFP CC=X \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=52

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous Volume (15/10) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 28 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.1 |
| 70 | 57 | 0.8 | 40 | 0.6 | 17 | 0.2 |
| 80 | 83 | 1.0 | 58 | 0.7 | 25 | 0.3 |
| 90 | 105 | 1.2 | 74 | 0.8 | 31 | 0.3 |
| 100 | 124 | 1.2 | 87 | 0.9 | 37 | 0.4 |
| 110 | 137 | 1.2 | 98 | 0.9 | 39 | 0.4 |
| 120 | 144 | 1.2 | 107 | 0.9 | 37 | 0.3 |
| 130 | 146 | 1.1 | 115 | 0.9 | 31 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 144 | 1.0 | 121 | 0.9 | 24 | 0.2 |
| 150 | 141 | 0.9 | 125 | 0.8 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 138 | 0.9 | 128 | 0.8 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 136 | 0.8 | 130 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 134 | 0.7 | 131 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 134 | 0.7 | 131 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 133 | 0.7 | 130 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& site $=$ LFP $O C=x \%$ Con= 8 Yield Curve \#=52


NSR \& Site=LFP CC=X \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=53

| Stand Age (years) |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 28 | 0.5 | 22 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.1 |
| 70 | 57 | 0.8 | 45 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.2 |
| 80 | 83 | 1.0 | 66 | 0.8 | 17 | 0.2 |
| 90 | 105 | 1.2 | 83 | 0.9 | 22 | 0.2 |
| 100 | 124 | 1.2 | 98 | 1.0 | 26 | 0.3 |
| 110 | 138 | 1.3 | 110 | 1.0 | 28 | 0.3 |
| 120 | 147 | 1.2 | 121 | 1.0 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 130 | 151 | 1.2 | 129 | 1.0 | 22 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 152 | 1.1 | 136 | 1.0 | 17 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 152 | 1.0 | 141 | 0.9 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 151 | 0.9 | 144 | 0.9 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 150 | 0.9 | 146 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 149 | 0.8 | 147 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 149 | 0.8 | 147 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 148 | 0.7 | 146 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ LFP $O C=X \%$ Con $=9$ Yield Curve \#=53


NSR \& Site=LFP CC=X \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=54
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= LFP CC=X \%Con= 10 Yield Curve \#=54


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=55
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFG $\propto C=A \% C O n=5$ Yield Curve $\#=55$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=56

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 20 | 0.4 | 13 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.1 |
| 60 | 70 | 1.2 | 47 | 0.8 | 23 | 0.4 |
| 70 | 111 | 1.6 | 74 | 1.1 | 37 | 0.5 |
| 80 | 143 | 1.8 | 95 | 1.2 | 48 | 0.6 |
| 90 | 168 | 1.9 | 112 | 1.2 | 56 | 0.6 |
| 100 | 186 | 1.9 | 124 | 1.2 | 62 | 0.6 |
| 110 | 194 | 1.8 | 132 | 1.2 | 62 | 0.6 |
| 120 | 192 | 1.6 | 137 | 1.1 | 56 | 0.5 |
| 130 | 183 | 1.4 | 139 | 1.1 | 45 | 0.3 |
| 140 | 170 | 1.2 | 138 | 1.0 | 32 | 0.2 |
| 150 | 156 | 1.0 | 135 | 0.9 | 21 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 143 | 0.9 | 131 | 0.8 | 12 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 131 | 0.8 | 125 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 120 | 0.7 | 117 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 111 | 0.6 | 108 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 101 | 0.5 | 99 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=A \% C o n=6$ Yield Curve $\#=56$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=57

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | Coniferous $\begin{array}{r} \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 20 | 0.4 | 14 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.1 |
| 60 | 70 | 1.2 | 51 | 0.9 | 19 | 0.3 |
| 70 | 111 | 1.6 | 81 | 1.2 | 30 | 0.4 |
| 80 | 143 | 1.8 | 105 | 1.3 | 38 | 0.5 |
| 90 | 168 | 1.9 | 123 | 1.4 | 45 | 0.5 |
| 100 | 186 | 1.9 | 136 | 1.4 | 50 | 0.5 |
| 110 | 195 | 1.8 | 145 | 1.3 | 50 | 0.5 |
| 120 | 195 | 1.6 | 150 | 1.2 | 45 | 0.4 |
| 130 | 188 | 1.4 | 152 | 1.2 | 36 | 0.3 |
| 140 | 177 | 1.3 | 151 | 1.1 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 150 | 165 | 1.1 | 148 | 1.0 | 17 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 153 | 1.0 | 143 | 0.9 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 141 | 0.8 | 136 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 130 | 0.7 | 128 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 121 | 0.6 | 119 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 110 | 0.6 | 109 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=A \% C o n=7$ Yield Curve $\#=57$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=58

| Stand <br> Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | Coniferous MAI (m3/ha/yr) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 20 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.1 |
| 60 | 70 | 1.2 | 56 | 0.9 | 14 | 0.2 |
| 70 | 111 | 1.6 | 88 | 1.3 | 23 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 143 | 1.8 | 114 | 1.4 | 29 | 0.4 |
| 90 | 168 | 1.9 | 133 | 1.5 | 34 | 0.4 |
| 100 | 186 | 1.9 | 148 | 1.5 | 38 | 0.4 |
| 110 | 196 | 1.8 | 157 | 1.4 | 38 | 0.3 |
| 120 | 197 | 1.6 | 163 | 1.4 | 34 | 0.3 |
| 130 | 193 | 1.5 | 165 | 1.3 | 27 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 184 | 1.3 | 165 | 1.2 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 174 | 1.2 | 161 | 1.1 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 163 | 1.0 | 156 | 1.0 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 152 | 0.9 | 148 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 141 | 0.8 | 140 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 131 | 0.7 | 129 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 119 | 0.6 | 118 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFG $\propto C=A \% C O n=8$ Yield Curve $\#=58$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=59
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\subset C=A \% C o n=9$ Yield Curve $\#=59$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A $\%$ Con=10 Yield Curve \#=60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFG $O C=A \% C o n=10$ Yield Curve $\#=\infty$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=61

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | Coniferous $\begin{array}{r} \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 59 | 1.2 | 36 | 0.7 | 24 | 0.5 |
| 60 | 114 | 1.9 | 69 | 1.1 | 45 | 0.8 |
| 70 | 159 | 2.3 | 96 | 1.4 | 63 | 0.9 |
| 80 | 194 | 2.4 | 117 | 1.5 | 77 | 1.0 |
| 90 | 220 | 2.4 | 133 | 1.5 | 88 | 1.0 |
| 100 | 240 | 2.4 | 145 | 1.4 | 95 | 1.0 |
| 110 | 247 | 2.2 | 153 | 1.4 | 95 | 0.9 |
| 120 | 242 | 2.0 | 158 | 1.3 | 84 | 0.7 |
| 130 | 227 | 1.7 | 159 | 1.2 | 68 | 0.5 |
| 140 | 207 | 1.5 | 159 | 1.1 | 49 | 0.3 |
| 150 | 187 | 1.2 | 156 | 1.0 | 31 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 170 | 1.1 | 151 | 0.9 | 18 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 155 | 0.9 | 145 | 0.9 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 142 | 0.8 | 138 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 134 | 0.7 | 130 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 124 | 0.6 | 120 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $O C=B \%$ Con=5 Yield Curve \#=61


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=62

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Dociduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> MAI |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $O C=B \%$ Con=6 Yield Curve $\#=62$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=63

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Dolume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 59 | 1.2 | 43 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 114 | 1.9 | 83 | 1.4 | 16 | 0.3 |
| 70 | 159 | 2.3 | 116 | 1.7 | 31 | 0.5 |
| 80 | 194 | 2.4 | 141 | 1.8 | 43 | 0.6 |
| 90 | 220 | 2.4 | 161 | 1.8 | 52 | 0.7 |
| 100 | 240 | 2.4 | 175 | 1.8 | 59 | 0.7 |
| 110 | 249 | 2.3 | 185 | 1.7 | 65 | 0.6 |
| 120 | 248 | 2.1 | 191 | 1.6 | 64 | 0.6 |
| 130 | 239 | 1.8 | 193 | 1.5 | 57 | 0.5 |
| 140 | 225 | 1.6 | 192 | 1.4 | 46 | 0.4 |
| 150 | 210 | 1.4 | 189 | 1.3 | 33 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 196 | 1.2 | 184 | 1.1 | 21 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 182 | 1.1 | 176 | 1.0 | 12 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 170 | 0.9 | 167 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 160 | 0.8 | 157 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 148 | 0.7 | 146 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.0 |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFG $\propto C=B \% C O n=7$ Yield Curve $\#=63$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=64

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Dociduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> MAI |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=B \% C O n=8$ Yield Curve $\#=64$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=65

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | Coniferous $\begin{array}{r} \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 59 | 1.2 | 51 | 1.0 | 8 | 0.2 |
| 60 | 114 | 1.9 | 98 | 1.6 | 16 | 0.3 |
| 70 | 159 | 2.3 | 136 | 1.9 | 22 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 194 | 2.4 | 166 | 2.1 | 27 | 0.3 |
| 90 | 220 | 2.4 | 189 | 2.1 | 31 | 0.3 |
| 100 | 240 | 2.4 | 206 | 2.1 | 34 | 0.3 |
| 110 | 251 | 2.3 | 218 | 2.0 | 34 | 0.3 |
| 120 | 254 | 2.1 | 224 | 1.9 | 30 | 0.3 |
| 130 | 251 | 1.9 | 227 | 1.7 | 24 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 243 | 1.7 | 226 | 1.6 | 17 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 233 | 1.6 | 222 | 1.5 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 222 | 1.4 | 216 | 1.3 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 210 | 1.2 | 207 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 198 | 1.1 | 197 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 186 | 1.0 | 185 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 173 | 0.9 | 171 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $O C=B \%$ Con= 9 Yield Curve $\#=65$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=66 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site = UFG $O C=B \%$ Con= 10 Yield Curve $\#=66$


|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C $\%$ \% Con=5 Yield Curve \#=67 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=C$ \%Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=67$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=68

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 69 | 1.4 | 46 | 0.9 | 23 | 0.5 |
| 60 | 125 | 2.1 | 84 | 1.4 | 42 | 0.7 |
| 70 | 171 | 2.4 | 114 | 1.6 | 57 | 0.8 |
| 80 | 206 | 2.6 | 138 | 1.7 | 69 | 0.9 |
| 90 | 234 | 2.6 | 156 | 1.7 | 78 | 0.9 |
| 100 | 254 | 2.5 | 169 | 1.7 | 85 | 0.8 |
| 110 | 262 | 2.4 | 178 | 1.6 | 84 | 0.8 |
| 120 | 258 | 2.2 | 184 | 1.5 | 75 | 0.6 |
| 130 | 245 | 1.9 | 186 | 1.4 | 60 | 0.5 |
| 140 | 228 | 1.6 | 185 | 1.3 | 43 | 0.3 |
| 150 | 210 | 1.4 | 182 | 1.2 | 28 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 193 | 1.2 | 177 | 1.1 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 178 | 1.0 | 170 | 1.0 | 8 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 165 | 0.9 | 162 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 156 | 0.8 | 152 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 145 | 0.7 | 142 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto=C \%$ Con=6 Yield Curve $\#=68$


## NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=69

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 69 | 1.4 | 51 | 1.0 | 19 | 0.4 |
| 60 | 125 | 2.1 | 92 | 1.5 | 34 | 0.6 |
| 70 | 171 | 2.4 | 125 | 1.8 | 46 | 0.7 |
| 80 | 206 | 2.6 | 151 | 1.9 | 56 | 0.7 |
| 90 | 234 | 2.6 | 171 | 1.9 | 63 | 0.7 |
| 100 | 254 | 2.5 | 185 | 1.9 | 68 | 0.7 |
| 110 | 263 | 2.4 | 195 | 1.8 | 68 | 0.6 |
| 120 | 262 | 2.2 | 201 | 1.7 | 60 | 0.5 |
| 130 | 252 | 1.9 | 204 | 1.6 | 48 | 0.4 |
| 140 | 238 | 1.7 | 203 | 1.4 | 35 | 0.2 |
| 150 | 222 | 1.5 | 199 | 1.3 | 22 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 207 | 1.3 | 194 | 1.2 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 193 | 1.1 | 186 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 180 | 1.0 | 177 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 170 | 0.9 | 167 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 158 | 0.8 | 155 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=C \% C o n=7$ Yield Curve $\#=69$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C $\%$ \% Con=8 Yield Curve \#=70 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=C \% C o n=8$ Yield Curve $\#=70$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C $\%$ \% Con=9 Yield Curve \#=71 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFG $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C} \% \mathrm{Con}=9$ Yield Curve $\#=71$


|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C $\%$ \% Con=10 Yield Curve \#=72 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=C$ \%Con= 10 Yield Curve \#=72


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D $\%$ \% Con=5 Yield Curve \#=73 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=D \% C o n=5$ Yield Curve $\#=73$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=74 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=D \% C o n=6$ Yield Curve \#=74


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D $\%$ \% Con=7 Yield Curve \#=75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=D$ \%Con= 7 Yield Curve $\#=75$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D $\%$ \% Con=8 Yield Curve \#=76 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=D \% C o n=8$ Yield Curve \#=76


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D $\%$ Con=9 Yield Curve \#=77 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=D \% C o n=9$ Yield Curve $\#=77$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=78 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFG $\propto C=D$ \%Con= 10 Yield Curve \#=78


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A $\%$ Con=5 Yield Curve \#=79 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A $\%$ Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=79$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=80


NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=81

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | Coniferous $\begin{array}{r} \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 3 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 52 | 0.9 | 38 | 0.6 | 14 | 0.2 |
| 70 | 91 | 1.3 | 67 | 1.0 | 24 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 122 | 1.5 | 89 | 1.1 | 33 | 0.4 |
| 90 | 146 | 1.6 | 107 | 1.2 | 39 | 0.4 |
| 100 | 163 | 1.6 | 119 | 1.2 | 44 | 0.4 |
| 110 | 172 | 1.6 | 128 | 1.2 | 44 | 0.4 |
| 120 | 173 | 1.4 | 133 | 1.1 | 40 | 0.3 |
| 130 | 167 | 1.3 | 135 | 1.0 | 32 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 157 | 1.1 | 134 | 1.0 | 23 | 0.2 |
| 150 | 146 | 1.0 | 131 | 0.9 | 15 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 135 | 0.8 | 126 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 124 | 0.7 | 120 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 114 | 0.6 | 112 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 105 | 0.6 | 103 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 95 | 0.5 | 93 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFM $\propto C=A \% C o n=7$ Yield Curve \#=81


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A $\%$ Con= 8 Yield Curve $\#=82$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A $\%$ Con=9 Yield Curve \#=83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A $\%$ Con= 9 Yield Curve $\#=83$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=A $\%$ Con=10 Yield Curve \#=84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFM $\propto C=A \% C o n=10$ Yield Curve $\#=84$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=B \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=85 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFM $\subset C=B \%$ Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=85$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=B \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=86 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFM $\subset C=B \%$ Con= 6 Yield Curve $\#=86$


|  |  |  | Site=UFM CC | \%Con=7 | Id Curve \#=87 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | Coniferous $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> Volume (15/10) <br> (m3/ha) | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 43 | 0.9 | 31 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.2 |
| 60 | 96 | 1.6 | 70 | 1.2 | 26 | 0.4 |
| 70 | 139 | 2.0 | 101 | 1.4 | 37 | 0.5 |
| 80 | 173 | 2.2 | 126 | 1.6 | 46 | 0.6 |
| 90 | 198 | 2.2 | 145 | 1.6 | 53 | 0.6 |
| 100 | 217 | 2.2 | 159 | 1.6 | 59 | 0.6 |
| 110 | 227 | 2.1 | 168 | 1.5 | 58 | 0.5 |
| 120 | 226 | 1.9 | 174 | 1.4 | 52 | 0.4 |
| 130 | 218 | 1.7 | 176 | 1.4 | 42 | 0.3 |
| 140 | 205 | 1.5 | 175 | 1.3 | 30 | 0.2 |
| 150 | 192 | 1.3 | 172 | 1.1 | 19 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 178 | 1.1 | 167 | 1.0 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 165 | 1.0 | 160 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 154 | 0.9 | 151 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 144 | 0.8 | 141 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 133 | 0.7 | 130 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFM $O C=B \%$ Con= 7 Yield Curve $\#=87$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=B \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFM $\subset C=B \%$ Con= 8 Yield Curve $\#=88$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=B \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=89 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFM $\subset C=B \%$ Con= 9 Yield Curve $\#=89$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=B \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=90 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFM $C C=B \%$ Con= 10 Yield Curve $\#=90$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=C $\%$ \% Con=5 Yield Curve \#=91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFM $O C=C \% C o n=5$ Yield Curve \#=91


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=C $\%$ \% Con=6 Yield Curve \#=92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=UFM $\subset C=C \% C O n=6$ Yield Curve $\#=92$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=C $\%$ \% Con=7 Yield Curve \#=93 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site=UFM $\subset C=C \% C O n=7$ Yield Curve $\#=93$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=C \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=94 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=UFM $\subset C=C \% C o n=8$ Yield Curve $\#=94$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=C \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stand <br> Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 53 | 1.1 | 45 | 0.9 | 7 | 0.1 |
| 60 | 107 | 1.8 | 92 | 1.5 | 15 | 0.3 |
| 70 | 151 | 2.2 | 129 | 1.8 | 21 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 185 | 2.3 | 159 | 2.0 | 26 | 0.3 |
| 90 | 212 | 2.4 | 182 | 2.0 | 30 | 0.3 |
| 100 | 231 | 2.3 | 198 | 2.0 | 33 | 0.3 |
| 110 | 242 | 2.2 | 210 | 1.9 | 32 | 0.3 |
| 120 | 245 | 2.0 | 216 | 1.8 | 29 | 0.2 |
| 130 | 242 | 1.9 | 219 | 1.7 | 23 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 235 | 1.7 | 218 | 1.6 | 17 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 225 | 1.5 | 214 | 1.4 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 214 | 1.3 | 208 | 1.3 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 203 | 1.2 | 199 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 191 | 1.1 | 189 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 179 | 0.9 | 177 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 165 | 0.8 | 164 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=UFM $\subset C=C \% C O n=9$ Yield Curve $\#=95$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=C $\%$ \% Con=10 Yield Curve \#=96 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFM $O C=C \% C O n=10$ Yield Curve $\#=96$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=D \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFM $O C=D$ \%Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=97$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=D \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=98 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site=UFM $\subset C=D \% C O n=6$ Yield Curve $\#=98$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=D $\%$ \% Con=7 Yield Curve \#=99 |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site=UFM $\subset C=D \% C O n=7$ Yield Curve $\#=99$


|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=D \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFM $O C=D \%$ Con=8 Yield Curve $\#=100$


|  |  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=D \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=101 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Ourves
NSR \& Site= UFM $\subset C=D \% C o n=9$ Yield Curve \#= 101


|  | NSR \& Site=UFM CC=D \%Con=10 Yield Curve \#=102 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves NSR \& Site= UFM $\propto C=D \% C o n=10$ Yield Curve $\#=102$


NSR \& Site=UFP CC=X \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=103

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Dolume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 20 | 0.3 | 12 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 70 | 49 | 0.7 | 29 | 0.4 | 8 | 0.1 |
| 80 | 74 | 0.9 | 44 | 0.6 | 19 | 0.3 |
| 90 | 95 | 1.1 | 57 | 0.6 | 29 | 0.4 |
| 100 | 113 | 1.1 | 68 | 0.7 | 38 | 0.4 |
| 110 | 125 | 1.1 | 77 | 0.7 | 45 | 0.4 |
| 120 | 131 | 1.1 | 85 | 0.7 | 48 | 0.4 |
| 130 | 130 | 1.0 | 91 | 0.7 | 46 | 0.4 |
| 140 | 126 | 0.9 | 96 | 0.7 | 39 | 0.3 |
| 150 | 120 | 0.8 | 100 | 0.7 | 29 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 115 | 0.7 | 102 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 111 | 0.7 | 104 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 108 | 0.6 | 105 | 0.6 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 108 | 0.6 | 105 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 107 | 0.5 | 104 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFP $\propto C=X \%$ Con $=5$ Yield Curve $\#=103$


NSR \& Site=UFP CC=X \%Con=6 Yield Curve \#=104

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFP $\propto C=X \%$ Con $=6$ Yield Curve $\#=104$


NSR \& Site=UFP CC=X \%Con=7 Yield Curve \#=105

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \mathrm{MAI} \\ (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 20 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 |
| 70 | 49 | 0.7 | 36 | 0.5 | 13 | 0.2 |
| 80 | 74 | 0.9 | 54 | 0.7 | 20 | 0.2 |
| 90 | 95 | 1.1 | 69 | 0.8 | 26 | 0.3 |
| 100 | 113 | 1.1 | 83 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.3 |
| 110 | 126 | 1.1 | 94 | 0.9 | 33 | 0.3 |
| 120 | 134 | 1.1 | 103 | 0.9 | 31 | 0.3 |
| 130 | 137 | 1.1 | 111 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 140 | 136 | 1.0 | 117 | 0.8 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 135 | 0.9 | 121 | 0.8 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 160 | 132 | 0.8 | 124 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 131 | 0.8 | 126 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 129 | 0.7 | 127 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 129 | 0.7 | 127 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 128 | 0.6 | 126 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFP $\propto C=X \%$ Con $=7$ Yield Curve $\#=105$


NSR \& Site=UFP CC=X \%Con=8 Yield Curve \#=106

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Dociduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> MAI |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFP $\propto C=X \%$ Con $=8$ Yield Curve $\#=106$


NSR \& Site=UFP CC=X \%Con=9 Yield Curve \#=107

| Stand Age (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | Coniferous <br> MAI (m3/ha/yr) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 20 | 0.3 | 17 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 70 | 49 | 0.7 | 42 | 0.6 | 7 | 0.1 |
| 80 | 74 | 0.9 | 63 | 0.8 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 90 | 95 | 1.1 | 81 | 0.9 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 100 | 113 | 1.1 | 97 | 1.0 | 16 | 0.2 |
| 110 | 127 | 1.2 | 110 | 1.0 | 17 | 0.2 |
| 120 | 137 | 1.1 | 121 | 1.0 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 130 | 144 | 1.1 | 130 | 1.0 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 140 | 147 | 1.1 | 137 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 150 | 149 | 1.0 | 142 | 0.9 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 160 | 150 | 0.9 | 146 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.0 |
| 170 | 151 | 0.9 | 148 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 180 | 150 | 0.8 | 149 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 150 | 0.8 | 149 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 149 | 0.7 | 148 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site= UFP $\propto C=X \%$ Con $=9$ Yield Curve $\#=107$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFP CC=X $\%$ \% Con=10 Yield Curve \#=108 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
NSR \& Site $=$ UFP CC $=X \%$ Con $=10$ Yield Curve $\#=108$


Coniferous Switch Stands Site=G Yield Curve \#=109

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume <br> $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 45 | 1.5 | 16 | 0.5 | 29 | 1.0 |
| 40 | 97 | 2.4 | 35 | 0.9 | 62 | 1.5 |
| 50 | 141 | 2.8 | 51 | 1.0 | 91 | 1.8 |
| 60 | 180 | 3.0 | 65 | 1.1 | 115 | 1.9 |
| 70 | 213 | 3.0 | 77 | 1.1 | 136 | 1.9 |
| 80 | 241 | 3.0 | 87 | 1.1 | 154 | 1.9 |
| 90 | 264 | 2.9 | 95 | 1.1 | 169 | 1.9 |
| 100 | 284 | 2.8 | 102 | 1.0 | 182 | 1.8 |
| 110 | 288 | 2.6 | 108 | 1.0 | 180 | 1.6 |
| 120 | 275 | 2.3 | 112 | 0.9 | 163 | 1.4 |
| 130 | 248 | 1.9 | 115 | 0.9 | 132 | 1.0 |
| 140 | 215 | 1.5 | 118 | 0.8 | 98 | 0.7 |
| 150 | 184 | 1.2 | 119 | 0.8 | 65 | 0.4 |
| 160 | 159 | 1.0 | 120 | 0.8 | 39 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 141 | 0.8 | 120 | 0.7 | 21 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 129 | 0.7 | 120 | 0.7 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 190 | 128 | 0.7 | 119 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 200 | 127 | 0.6 | 117 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
Site= G Yield Curve \#=109


Coniferous Switch Stands Site=M Yield Curve \#=110

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years $)$ | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 112 | 2.2 | 32 | 0.6 | 80 | 1.6 |
| 60 | 157 | 2.6 | 49 | 0.8 | 108 | 1.8 |
| 70 | 191 | 2.7 | 62 | 0.9 | 129 | 1.8 |
| 80 | 219 | 2.7 | 72 | 0.9 | 148 | 1.8 |
| 90 | 243 | 2.7 | 80 | 0.9 | 163 | 1.8 |
| 100 | 262 | 2.6 | 87 | 0.9 | 175 | 1.7 |
| 110 | 266 | 2.4 | 92 | 0.8 | 174 | 1.6 |
| 120 | 253 | 2.1 | 96 | 0.8 | 157 | 1.3 |
| 130 | 227 | 1.7 | 99 | 0.8 | 128 | 1.0 |
| 140 | 195 | 1.4 | 101 | 0.7 | 94 | 0.7 |
| 150 | 165 | 1.1 | 102 | 0.7 | 63 | 0.4 |
| 160 | 140 | 0.9 | 103 | 0.6 | 37 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 122 | 0.7 | 102 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 111 | 0.6 | 101 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.1 |
| 190 | 109 | 0.6 | 100 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 107 | 0.5 | 98 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
Site= $M$ Yield Curve $\#=110$


| Coniferous Switch Stands Site=P Yield Curve \#=111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 60 | 40 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.1 | 37 | 0.6 |
| 70 | 72 | 1.0 | 9 | 0.1 | 63 | 0.9 |
| 80 | 97 | 1.2 | 14 | 0.2 | 83 | 1.0 |
| 90 | 119 | 1.3 | 19 | 0.2 | 100 | 1.1 |
| 100 | 139 | 1.4 | 24 | 0.2 | 114 | 1.1 |
| 110 | 149 | 1.4 | 29 | 0.3 | 120 | 1.1 |
| 120 | 147 | 1.2 | 35 | 0.3 | 113 | 0.9 |
| 130 | 136 | 1.0 | 40 | 0.3 | 96 | 0.7 |
| 140 | 119 | 0.8 | 45 | 0.3 | 73 | 0.5 |
| 150 | 101 | 0.7 | 51 | 0.3 | 51 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 88 | 0.6 | 57 | 0.4 | 31 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 81 | 0.5 | 64 | 0.4 | 17 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 79 | 0.4 | 71 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 88 | 0.5 | 79 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 98 | 0.5 | 89 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.0 |

Coniferous Merchantable Yield Curves
Site $=P$ Yield Curve $\#=111$


### 3.2 Deciduous Yield Curves ( $\mathbf{( 1 5 / 1 0}$ Utilization and 15 cm Stump Height)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=0 Yield Curve \#=1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR $\&$ Site $=$ LFG $\propto C=A \% C O n=0$ Yield Curve $\#=1$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=1 Yield Curve \#=2

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 18 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.1 | 15 | 0.5 |
| 40 | 46 | 1.2 | 9 | 0.2 | 38 | 0.9 |
| 50 | 71 | 1.4 | 13 | 0.3 | 58 | 1.2 |
| 60 | 94 | 1.6 | 17 | 0.3 | 77 | 1.3 |
| 70 | 114 | 1.6 | 21 | 0.3 | 93 | 1.3 |
| 80 | 132 | 1.7 | 24 | 0.3 | 108 | 1.3 |
| 90 | 148 | 1.6 | 27 | 0.3 | 121 | 1.3 |
| 100 | 162 | 1.6 | 30 | 0.3 | 132 | 1.3 |
| 110 | 166 | 1.5 | 32 | 0.3 | 134 | 1.2 |
| 120 | 157 | 1.3 | 34 | 0.3 | 123 | 1.0 |
| 130 | 138 | 1.1 | 36 | 0.3 | 102 | 0.8 |
| 140 | 114 | 0.8 | 37 | 0.3 | 77 | 0.5 |
| 150 | 91 | 0.6 | 39 | 0.3 | 52 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 71 | 0.4 | 40 | 0.2 | 32 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 58 | 0.3 | 40 | 0.2 | 17 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 49 | 0.3 | 41 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 50 | 0.3 | 41 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 50 | 0.3 | 42 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site $=$ LFG $\propto C=A \%$ Con= 1 Yield Curve $\#=2$


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=2 Yield Curve \#=3

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 18 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.5 |
| 40 | 46 | 1.2 | 12 | 0.3 | 34 | 0.9 |
| 50 | 71 | 1.4 | 18 | 0.4 | 53 | 1.1 |
| 60 | 94 | 1.6 | 24 | 0.4 | 70 | 1.2 |
| 70 | 114 | 1.6 | 29 | 0.4 | 85 | 1.2 |
| 80 | 132 | 1.7 | 34 | 0.4 | 98 | 1.2 |
| 90 | 148 | 1.6 | 38 | 0.4 | 110 | 1.2 |
| 100 | 162 | 1.6 | 42 | 0.4 | 121 | 1.2 |
| 110 | 167 | 1.5 | 45 | 0.4 | 122 | 1.1 |
| 120 | 160 | 1.3 | 48 | 0.4 | 112 | 0.9 |
| 130 | 143 | 1.1 | 50 | 0.4 | 93 | 0.7 |
| 140 | 122 | 0.9 | 52 | 0.4 | 70 | 0.5 |
| 150 | 101 | 0.7 | 54 | 0.4 | 47 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 84 | 0.5 | 55 | 0.3 | 29 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 72 | 0.4 | 56 | 0.3 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 65 | 0.4 | 57 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 65 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 66 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.0 |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=A \% C o n=2$ Yield Curve $\#=3$


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=3 Yield Curve \#=4

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 18 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.2 | 12 | 0.4 |
| 40 | 46 | 1.2 | 15 | 0.4 | 31 | 0.8 |
| 50 | 71 | 1.4 | 23 | 0.5 | 48 | 1.0 |
| 60 | 94 | 1.6 | 31 | 0.5 | 63 | 1.1 |
| 70 | 114 | 1.6 | 38 | 0.5 | 77 | 1.1 |
| 80 | 132 | 1.7 | 43 | 0.5 | 89 | 1.1 |
| 90 | 148 | 1.6 | 49 | 0.5 | 100 | 1.1 |
| 100 | 162 | 1.6 | 53 | 0.5 | 109 | 1.1 |
| 110 | 168 | 1.5 | 57 | 0.5 | 110 | 1.0 |
| 120 | 162 | 1.4 | 61 | 0.5 | 101 | 0.8 |
| 130 | 148 | 1.1 | 64 | 0.5 | 84 | 0.6 |
| 140 | 130 | 0.9 | 67 | 0.5 | 63 | 0.5 |
| 150 | 112 | 0.7 | 69 | 0.5 | 43 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 97 | 0.6 | 71 | 0.4 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 86 | 0.5 | 72 | 0.4 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 80 | 0.4 | 73 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 81 | 0.4 | 74 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 81 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.0 |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= FFG $\propto C=A \% C o n=3$ Yield Curve $\#=4$


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=4 Yield Curve \#=5

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=A \% C o n=4$ Yield Curve \#=5


## NSR \& Site=LFG CC=A \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=6

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 18 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.3 |
| 40 | 46 | 1.2 | 22 | 0.5 | 24 | 0.6 |
| 50 | 71 | 1.4 | 34 | 0.7 | 38 | 0.8 |
| 60 | 94 | 1.6 | 44 | 0.7 | 50 | 0.8 |
| 70 | 114 | 1.6 | 54 | 0.8 | 60 | 0.9 |
| 80 | 132 | 1.7 | 63 | 0.8 | 70 | 0.9 |
| 90 | 148 | 1.6 | 70 | 0.8 | 78 | 0.9 |
| 100 | 162 | 1.6 | 77 | 0.8 | 86 | 0.9 |
| 110 | 169 | 1.5 | 83 | 0.8 | 87 | 0.8 |
| 120 | 167 | 1.4 | 88 | 0.7 | 80 | 0.7 |
| 130 | 158 | 1.2 | 92 | 0.7 | 66 | 0.5 |
| 140 | 146 | 1.0 | 96 | 0.7 | 50 | 0.4 |
| 150 | 133 | 0.9 | 99 | 0.7 | 34 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 122 | 0.8 | 102 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 115 | 0.7 | 104 | 0.6 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 110 | 0.6 | 105 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 112 | 0.6 | 106 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 112 | 0.6 | 107 | 0.5 | 0.0 |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site=LFG $\propto C=A \% C o n=5$ Yield Curve $\#=6$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=0 Yield Curve \#=7
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=0 Yield Curve \#=7


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=1 Yield Curve \#=8
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=B \% C o n=1$ Yield Curve $\#=8$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=2 Yield Curve \#=9

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 5 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.2 |
| 30 | 43 | 1.4 | 11 | 0.4 | 32 | 1.1 |
| 40 | 77 | 1.9 | 20 | 0.5 | 57 | 1.4 |
| 50 | 108 | 2.2 | 28 | 0.6 | 81 | 1.6 |
| 60 | 136 | 2.3 | 35 | 0.6 | 101 | 1.7 |
| 70 | 161 | 2.3 | 41 | 0.6 | 120 | 1.7 |
| 80 | 184 | 2.3 | 47 | 0.6 | 137 | 1.7 |
| 90 | 204 | 2.3 | 52 | 0.6 | 151 | 1.7 |
| 100 | 221 | 2.2 | 57 | 0.6 | 164 | 1.6 |
| 110 | 226 | 2.1 | 61 | 0.6 | 165 | 1.5 |
| 120 | 215 | 1.8 | 64 | 0.5 | 151 | 1.3 |
| 130 | 192 | 1.5 | 67 | 0.5 | 125 | 1.0 |
| 140 | 163 | 1.2 | 69 | 0.5 | 94 | 0.7 |
| 150 | 135 | 0.9 | 72 | 0.5 | 63 | 0.4 |
| 160 | 112 | 0.7 | 73 | 0.5 | 38 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 95 | 0.6 | 75 | 0.4 | 21 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 86 | 0.5 | 76 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 190 | 87 | 0.5 | 76 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 200 | 87 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.1 |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=2 Yield Curve \#=9


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=3 Yield Curve \#=10
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site=LFG $C C=B \% C o n=3$ Yield Curve $\#=10$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=4 Yield Curve \#=11
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\text { years })\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} \\
10 & 0 & 0.0 & 0 & 0.0 & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 20 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=B \% C o n=4$ Yield Curve $\#=11$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=12
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site=LFG CC=B \%Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=12$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=0 Yield Curve \#=13

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Deciduous <br> Volume (15/10) (m3/ha) | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 15 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.7 |
| 30 | 57 | 1.9 | 6 | 0.2 | 51 | 1.7 |
| 40 | 96 | 2.4 | 11 | 0.3 | 85 | 2.1 |
| 50 | 131 | 2.6 | 15 | 0.3 | 116 | 2.3 |
| 60 | 162 | 2.7 | 18 | 0.3 | 144 | 2.4 |
| 70 | 190 | 2.7 | 21 | 0.3 | 168 | 2.4 |
| 80 | 215 | 2.7 | 24 | 0.3 | 191 | 2.4 |
| 90 | 237 | 2.6 | 27 | 0.3 | 210 | 2.3 |
| 100 | 256 | 2.6 | 29 | 0.3 | 228 | 2.3 |
| 110 | 259 | 2.4 | 31 | 0.3 | 228 | 2.1 |
| 120 | 241 | 2.0 | 32 | 0.3 | 208 | 1.7 |
| 130 | 206 | 1.6 | 34 | 0.3 | 172 | 1.3 |
| 140 | 164 | 1.2 | 35 | 0.3 | 129 | 0.9 |
| 150 | 123 | 0.8 | 36 | 0.2 | 87 | 0.6 |
| 160 | 90 | 0.6 | 37 | 0.2 | 53 | 0.3 |
| 170 | 66 | 0.4 | 38 | 0.2 | 28 | 0.2 |
| 180 | 52 | 0.3 | 38 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 190 | 52 | 0.3 | 38 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 200 | 53 | 0.3 | 39 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.1 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= $5 F G O=C \% C o n=0$ Yield Curve $\#=13$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=1 Yield Curve \#=14

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= LFG $\propto C=C \% C o n=1$ Yield Curve \#=14


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=2 Yield Curve \#=15

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= LFG $\propto C=C \% C o n=2$ Yield Curve $\#=15$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=3 Yield Curve \#=16
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10)\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array}
$$ <br>

10 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 20 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= $5 F G \subset=C \% C o n=3$ Yield Curve $\#=16$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=4 Yield Curve \#=17
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\text { years })\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} \\
10 & 0 & 0.0 & 0 & 0.0 & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 20 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= LFG $\propto C=C \% C o n=4$ Yield Curve $\#=17$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=C \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=18
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= $5 F G \subset=C \% C o n=5$ Yield Curve $\#=18$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=0 Yield Curve \#=19

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |  |
| 20 | 14 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site=LFG $\propto C=D \% C o n=0$ Yield Curve $\#=19$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=1 Yield Curve \#=20

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=D \%$ Con= 1 Yield Curve $\#=20$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=2 Yield Curve \#=21
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10)\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array}
$$ <br>

10 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 20 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site=LFG $\propto C=D \%$ Con= 2 Yield Curve $\#=21$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=3 Yield Curve \#=22

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |  |
| 20 | 14 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=D \% C o n=3$ Yield Curve $\#=22$


NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D \%Con=4 Yield Curve \#=23
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=D \%$ Con= 4 Yield Curve $\#=23$


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | NSR \& Site=LFG CC=D $\%$ \% Con=5 Yield Curve \#=24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve NSR \& Site= LFG $O C=D$ \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=24


## NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=0 Yield Curve \#=25

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10)\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array}
$$ <br>

10 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 20 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $O C=A \% C O n=0$ Yield Curve $\#=25$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=1 Yield Curve \#=26
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\text { years })\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10)\end{array} \\
10 & 0 & 0.0 & 0 & 0.0 & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array}
$$ <br>
20 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 <br>

(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 30 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $O C=A \% C o n=1$ Yield Curve \#=26


|  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=2 Yield Curve \#=27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $O C=A \% C o n=2$ Yield Curve $\#=27$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=3 Yield Curve \#=28
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto=A \% C o n=3$ Yield Curve $\#=28$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=4 Yield Curve \#=29

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | Coniferous $\begin{array}{r} \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 17 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.3 | 9 | 0.3 |
| 40 | 45 | 1.1 | 22 | 0.5 | 23 | 0.6 |
| 50 | 70 | 1.4 | 34 | 0.7 | 36 | 0.7 |
| 60 | 92 | 1.5 | 45 | 0.7 | 47 | 0.8 |
| 70 | 112 | 1.6 | 55 | 0.8 | 58 | 0.8 |
| 80 | 130 | 1.6 | 63 | 0.8 | 67 | 0.8 |
| 90 | 146 | 1.6 | 71 | 0.8 | 75 | 0.8 |
| 100 | 160 | 1.6 | 78 | 0.8 | 82 | 0.8 |
| 110 | 167 | 1.5 | 84 | 0.8 | 83 | 0.8 |
| 120 | 165 | 1.4 | 89 | 0.7 | 76 | 0.6 |
| 130 | 157 | 1.2 | 94 | 0.7 | 63 | 0.5 |
| 140 | 145 | 1.0 | 97 | 0.7 | 48 | 0.3 |
| 150 | 133 | 0.9 | 101 | 0.7 | 32 | 0.2 |
| 160 | 123 | 0.8 | 103 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 170 | 116 | 0.7 | 105 | 0.6 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 112 | 0.6 | 107 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 113 | 0.6 | 108 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 114 | 0.6 | 109 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.0 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=A \% C O n=4$ Yield Curve $\#=29$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=A \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=30
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=A \% C O n=5$ Yield Curve $\#=30$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=0 Yield Curve \#=31

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous Volume (15/11) (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coniferous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.2 |
| 30 | 42 | 1.4 | 3 | 0.1 | 39 | 1.3 |
| 40 | 76 | 1.9 | 5 | 0.1 | 71 | 1.8 |
| 50 | 107 | 2.1 | 7 | 0.1 | 100 | 2.0 |
| 60 | 135 | 2.2 | 9 | 0.1 | 126 | 2.1 |
| 70 | 159 | 2.3 | 10 | 0.1 | 149 | 2.1 |
| 80 | 182 | 2.3 | 12 | 0.1 | 170 | 2.1 |
| 90 | 201 | 2.2 | 13 | 0.1 | 188 | 2.1 |
| 100 | 219 | 2.2 | 14 | 0.1 | 204 | 2.0 |
| 110 | 221 | 2.0 | 15 | 0.1 | 206 | 1.9 |
| 120 | 204 | 1.7 | 16 | 0.1 | 188 | 1.6 |
| 130 | 172 | 1.3 | 17 | 0.1 | 156 | 1.2 |
| 140 | 134 | 1.0 | 17 | 0.1 | 117 | 0.8 |
| 150 | 97 | 0.6 | 18 | 0.1 | 79 | 0.5 |
| 160 | 66 | 0.4 | 18 | 0.1 | 48 | 0.3 |
| 170 | 45 | 0.3 | 19 | 0.1 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 180 | 32 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 190 | 32 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.1 |
| 200 | 32 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.1 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\subset C=B \%$ Con= 0 Yield Curve $\#=31$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=1 Yield Curve \#=32

| Stand <br> Age | Total <br> Volume <br> (years) | Total <br> MAI <br> Ma | Coniferous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | MAI <br> Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> $(15 / 10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | MAI |  |
| 20 | 4 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=B \% C o n=1$ Yield Curve $\#=32$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=2 Yield Curve \#=33
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\text { years })\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} \\
10 & 0 & 0.0 & 0 & 0.0 & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 20 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=B \% C o n=2$ Yield Curve $\#=33$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=3 Yield Curve \#=34

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 4 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 30 | 42 | 1.4 | 16 | 0.5 | 26 | 0.9 |
| 40 | 76 | 1.9 | 29 | 0.7 | 47 | 1.2 |
| 50 | 107 | 2.1 | 41 | 0.8 | 66 | 1.3 |
| 60 | 135 | 2.2 | 51 | 0.9 | 83 | 1.4 |
| 70 | 159 | 2.3 | 61 | 0.9 | 99 | 1.4 |
| 80 | 182 | 2.3 | 69 | 0.9 | 112 | 1.4 |
| 90 | 201 | 2.2 | 77 | 0.9 | 124 | 1.4 |
| 100 | 219 | 2.2 | 83 | 0.8 | 135 | 1.4 |
| 110 | 225 | 2.0 | 89 | 0.8 | 136 | 1.2 |
| 120 | 219 | 1.8 | 94 | 0.8 | 124 | 1.0 |
| 130 | 201 | 1.5 | 99 | 0.8 | 103 | 0.8 |
| 140 | 179 | 1.3 | 102 | 0.7 | 77 | 0.6 |
| 150 | 157 | 1.0 | 105 | 0.7 | 52 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 140 | 0.9 | 108 | 0.7 | 32 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 127 | 0.7 | 110 | 0.6 | 17 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 120 | 0.7 | 112 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 121 | 0.6 | 113 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 122 | 0.6 | 113 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.0 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=B \%$ Con=3 Yield Curve $\#=34$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=4 Yield Curve \#=35

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Deciduous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 4 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 30 | 42 | 1.4 | 20 | 0.7 | 21 | 0.7 |
| 40 | 76 | 1.9 | 37 | 0.9 | 39 | 1.0 |
| 50 | 107 | 2.1 | 52 | 1.0 | 55 | 1.1 |
| 60 | 135 | 2.2 | 66 | 1.1 | 69 | 1.1 |
| 70 | 159 | 2.3 | 78 | 1.1 | 82 | 1.2 |
| 80 | 182 | 2.3 | 89 | 1.1 | 93 | 1.2 |
| 90 | 201 | 2.2 | 98 | 1.1 | 103 | 1.1 |
| 100 | 219 | 2.2 | 107 | 1.1 | 112 | 1.1 |
| 110 | 227 | 2.1 | 114 | 1.0 | 113 | 1.0 |
| 120 | 223 | 1.9 | 120 | 1.0 | 103 | 0.9 |
| 130 | 211 | 1.6 | 126 | 1.0 | 85 | 0.7 |
| 140 | 195 | 1.4 | 131 | 0.9 | 64 | 0.5 |
| 150 | 178 | 1.2 | 135 | 0.9 | 43 | 0.3 |
| 160 | 164 | 1.0 | 138 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 170 | 155 | 0.9 | 140 | 0.8 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 149 | 0.8 | 142 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 151 | 0.8 | 144 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 152 | 0.8 | 145 | 0.7 | 7 | 0.0 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $O C=B \% C O n=4$ Yield Curve $\#=35$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=B \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=36
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $O C=B \% C o n=5$ Yield Curve $\#=36$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C $\%$ \% Con=0 Yield Curve \#=37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=C \%$ Con=0 Yield Curve $\#=37$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C $\%$ \% Con=1 Yield Curve \#=38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C} \%$ Con=1 Yield Curve $\#=38$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C $\%$ \% Con=2 Yield Curve \#=39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=C \% C o n=2$ Yield Curve $\#=39$


## NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C \%Con=3 Yield Curve \#=40

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10)\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array}
$$ <br>

10 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 \& 0 \& (\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.0 |
| :--- |
| 20 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=C \%$ Con=3 Yield Curve $\#=40$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C \%Con=4 Yield Curve \#=41
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 10 |
| :--- |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C $\%$ Con=4 Yield Curve $\#=41$


## NSR \& Site=UFG CC=C \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=42

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
\text { (years) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Dociduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10)\end{array} \\
10 & 0 & 0.0 & 0 & 0.0 & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { MAI }\end{array}
$$ <br>
20 \& 14 \& 0.7 \& 8 \& 0.4 \& 0 \& 0.0 <br>

(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| (ma) |
| :--- |
| 30 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=C \%$ Con=5 Yield Curve $\#=42$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D \%Con=0 Yield Curve \#=43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=D$ \%Con=0 Yield Curve $\#=43$


NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D \%Con=1 Yield Curve \#=44

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Total <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Coniferous <br> Volume $(15 / 11)$ <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Deciduous <br> Volume $(15 / 10)$ | Deciduous <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | $(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG CC=D $\%$ Con= 1 Yield Curve \#=44


## NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D \%Con=2 Yield Curve \#=45

| Stand <br> Age <br> (years) | Total <br> Volume (m3/ha) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ | Coniferous <br> Volume (15/11) <br> (m3/ha) | Coniferous <br> MAI <br> (m3/ha/yr) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { Volume }(15 / 10) \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deciduous } \\ \text { MAI } \\ (\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 13 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.5 |
| 30 | 54 | 1.8 | 15 | 0.5 | 39 | 1.3 |
| 40 | 92 | 2.3 | 25 | 0.6 | 67 | 1.7 |
| 50 | 126 | 2.5 | 35 | 0.7 | 91 | 1.8 |
| 60 | 157 | 2.6 | 43 | 0.7 | 114 | 1.9 |
| 70 | 184 | 2.6 | 51 | 0.7 | 133 | 1.9 |
| 80 | 209 | 2.6 | 58 | 0.7 | 151 | 1.9 |
| 90 | 230 | 2.6 | 64 | 0.7 | 167 | 1.9 |
| 100 | 249 | 2.5 | 69 | 0.7 | 181 | 1.8 |
| 110 | 255 | 2.3 | 74 | 0.7 | 181 | 1.6 |
| 120 | 243 | 2.0 | 78 | 0.6 | 165 | 1.4 |
| 130 | 218 | 1.7 | 81 | 0.6 | 137 | 1.1 |
| 140 | 186 | 1.3 | 84 | 0.6 | 102 | 0.7 |
| 150 | 155 | 1.0 | 86 | 0.6 | 69 | 0.5 |
| 160 | 130 | 0.8 | 88 | 0.6 | 42 | 0.3 |
| 170 | 113 | 0.7 | 90 | 0.5 | 23 | 0.1 |
| 180 | 102 | 0.6 | 91 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 190 | 103 | 0.5 | 92 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 200 | 104 | 0.5 | 93 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.1 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=D \% C o n=2$ Yield Curve \#=45


## NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D \%Con=3 Yield Curve \#=46

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\begin{array}{l}\text { Stand } \\
\text { Age }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
\text { Volume } \\
(\text { years })\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Total } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 11) \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Coniferous } \\
\text { MAI } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
\text { Volume }(15 / 10)\end{array} \\
10 & 0 & 0.0 & 0 & 0.0 & \begin{array}{r}\text { Deciduous } \\
(\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{ha})\end{array}
$$ <br>
20 \& 13 \& 0.6 \& 5 \& 0.2 \& 0 \& 0.0 <br>

(\mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})\end{array}\right]\)| 0.4 |
| :--- |
| 30 |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=D \% C o n=3$ Yield Curve \#=46


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D $\%$ \% Con=4 Yield Curve \#=47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve NSR \& Site= UFG $\propto C=D$ \%Con=4 Yield Curve $\#=47$


|  | NSR \& Site=UFG CC=D \%Con=5 Yield Curve \#=48 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve
NSR \& Site $=$ UFG CC=D Con=5 Yield Curve\# $=48$


|  | Site=Poor Cover Type=DX Yield Curve \#=49 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Poor Site Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve <br> Cover Type= DX Yield Curve $\#=49$



|  | Site=Poor Cover Type=DC Yield Curve \#=50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Poor Site Deciduous Merchantable Yield Curve <br> Cover Type= DC Yield Curve $\#=50$



## 4 Suggestions for future TSP programs

Site index is being increasingly used to estimate growth and yield projections. A clear and consistent sampling protocol must be implemented for selecting SI trees to ensure that some estimate of SI is obtained for each plot. In addition if no valid SI tree is available within a plot a procedure must be developed to allow for an SI tree to be sampled outside the plot but within the same stand.
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## 6 Appendix

### 6.1 Data Library

| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _AVI |  | All fields with the extension "_AVI" designate a standard AVI field. <br> AVI stand calls. See AVI manual for description. <br> Some AVI fields without a "_AVI" extension include: moisture, structure, horper, tpr, nonfortype, nonforcl, natnonveg, anthveg, anthnonveg, interpret, refsource, refyear, $u_{-}$moisture, $u_{-}$horper, $u_{-}$tpr, $u_{-}$nonforty, u _nonforcl, $\mathrm{u}_{\text {_ natnonveg, }} \mathrm{u}$ _anthveg, u _anthnonv, $\mathrm{u}_{\text {_interpre }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a1, a2, b1, b2, b3, } \\ & \text { b4, b5 } \end{aligned}$ | Numeric | Taper Coefficients Numeric |
| Actconvol | Numeric | Plot Observed Mean Coniferous Volume |
| Actconvol | Numeric | Plot Observed Mean coniferous volume |
| Actdecvol | Numeric | Plot Observed Mean Deciduous Volume |
| Actdecvol | Numeric | Plot Observed Mean deciduous volume |
| Actvol | Numeric | Plot Observed Mean total volume |
| Age_lcl | Numeric | Plot Observed Lower 95\% confidence interval for stand age |
| Age_ucl | Numeric | Plot Observed Upper 95\% confidence interval for stand age |
| Age_x | Numeric | Plot Observed Mean stand age |
| agecof1, agecof2, agecof3 | Numeric | Provincial breast height age to stump height age coefficients Numeric |
| allcon_si | Numeric | Stand Site Index - based on all coniferous species (excludes LT) SI at 50 years |
| allcon_totage | Numeric | Stand age - based on all coniferous species (excludes LT) site trees Total age (years) |
| ANTHNONVEG | Character | Anthropogenic Non-Vegetated Land Identified as Follows: <br> AIE - Peat extractions; <br> AIF - Farm; <br> AIG - Gravel or borrow pit; <br> AIH - Permanent right-of-way; <br> AII - Industrial sites; <br> AIW - Water reservoir; <br> ASC - City, town, village; <br> ASR - Ribbon development. |
| ANTHVEG | Character | Anthropogenic Vegetated Land Identified as Follows: CA - Annual crops; CIP - Pipeline; CIW - Geophysical activity (wellsite); CP - Cropland (perennial); <br> CPR - Perennial crops (with SO or SC N.F.TYPE). |
| AREA | Numeric | Area in Square Metres |
| Area_ha | Numeric | AVI Stand Area Area in ha |
| aspenha | Numeric | Plot measured aspen volume per hectare (15/10 utilization) $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ha |
| aw_si | Numeric | Stand Site Index - based on AW and PB SI at 50 years |
| aw_totage | Numeric | Stand Total Age - based on AW and PB site trees Total age (years) |
| awvol15 | Numeric | Projected aspen volume per hectare (15/10 utilization) $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| B0, B1, B2, B3, | Numeric | Provincial coefficients for estimating site index |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B4, B5 |  | Numeric |$|$| bark_a, bark_b | Numeric | DIB to DOB bark coefficients <br> Numeric |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bhage | Numeric | Individual Tree Breast Height Age <br> Age in years |
| birchha | Numeric | Plot measured birch volume per hectare (15/10 utilization) <br> m |
| bwvol15 | ha |  |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ```25 - Standing Dead 26 - Missing 27 - Dead and Down 28 - Same Stump 29 - Cut Down 30 - Stem Insects 31 - Stem Disease 32 - Foliar Insects 33 - Foliar Disease 34 - Stem Form Defects 35 - Dead Top/Dieback 36 - Closed Scars 37 - Unknown \(43 \& 46\) - Non-valid entry (assumed to be a non-entry) 91-96 Dwarf Mistletoe``` |
| conmai | Numeric | Coniferous mean annual increment $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ / ha / year |
| convol15ha | Numeric | Projected coniferous volume per ha (15 / 11 utilization standard) $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| Counter | Numeric | Counts the order of trees in a stand by DBH Numeric |
| Cullsup | Character |  |
| Cvol_lcl | Numeric | Plot Observed Lower 95\% confidence interval for Coniferous Volume |
| Cvol_ucl | Numeric | Plot Observed Upper 95\% confidence interval for Coniferous Volume |
| Cvol_x | Numeric | Plot Observed Mean Coniferous Volume |
| d_vol15ha | Numeric | Plot measured deciduous volume per ha ( $15 / 10$ utilization standard) $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| DBH | Numeric | Tree -Diameter Breast Height Diameter in cm |
| Dead | Character | Identifies Dead Trees <br> Y - Tree dead <br> N - Tree alive <br> (dead tree is defined as a condition code equal to either 25,26 , or a species call of either 'DC', or 'DD') |
| Dec_mort | Numeric | Percentage deciduous volume retention after mortality Numeric proportion |
| Dec_site | Character | Deciduous site quality based on sitelogix call G- Good <br> M- Medium <br> P- Poor |
| decmai | Numeric | Deciduous mean annual increment $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ / ha / year |
| decvol15ha | Numeric | Projected deciduous volume per ha (15 / 10 utilization standard) $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| Dvol_lcl | Numeric | Plot Observed Lower 95\% confidence interval for Deciduous Volume |
| Dvol_ucl | Numeric | Plot Observed Upper 95\% confidence interval for Deciduous Volume |
| Dvol_x | Numeric | Plot Observed Mean Deciduous Volume |
| Ecof_Site | Character | Stand site quality classification (based on field calls only) |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LFG - Lower Foothills Good <br> LFM - Lower Foothills Medium <br> LFP - Lower Foothills Poor <br> UFG - Upper Foothills Good <br> UFM - Upper Foothills Medium <br> UFP - Upper Foothills Poor |
| Ecofield | Character | Plot ecosites phase - assigned by field sample crew See Field guide to ecosites of west-central Alberta - (Beckingham, Corns, and Archibald, 1996) |
| Ecolet_f | Character | Plot ecosite as defined by field data collection <br> See Field guide to ecosites of west-central Alberta - (Beckingham, Corns, and Archibald, 1996) <br> Additional codes: <br> W- Water <br> Y - Linear disturbance (man caused) <br> Z - Other disturbance (man caused) |
| Ecolet x/ ecoletter | Character | Plot ecosite as defined by SiteLogix <br> See Field guide to ecosites of west-central Alberta - (Beckingham, Corns, and Archibald, 1996) |
| Ecophs1 | Character | Primary Sitelogix ecosite phase assignment Recorded as: <br> Natural Subregion - Ecosite.Phase |
| Ecophs2 | Character | Secondary Sitelogix ecosite ecophase assignment Recorded as: <br> Natural Subregion - Ecosite.Phase |
| Ecosit1 | Character | Primary Sitelogix ecosite assignment Recorded as: <br> Natural Subregion - Ecosite |
| Ecosit2 | Character | Secondary Sitelogix ecosite assignment Recorded as: <br> Natural Subregion - Ecosite |
| Ecosite | Character | Plot Ecosite <br> Equal to ecolet_f field when a field call is available, otherwise equal to ecolet_x <br> W - Water <br> Y - Road and well sites |
| Ecounit | Character | Full Sitelogix ecosite call Primary and Secondary (Ecosit1 and Ecosit2) are shown in combination |
| Ecox_Site | Character | Stand site quality classification (based on SiteLogix calls only) <br> LFG - Lower Foothills Good <br> LFM - Lower Foothills Medium <br> LFP - Lower Foothills Poor <br> UFG - Upper Foothills Good <br> UFM - Upper Foothills Medium <br> UFP - Upper Foothills Poor |
| EXT1 | Numeric | Extent of Modification 1 |
| EXT2 | Numeric | Extent of Modification 2 |
| fbvoll5ha | Numeric | Projected fir volume per ha (15 / 11 utilization standard) $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| firha | Numeric | Plot measured fir volume per hectare (15/11 utilization) $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ha |
| FORSTKEY | Character | AVI Polygon ID Composed of PID, MER, TWP, RGE |
| HGT_AVI | Numeric | Height (m) |
| HORPER | Numeric | Stand Structure Value |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ht | Numeric | Total tree height of individual sampled trees Height in $m$ |
| ht_a, ht_b, ht_c | Numeric | Height diameter coefficient Numeric |
| Htcrwn | Numeric | Height to live crown of individual sampled trees Height in $m$ |
| Indexm | Numeric | Proportion of volume present on Medium site switch stands compared to good site |
| indexp | Numeric | Proportion of volume present on Poor site switch stands compared to good site |
| INTERPRETE | Character | Interpreter's Initials |
| larchha | Numeric | Plot larch volume per hectare (15/11 utilization) $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ha |
| linkvar | Numeric | Link variable Amalgamates township, range, meridian, and stand into a single variable |
| Lower | Character | Volume Record Indicator <br> Y - Record volume is a lower 95\% confidence interval |
| M_NSR | Character | Natural subregion as defined by Sitelogix LF - Lower Foothills <br> UF - Upper Foothills |
| M_SI | Numeric | Mean site index by site quality Numeric |
| Meanx | Character | Volume Record Indicator Y - Record volume is a mean |
| Mer | Numeric | Meridian Numeric |
| MER_AVI | Numeric | Meridian: |
| merlast | Numeric | Meridan of previous record Numeric |
| MOD1_AVI | Character | Stand Modifier 1 Identified as Follows: <br> AK - Animal kill; <br> BU - Burn; <br> CC - Clearcut; <br> CL - Clearing; <br> CW - Abandoned wellsite; <br> DT - Discolored / dead tops; <br> FL - Flooded; <br> FT - Fire tower; <br> IK - Insect kill; <br> MT - Microwave tower; <br> PI - Pipeline; <br> RW - Railway; <br> SC - Scarified; <br> SN - Snags; <br> ST - Scattered timber; <br> TH - Thinned; <br> TL - Transmission line; <br> WF - Windfall. |
| MOD2_AVI | Character | Stand Modifier 2 Identified as Follows: <br> BU - Burn; <br> CC - Clearcut; <br> CL - Clearing; <br> GR - Grazing; <br> IK - Insect kill; |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PI - Pipeline; <br> PL - Planted; <br> SC - Scarified; <br> SN - Snags; <br> ST - Scattered timber; <br> TH - Thinned; <br> TL - Transmission line; <br> WF - Windfall. |
| MOISTURE | Character | Moisture Regime Identified as Follows: <br> A - Aquatic; <br> D - Dry; <br> M - Mesic; <br> W - Wet. |
| NATNONVEG | Character | Naturally Non-Vegetated Land Identified as Follows: <br> NMB - Recent burn; <br> NMC - Cutbank; <br> NMS - Sand; <br> NWF - Flooded; <br> NWL - Lake or pond; <br> NWR - River. |
| newcc | Character | Updated crown class calls for records with missing observations Numeric |
| NONFORCL | Numeric | Non-Forested Natural Vegetated Land Shrub Closure |
| NONFORTYPE | Character | Naturally Non-Forested Vegetated Land Identified as Follows: <br> BR - Bryophytes / mosses; <br> HF - Herbaceous forbs; <br> HG - Herbaceous grassland; <br> SC - Closed shrubs; <br> SO - Open shrubs. |
| NR_field | Character | Natural subregion assigned by field crew LF - Lower Foothills <br> UF - Upper Foothills |
| Nregion | Numeric | Standard provincial natural subregion numeric code 10 - Upper Foothills <br> 11 - Lower Foothills |
| NSR | Character | Natural subregion (Provincial natural subregion is the standard) <br> LF - Lower Foothills <br> UF - Upper Foothills |
| NSR_Prov | Character | Natural subregion as defined from Alberta provincial data LF - Lower Foothills <br> UF - Upper Foothills |
| O_LAND | Character | Overstory Landbase CON - Coniferous DEC - Deciduous |
| ORIGIN_AVI | Numeric | Origin |
| OS_AGE | Numeric | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overstory AVI Age } \\ & =1998 \text { - origin (in years) } \end{aligned}$ |
| OS_CC | Character | Stand overstory crown closure <br> A - Low Density (indicates A or B - AVI crown closure) <br> C - Low Density (indicates C or D - AVI crown closure) |
| OS_Cov | Character | Overstory Cover Group <br> CX - Pure Coniferous Stand <br> CD - Coniferous Dominated Mixedwood <br> DC - Deciduous Dominated Mixedwood |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DX - Pure Deciduous Stand |
| Pbvol15ha | Numeric | Projected poplar volume per ha (15 / 10 utilization standard) $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ha |
| Per_AW | Numeric | Percentage of total deciduous volume made up by Aspen numeric value |
| Per_BW | Numeric | Percentage of total deciduous volume made up by Birch numeric value |
| Per_Con | Numeric | Stand percentage coniferous composition (excludes larch) <br> 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Per_Conlt | Numeric | Stand percentage coniferous composition <br> (includes larch) <br> 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Per_Dec | Numeric | Stand percentage deciduous composition <br> 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Per_FB | Numeric | Percentage of total coniferous volume (excluding LT) made up by Fir numeric value |
| Per_Larch | Numeric | Stand percentage larch composition <br> 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Per_LT | Numeric | Percentage of total coniferous volume (including LT) made up by Larch numeric value |
| Per_PB | Numeric | Percentage of total deciduous volume made up by Poplar numeric value |
| Per_PL | Numeric | Percentage of total coniferous volume (excluding LT) made up by Pine numeric value |
| Per_Sb | Numeric | Stand percentage SB composition <br> 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Per_SW | Numeric | Percentage of total coniferous volume (excluding LT) made up by spruce species numeric value |
| Phsunit | Character | Full Sitelogix ecosites phase call Primary and Secondary (Ecophs1 and Ecophs2) are shown in combination |
| PID | Numeric | AVI Polygon ID |
| pineha | Numeric | Plot measured pine volume per hectare (15/11 utilization) $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| pl_si | Numeric | Stand Site Index - based on PL and PJ SI at 50 years |
| pl_totage | Numeric | Stand Age - based on PL and PJ site trees Total age (years) |
| plot_age | Numeric | Plot Age in years $=1998-$ origin |
| plot_num | Numeric | Number of plots in stand Numeric |
| Plot_si | Numeric | Plot SI |
| plot_site | Character | Plot Site Quality <br> LFG - Lower Foothills Good <br> LFM - Lower Foothills Medium <br> LFP - Lower Foothills Poor <br> UFG - Upper Foothills Good <br> UFM - Upper Foothills Medium <br> UFP - Upper Foothills Poor <br> XXG - Lower and Upper Foothills Good <br> XXM - Lower and Upper Foothills Medium <br> XXP - Lower and Upper Foothills Poor |
| plotarea | Numeric | Total plot area in a stand |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Plot num * $160 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Plotid | Character | Plot Identifier Unique plot identifier |
| Plotlet | Character | Plot Letter <br> During some TSP years a alpha character was used to differentiate between multiple plots in a stand. Valid assignments are: A, B, C,...Z. |
| Plotno | Character | Plot Number <br> Alpha-numeric value assigned by field crews during sampling |
| PlotSize | Numeric | TSP Plot Size All plots were $160 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| plvol15ha | Numeric | Projected Pine volume per hectare (15/11 utilization) in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| poplarha | Numeric | Plot measured poplar volume per hectare (15/10 utilization) $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { prov_a, prov_b, } \\ & \text { prov_c } \end{aligned}$ | Numeric | Provincial coefficients for Height/DBH regression relationship Numeric |
| REFSOURCE | Character | Reference Source Identified as Follows: <br> A - Air call; <br> F - Field plot; <br> I - Interpreted TPR; <br> P - PSP; <br> S - Supplementary photography; <br> V - Volume plot. |
| REFYEAR | Numeric | Reference Year |
| Rge | Numeric | Range Numeric |
| RGE_AVI | Numeric | Range: |
| rgelast | Numeric | Range of previous record Numeric |
| Rsq | Numeric | Estimate of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ from non-linear regression Numeric |
| Sev | Character | Identifies trees as severely damaged enough to be excluded from SI calculations Y - Severe Damage <br> N - No severe damage <br> (severe damage is defined as a condition code equal to either $13,19,24,28,34,35$, or cull suspect class equal to either 'O' or 'F') |
| Si_obs | Numeric | Number of Site Index Trees in Stand Numeric |
| Site | Character | Site quality based on the species present (see sptype field) and sitelogix ecosites call G-Good <br> M - Medium <br> P - Poor |
| Site_t_req | Numeric | Maximum number of site trees required Numeric |
| Sitequal | Character | Stand Quality <br> GM - Good or medium site <br> PX - Poor site |
| Source | Character | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TSP program code name } \\ & \text { rawtree - } 1996 \\ & \text { edvsamp - } 1997 \\ & \text { d0001-1998 } \\ & \text { v0006-1999 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sp | Character | Tree species <br> DC - Dead coniferous <br> DD - Dead deciduous <br> NO - No species (used to indicate null plots) <br> All other codes - Standard Alberta species codes used |
| SP1_AVI | Character | Species 1 Identified as Follows: A - Unspecified Deciduous; AW - Trembling Aspen; BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PJ - Jack Pine; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| SP1P_AVI | Numeric | Species 1 Percent |
| SP2_AVI | Character | Species 2 Identified as Follows: A - Unspecified Deciduous; AW - Trembling Aspen; <br> BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PJ - Jack Pine; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| SP2P_AVI | Numeric | Species 2 Percent |
| SP3_AVI | Character | Species 3 Identified as Follows: A - Unspecified Deciduous; AW - Trembling Aspen; BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PJ - Jack Pine; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| SP3P_AVI | Numeric | Species 3 Percent |
| SP4_AVI | Character | Species 4 Identified as Follows: <br> AW - Trembling Aspen; <br> BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P-Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP4P_AVI | Numeric | Species 4 Percent |
| SP5_AVI | Character | Species 5 Identified as Follows: <br> AW - Trembling Aspen; <br> BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| SP5P_AVI | Numeric | Species 5 Percent |
| spruceha | Numeric | Plot measured spruce species volume per hectare ( $15 / 11$ utilization) $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| Sptype | Character | Identifies trees as either: deciduous, pine, other coniferous species decid - Deciduous <br> pine - Pine <br> conif - Coniferous (Excludes pine and larch) |
| ST_Site | Character | Stand site quality classification LFG - Lower Foothills Good LFM - Lower Foothills Medium LFP - Lower Foothills Poor UFG - Upper Foothills Good UFM - Upper Foothills Medium UFP - Upper Foothills Poor |
| Stand* | Numeric | Stand Number Numeric |
| STAND_AVI | Numeric | AVI Stand ID |
| Standlast | Numeric | Stand number of previous record Numeric |
| Std_age | Numeric | Stand age (based on SoPM) |
| Std_cc | Character | Stand crown closure (based on SoPM) <br> A - 6\% to $30 \%$ <br> B-31\% to $50 \%$ <br> C-51\% to $70 \%$ <br> D-71\% to $100 \%$ <br> X - for poor sites only all crown closure classes are grouped into the " X " category. |
| Std_larch | Numeric | Stand percentage larch composition (based on SoPM) 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Std_sb | Numeric | Stand percentage black spruce composition (based on SoPM) 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Std_sp1 | Character | First species (based on SoPM) <br> AW - Trembling Aspen; <br> BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| Std_sp1per | Numeric | Stand percentage first species composition (based on SoPM) 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Std_sp2 | Character | Second species (based on SoPM) AW - Trembling Aspen; |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| STD_TYPE | Character | Stand Type <br> CON - Coniferous Dominated Stand <br> DEC - Deciduous Dominated Stand |
| Stdper_con | Numeric | Stand percentage coniferous composition (based on SoPM) 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Stdper_dec | Numeric | Stand percentage deciduous composition (based on SoPM) 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| Story | Character | Designates the SoPM <br> O - Overstory <br> A - Understory - Landbase assigned by overstory <br> U - Understory - Landbase assigned by understory <br> C - ARIS cut records |
| stpdbh_a, stpdbh b, stpdbh_c | Numeric | DBH / Stump Height Diameter coefficients Numeric |
| STRUCTURE | Character | Stand Structure Identified as Follows: <br> C - Complex; <br> H - Horizontal; <br> M - Multi-storey. |
| stumpage | Numeric | Stump age ( 30 cm ) <br> Numeric (years) |
| sw_si | Numeric | Stand site index - based on SW, SB, FB and FA SI at 50 years breast height age |
| sw_totage | Numeric | Stand age - based on SW, SB, FB and FA site trees Total age (years) |
| Swt_only | Numeric | Switch Stand Indicator 0 - Not a switch stand <br> 1 - Switch stand |
| swvol15ha | Numeric | Projected spruce species volume per hectare (15/11 utilization) in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| t_vol15ha | Numeric | Plot measured total volume per ha (15/11 Coniferous, 15/10 Deciduous ) $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} 0, \mathrm{t} 1, \mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{t} 3, \mathrm{t} 4, \mathrm{t} 5, \\ & \mathrm{t} 6 \end{aligned}$ | Numeric | Parameters for total volume function Numeric |
| totage | Numeric | Total Age of Stand Total age (years) |
| totmai | Numeric | Total mean annual increment $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ha / year |
| tottemp15ha | Numeric | Projected total volume per ha (15/11 Coniferous + 15/10 Deciduous) prior to deciduous mortality retention has been applied $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| totvol15ha | Numeric | Projected total volume per ha (15/11 Coniferous + 15/10 Deciduous) after deciduous mortality retention has been applied $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| TPR | Character | Timber Productivity Rating Identified as Follows: G - Good; |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M - Medium; } \\ & \text { F - Fair; } \\ & \text { U - Unproductive. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Tree | Numeric | Plot sampled tree number Sequential number |
| Tvol_lcl | Numeric | Plot Observed Lower 95\% confidence interval for total volume |
| Tvol_ucl | Numeric | Plot Observed Upper 95\% confidence interval for total volume |
| Tvol_x | Numeric | Plot Observed Mean total volume |
| Twp | Numeric | Township Numeric |
| TWP_AVI | Numeric | Township: |
| twplast | Numeric | Township of previous record Numeric |
| U_ANTHNONV | Character | Anthropogenic Non-Vegetated Land Identified as Follows: <br> AIF - Farm; <br> AIG - Gravel or borrow pit; <br> AIH - Permanent right-of-way; <br> AII - Industrial sites; <br> AIW - Water reservoir; <br> ASR - Ribbon development. |
| U_ANTHVEG | Character | Anthropogenic Vegetated Land Identified as Follows: <br> CA - Annual crops; <br> CIP - Pipeline; <br> CIW - Geophysical activity (wellsite); <br> CP - Cropland (perennial); <br> CPR - Perennial crops (with SO or SC N.F.TYPE). |
| U_CC_AVI | Character | Crown Closure Identified as Follows: <br> A - 6 - 30\% Crown Closure; <br> B - $31-50 \%$ Crown Closure; <br> C - $51-70 \%$ Crown Closure; <br> D-71-100\% Crown Closure. |
| U_EXT1_AVI | Numeric | Extent of Modification 1 |
| U_EXT2_AVI | Numeric | Extent of Modification 2 |
| U_HGT_AVI | Numeric | Height (m) |
| U_HORPER | Numeric | Stand Structure Value |
| U_INTERPRE | Character | Interpreter's Initials |
| U_LAND | Character | Understory Landbase CON - Coniferous <br> DEC - Deciduous |
| U_MOD1_AVI | Character | Stand Modifier 1 Identified as Follows: <br> AK - Animal kill; <br> BU - Burn; <br> CC - Clearcut; <br> CL - Clearing; <br> CW - Abandoned wellsite; <br> DT - Discolored / dead tops; <br> FL - Flooded; <br> MT - Microwave tower; <br> RW - Railway; <br> SC - Scarified; <br> SN - Snags; <br> ST - Scattered timber; <br> TH - Thinned; <br> TL - Transmission line; |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WF - Windfall. |
| U_MOD2_AVI | Character | Stand Modifier 2 Identified as Follows: <br> BU - Burn; <br> CC - Clearcut; <br> CL - Clearing; <br> GR - Grazing; <br> PL - Planted; <br> SC - Scarified; <br> SN - Snags; <br> TH - Thinned. |
| U_MOISTURE | Character | Moisture Regime Identified as Follows: <br> A - Aquatic; <br> D - Dry; <br> M - Mesic; <br> W - Wet. |
| U_NATNONVE | Character | Naturally Non-Vegetated Land Identified as Follows: <br> NMC - Cutbank; <br> NMS - Sand; <br> NWF - Flooded; <br> NWL - Lake or pond; <br> NWR - River. |
| U_NONFORCL | Numeric | Non-Forested Natural Vegetated Land Shrub Closure |
| U_NONFORTY | Character | Non-Forested Natural Vegetated Land Type Identified as Follows: <br> BR - Bryophytes / mosses; <br> HF - Herbaceous forbs; <br> HG - Herbaceous grass; <br> SC - Closed shrubs; <br> SO - Open shrubs. |
| U_ORIGIN | Numeric | Origin |
| U_REFSOURC | Character | Reference Source Identified as Follows: <br> A - Air call; <br> F - Field plot; <br> I - Interpreted TPR. |
| U_REFYEAR | Numeric | Reference Year |
| U_SP1_AVI | Character | Species 1 Identified as Follows: <br> AW - Trembling Aspen; <br> BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PJ - Jack Pine; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| U_SP1P_AVI | Numeric | Species 1 Percent |
| U_SP2_AVI | Character | Species 2 Identified as Follows: A - Unspecified Deciduous; <br> AW - Trembling Aspen; <br> BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PJ - Jack Pine; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| U_SP2P_AVI | Numeric | Species 2 Percent |
| U_SP3_AVI | Character | Species 3 Identified as Follows: A - Unspecified Deciduous; <br> AW - Trembling Aspen; <br> BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PJ - Jack Pine; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| U_SP3P_AVI | Numeric | Species 3 Percent |
| U_SP4_AVI | Character | Species 4 Identified as Follows: <br> AW - Trembling Aspen; <br> BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| U_SP4P_AVI | Numeric | Species 4 Percent |
| U_SP5_AVI | Character | Species 5 Identified as Follows: <br> AW - Trembling Aspen; <br> BW - White Birch; <br> FB - Balsam Fir; <br> LT - Larch; <br> P - Pine; <br> PB - Balsam Poplar; <br> PL - Lodgepole Pine; <br> SB - Black Spruce; <br> SW - White Spruce. |
| U_SP5P_AVI | Numeric | Species 5 Percent |
| U_STRUCTUR | Character | Stand Structure Identified as Follows: <br> H - Horizontal; <br> M - Multi-storey. |
| U_TPR | Character | Timber Productivity Rating Identified as Follows: <br> G - Good; <br> M - Medium; <br> F - Fair; <br> U - Unproductive. |
| U_YEAR1_AVI | Numeric | Year of Modification 1 |
| U_YEAR2_AVI | Numeric | Year of Modification 2 |
| UPer_Larch | Numeric | Understory percentage larch composition 1 to 10 - denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |
| UPer_Sb | Numeric | Understory percentage SB composition 1 to 10 -denotes composition in $10 \%$ categories |


| Field Name | Data Type | Description and Possible Values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upper | Character | Volume Record Indicator <br> Y - Record volume is an upper 95\% confidence interval |
| US_AGE | Numeric | Understory AVI Age <br> $=1998$ - origin (in years) |
| US_CC | Character | Stand understory crown closure <br> A - Low Density (indicates A or B - AVI crown closure) <br> C - Low Density (indicates C or D - AVI crown closure) |
| US_Cov | Character | Understory Cover Group <br> CX - Pure Coniferous Stand <br> CD - Coniferous Dominated Mixedwood <br> DC - Deciduous Dominated Mixedwood <br> DX - Pure Deciduous Stand |
| Year | Numeric | Year TSP sampled <br> 1996 to 1999 |
| Year_sam | Numeric | Year TSP sampled <br> 1996 to 1999 |
| YEAR1_AVI | Numeric | Year of Modification 1 |
| YEAR2_AVI | Year of Modification 2 |  |
| Yieldmodel | Plot in yield model identifier <br> YES - Plot has SI and is included in model <br> NO - Plot does not have SI and is not included in model |  |
| Yieldnum | Numeric | Yield Curve Number <br> Numeric |

*     - It is possible for stand and stand_avi to be different. Stand is based on field crew's intention of the stand they would sample. Whereas, stand_avi is the AVI stand number that each plot was located in based on the spatial location coverage. This is also true for $t w p$, and rge however this should be rare. Stand numbering had changed between the 1995 sample year and the production of the spatial coverage.


### 6.2 Validation of yield models

An analysis was completed to validate the final yield projections by comparing projected volume yields to field observed means (Figure 6-1 to Figure 6-26).

When comparing field observed means to projected yields the following must be understood:

1. The strength of a field measured mean is dependant upon the number of plots used to estimate a mean. To demonstrate, Figure 6-3 illustrates "C" crown closure stands on good sites; here the field measures for stand age 100, 110, and 120 are of most concern to us because they comprise the majority of the plots sampled and is within the likely harvest age range.
2. Due to the upper foothill being a relatively small area in the Edson FMA there was not as complete a sampling across the strata type as there was in the lower foothills. Therefore, for predicted yields total yields upper foothills and lower foothills data were combined, allowing for the lower foothills estimates to buttress the upper foothills data. The differences in predicted total volume between natural sub-regions were driven by the differences in SI. The field observed averages do not combine the plots from both natural sub-regions.

### 6.2.1 Verifying total yield projections from coniferous dominated stands

When compared to TSP field-measured mean volumes, the total yield projections for the coniferous total yield strata are shown to be reasonable estimates (Figure 6-1 to Figure 6-18). Focusing first on lower foothills good and medium sites, the yield projections for "C" crown closure stands (yield stratum \#3, and \#7) have a strong relationship with the estimated means (Figure 6-3 and Figure 6-7). The majority of plots that were sampled (in yield stratum \#3 and \#7) were between 100 and 120 years old and here the yield projections and field means are compatible.

The yield projections for upper foothills good site "C" crown closure stands aligned realistically with the field calculated means (Figure 6-12). Due to the upper foothills being a relatively small area in the Edson FMA crown closures "A", "B", and "D" were not sampled enough to have confidence in the field measured means. However, the alignment with the "C" crown closure stands along with the results from the lower foothills suggests the estimates are within reason. For both lower and upper foothills poor site stands, the yield projections and the field measured means align as expected (Figure 6-9 and Figure 6-18). Overall total volume projections for coniferous dominated stands match well with the field observed measurements.


Figure 6-1. Yield stratum \#1-Projected total volume (NSR= LF, Site=G, and CC=A) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-2. Yield stratum \#2 - Projected total volume (NSR=LF, Site=G, and CC=B) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-3. Yield stratum \#3 - Projected total volume (NSR= LF , Site=G, and CC=C) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-4. Yield stratum \#4 - Projected total volume (NSR=LF, Site=G, and CC=D) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-5. Yield stratum \#5 - Projected total volume (NSR=LF, Site=M, and CC=A) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-6. Yield stratum \#6 - Projected total volume (NSR=LF, Site=M, and CC=B) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-7. Yield stratum \#7-Projected total volume (NSR=LF, Site=M, and CC=C) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-8. Yield stratum \#8 - Projected total volume (NSR=LF, Site=M, and CC=D) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-9. Yield stratum \#9-Projected total volume (NSR=LF, Site=P, and CC=A to D) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-10. Yield stratum \#10 - Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=G, and CC=A) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-11. Yield stratum \#11 - Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=G, and CC=B) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-12. Yield stratum \#12 - Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=G, and CC=C) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-13. Yield stratum \#13 - Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=G, and CC=D) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-14. Yield stratum \#14 - Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=M, and CC=A) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-15. Yield stratum \#15-Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=M, and CC=B) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-16. Yield stratum \#16-Projected total volume (NSR=LF, Site=M, and CC=C) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-17. Yield stratum \#17-Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=M, and CC=D) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-18. Yield stratum \#18 - Projected total volume (NSR=UF, Site=P, and CC=A to D) from coniferous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.

### 6.2.2 Verifying total yield projections from deciduous dominated stands

When compared to TSP field measured-mean volumes the total yield projections for the 8 major deciduous strata (due to a lack of plots the poor site yield strata was not included) are shown to be reasonable (Figure

6-19 to Figure 6-26). For the most part, all yield projections in the lower foothills are comparable to the estimates provided by the TSP means. For upper foothills "C" crown closure stands were sampled with enough frequency to confirm that the yield projections emulate the observed field means.

When making these comparisons it must also be remembered that proposed yield curves have an additional deciduous mortality constant (section 2.6.6) applied (which is not included in the projections here to avoid confounding the comparison). Overall total volume projections for deciduous dominated stands match well with the field observed measurements.


Figure 6-19. Yield stratum \#19-Projected total volume (NSR= LF, Site=G, and CC=A) from deciduous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-20. Yield stratum \#20 - Projected total volume (NSR=LF, Site=G, and CC=B) from deciduous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-21. Yield stratum \#21 - Projected total volume (NSR= LF, Site=G, and CC=C) from deciduous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-22. Yield stratum \#22 - Projected total volume (NSR= LF, Site=G, and CC=D) from deciduous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-23. Yield stratum \#23 - Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=G, and CC=A) from deciduous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-24. Yield stratum \#24 - Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=G, and CC=B) from deciduous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-25. Yield stratum \#25-Projected total volume (NSR=UF, Site=G, and CC=C) from deciduous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.


Figure 6-26. Yield stratum \#26 - Projected total volume (NSR= UF, Site=G, and CC=D) from deciduous dominated stands compared to observed field measured means.

### 6.2.3 Verifying major species volume projections

Major species volumes were estimated by Equation 9, which in effect represents major species volume as a proportion of total volume. Therefore to check for errors an analysis was done that compared the proportional contribution of major species volume to total volume from field observations (coniferous volume/total volume - for coniferous dominated stands) to the results from Equation 9 with the total volume parameter remove:
Proportion of total volume contributed by major species $=(\mathrm{c} 0+\mathrm{cl} \bullet \mathrm{PC})$
Equation 13

## Coniferous Volumes

The results show that Equation 9 predicts coniferous volumes in accord with the field observations. The results are also consistent with statistical and biological expectations. For coniferous dominated stands (both in lower and upper foothills) the proportion of coniferous species volume increases consistently with increasing AVI coniferous composition (Figure 6-27). Therefore Equation 9 can be used with confidence for predicting the major species volume for coniferous dominated stands.


Figure 6-27. Verifying proportion of volume obtained from coniferous species based on different AVI coniferous composition on coniferous dominated stands (Yield projection versus field measured data).

Six examples of how coniferous volume predictions directly compare to field measured volumes







## Deciduous Volumes

The results show that Equation 9 predicts deciduous volumes in accord with the field observations. The results are also consistent with statistical and biological expectations. For deciduous dominated stands (both in lower and upper foothills) the proportion of deciduous species volume increases consistently with increasing AVI deciduous composition (Figure 6-27). Therefore Equation 9 can be used with confidence for predicting the major species volume for deciduous dominated stands.


Figure 6-28. Verifying proportion of volume obtained from deciduous species based on different AVI coniferous composition on deciduous dominated stands (Yield projection versus field measured data).

## Six examples of how deciduous volume predictions directly compare to field measured volumes








### 6.2.4 Analyzing plots in and out of the net landbase for bias

Of the 2885 plots that were evaluated for use in the total volume function 139 were in netted out areas (an area removed from the harvestable landbase). As discussed above subjective deletion stands were already removed from the analysis. Both the coniferous and deciduous plots below suggest that including these 139 plots do not bias the proposed yield curves.


Figure 6-29 Comparison of plot volumes of plots located in netted-out areas (not removed due to subjective deletions) versus plots located in the net harvestable coniferous landbase.


Figure 6-30 Comparison of plot volumes of plots located in netted-out areas (not removed due to subjective deletions) versus plots located in the net harvestable deciduous landbase.

### 6.3 Grouping ecosites into site quality groupings

Program: ecogroups.prg

Site productivity categories were identified on the basis of ecosite. SiteLogix was used to assign each plot to an ecosite based on the ecological classification system defined in Field Guide to Ecosites of West-central Alberta. Due to some ecosites being under represented in the Edson sampling program Drayton Valley FMA data were used to buttress the ecosites groupings (Please note: the compiled Drayton Valley data only is included in this submission - the raw plot data for the Drayton Valley data is available upon request or can be viewed during the Drayton Valley yield curve submission). This is a reasonable approach because the two FMAs are within the same natural subregions (Lower and Upper Foothills) and have similar forest vegetation. In addition, Weyerhaeuser Company's plans are to fully integrate sampling programs between the FMAs.

The following process was used to group site productivity classes:

1. Both Edson and Drayton Valley FMA TSP protocol included plot productivity information acquired by measuring the age and height of dominant and co-dominant trees.
2. The age and height of site trees (see section 2.3.1) were used to derive a site index value for deciduous and coniferous species groups at each plot.
3. Site index values for coniferous and deciduous species groups for each plot were stratified by ecosite classes based on the SiteLogix prediction for ecosites.
4. Box plots were used to analyze the distribution of site index values for SiteLogix ecosite calls.
5. Ecosite classes were grouped into productivity classes based on:
a. Median and mean productivity values,
b. Range of productivity variation,
c. Number of observations per class, and
d. Ecological relationship between classes.
e. Knowledge of harvesting history in the area

Table 6-1. Site quality groupings for coniferous and deciduous stands by Site Logix ecosite call

| Species Type | NSR | Site Quality | Ecosite Categories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coniferous | LF | Good | E, F |
|  |  | Medium | C, D, I |
|  |  | Poor | A, B, G, H, J, K, L, M, N |
|  | UF | Good | D, E, F |
|  |  | Medium | C, H, J |
|  |  | Poor | A, B, G, I, K, L, M, N |
| Deciduous ${ }^{1}$ | LF | Good | E, F, I |
|  |  | Medium | Ef -1 |
|  |  | Poor | A, B, C, D, G, H, J, K, L, M, N |
|  | UF | Good | E, F |
|  |  | Medium | - |
|  |  | Poor | A, B, C, D, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N |

${ }^{1}$ Only two productivity classes were used to represent deciduous because of the narrow range of site utilization or low numbers of observations.


Figure 6-31. Distribution of site index values in the Upper Foothills by SiteLogix ecosite class (includes Edson and Drayton Valley data)


Figure 6-32. Distribution of site index values in the Lower Foothills by SiteLogix ecosite class (includes Edson and Drayton Valley data)

### 6.3.1 TPR Versus Site Logix



Figure 6-33. TPR versus plot SI

### 6.4 Area Weight Yield Curves

Program: Area_weighted_curves_appendix

## Introduction

A timber supply analysis completed using numerous yield curves (such as the 161 within this report) will likely result in a more reasonable harvest sequence. When the data are stratified correctly the yield curves will represent a narrower range of volume plots rather than a coarse estimate of mean volume across a broad range of strata types. However, using several yield curves makes it difficult to understand what the average yield is across the net landbase (area that is considered available for industrial forest operations over the planning horizon) of the Edson FMA.

To provide an estimate of FMA level yields, the 161 yield curves were combined into four area weighted yield curves stratified by broad cover group.

## Methods

The area weighted yield curves were produced by the following steps:

1. Obtain the area and broad cover group assigned to each yield curve for each polygon within the net landbase across the FMA.
2. The total net area of each broad cover group is then divided into the area from each contributing yield curve to calculate the area proportion.
3. The coniferous and deciduous volume per hectare from each yield curve was then adjusted by the area proportion.
4. The adjusted volumes were then summed and rolled-up into a single estimate of volume for each broad cover group (CX, CD, DC, and DX).

## Results

## Broad Cover Group=CX

Total Coniferous Deciduous
AGE Volume Volume (15/11) Volume (15/10)

| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 40 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| 50 | 54 | 40 | 14 |
| 60 | 104 | 81 | 24 |
| 70 | 146 | 114 | 32 |
| 80 | 180 | 141 | 39 |
| 90 | 205 | 161 | 44 |
| 100 | 225 | 177 | 48 |
| 110 | 235 | 187 | 48 |
| 120 | 237 | 194 | 43 |
| 130 | 232 | 197 | 35 |
| 140 | 222 | 197 | 25 |
| 150 | 211 | 195 | 16 |
| 160 | 199 | 190 | 10 |
| 170 | 188 | 183 | 5 |
| 180 | 177 | 175 | 2 |
| 190 | 167 | 165 | 2 |
| 200 | 157 | 155 | 2 |



## Broad Cover Group=CD

Total Coniferous Deciduous
AGE Volume Volume(15/11) Volume(15/10)

| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 52 | 29 | 23 |
| 60 | 103 | 57 | 46 |
| 70 | 144 | 79 | 65 |
| 80 | 177 | 97 | 80 |
| 90 | 202 | 111 | 91 |
| 100 | 221 | 121 | 99 |
| 110 | 227 | 128 | 99 |
| 120 | 221 | 133 | 88 |
| 130 | 205 | 134 | 71 |
| 140 | 185 | 134 | 51 |
| 150 | 165 | 132 | 33 |
| 160 | 147 | 128 | 19 |
| 170 | 133 | 123 | 10 |
| 180 | 121 | 117 | 4 |
| 190 | 114 | 110 | 4 |
| 200 | 106 | 102 | 4 |



## Broad Cover Group=DC

|  | Total | Coniferous | Deciduous |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| AGE | Volume | Volume $(15 / 11)$ | Volume (15/10) |  |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 20 | 10 | 4 | 6 |  |
| 30 | 48 | 18 | 30 |  |
| 40 | 83 | 32 | 52 |  |
| 50 | 116 | 44 | 72 |  |
| 60 | 144 | 55 | 90 |  |
| 70 | 171 | 65 | 106 |  |
| 80 | 195 | 74 | 121 |  |
| 90 | 215 | 82 | 134 |  |
| 100 | 234 | 88 | 145 |  |
| 110 | 240 | 95 | 146 |  |
| 120 | 233 | 100 | 133 |  |
| 130 | 214 | 104 | 110 |  |
| 140 | 190 | 108 | 82 |  |
| 150 | 167 | 111 | 56 |  |
| 160 | 147 | 114 | 34 |  |
| 170 | 134 | 116 | 18 |  |
| 180 | 126 | 117 | 9 |  |
| 190 | 127 | 118 | 9 |  |
| 200 | 128 | 119 | 9 |  |



## Broad Cover Group=DX

| Total |  | Coniferous | Deciduous |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| AGE | Volume | Volume(15/11) | Volume(15/10) |  |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 20 | 12 | 2 | 10 |  |
| 30 | 53 | 9 | 44 |  |
| 40 | 90 | 16 | 75 |  |
| 50 | 124 | 21 | 102 |  |
| 60 | 154 | 27 | 127 |  |
| 70 | 181 | 31 | 150 |  |
| 80 | 205 | 36 | 170 |  |
| 90 | 227 | 39 | 188 |  |
| 100 | 246 | 43 | 203 |  |
| 110 | 250 | 45 | 204 |  |
| 120 | 234 | 48 | 186 |  |
| 130 | 204 | 50 | 154 |  |
| 140 | 167 | 52 | 115 |  |
| 150 | 131 | 53 | 78 |  |
| 160 | 102 | 55 | 47 |  |
| 170 | 81 | 56 | 25 |  |
| 180 | 69 | 56 | 12 |  |
| 190 | 69 | 57 | 12 |  |
| 200 | 70 | 57 | 13 |  |



### 6.5 Estimating change in piece size versus age

Recent planning standards require an estimate of piece size to be included in yield table development (Section 5.5.8 in Draft Albert Forest Management Planning Manual). While this requirement has theoretical validity, it is difficult to obtain reliable results from temporary sample plot (TSP) data because the model will need to be based on multiple trees on multiple sites rather than the change in piece of individual trees through time. Additionally, it is widely acknowledged that individual tree volume is impacted by stand competition (Husch et al 2003) and that stand density is weakly related to stand age. Therefore, even in the best case, the relationship between piece size and age will be weakly related.

## Caveats

This statistic is to be used as a reporting tool only; therefore the frailty of this relationship will not impact the final timber supply analysis results. If it is desired in the future to use piece size as a model constraint, a more rigorous estimate process (likely with PSP data) will need to be developed.

The piece size versus age model presented below was built from TSPs located in natural stands only. No data were available for regenerating stands; therefore as managed stands tend to regenerate from lower densities (compared to natural), the estimates provided from this model should be considered applicable to natural stands only.

## Background

Two potential piece size models were compared during preliminary testing (J.S Thrower and Associates. 2004. Modeling piece size. Memo to Weyerhaeuser, September 30, 2004. Presented to ASRD October 26, 2004).

- Option 1: Piece size (trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) modeled as a function of AVI stand age
- Option 2: Piece size modeled though a surrogate variable quadratic mean diameter ( DBHq ) as it is closely correlated to piece size

We observed that the piece size estimate using DBHq (Option 2) was stronger than the piece size estimate using trees $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$ for all of the major strata. Therefore, we decided to model piece size through the surrogate variable DBHq.

Program: PieceSize_appendix.sas

## Description of Process

The SAS program performs the following steps:

- Calculate DBHq for each TSP plot
- Assign each plot to a major strata
- Model DBHq as a function of AVI stand age

Each of the steps is described in the following section.

## Calculate Quadratic Mean Diameter (DBHq)

DBHq for coniferous and deciduous trees were calculated separately for each sampled stand. All merchantable stands with a valid measure of both DBHq and AVI stand age were used as an observation in the modeling process. Four strata were used to project piece size across the Edson FMA.

1. Coniferous dominated stands (C, or CD) - Good/Medium Sites - Lower/Upper Foothills - All crown closures (Understory managed stands not included)
2. Deciduous dominated stands (D, or DC) - Good Sites - Lower/Upper Foothills - All crown closures (Understory managed stands not included)
3. Poor Site (Both coniferous and deciduous dominated stands) - Lower/Upper Foothills - All crown closures (Understory managed stands not included)
4. Understory managed stands (Switch stands) only - All Sites - Lower/Upper Foothills - All crown closures

The prediction model used was:
QUAD_DBH $=\mathrm{q} 0 \bullet$ PLOT_AGE $\bullet \exp (\mathrm{q} 1 \bullet$ PLOT_AGE $)$
Equation 14
where:
QUAD_DBH - Quadratic Mean Diameter
PLOT_AGE - AVI stand age
q0, q1 - coefficients to be estimated
Site quality was assigned based on Sitelogix (Table 2-5). Due to greater similarity, coniferous good and medium sites were modeled together, while poor sites were kept separate.

Table 6-2. Coefficients for piece size models

| PStrata | Site | Stand Type | Tree Species Class | Q0 | Q1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Good/ Medium | Coniferous | Coniferous | 0.4300 | -0.00637 |
|  |  |  | Deciduous | 0.5031 | -0.00650 |
| 2 | Good | Deciduous | Coniferous | 0.6342 | -000923 |
|  |  |  | Deciduous | 0.5993 | -0.00760 |
| 3 | Poor | Coniferous / Deciduous | Coniferous | 0.4636 | -0.00858 |
|  |  |  | Deciduous | 0.5175 | -0.00848 |
| 4 | All | Understory Managed | Coniferous | 0.8203 | -0.01210 |
|  |  |  | Deciduous | 1.0599 | -0.01500 |

Red Text indicates not significant at 95\% confidence.

## Results

The results for all strata are similar with stand quadratic mean diameter increasing for both coniferous and deciduous trees as stands age (when immature to mature). However mature and over mature stands show the start of a slight decrease in stand quadratic mean. Perhaps this can be explained by large dominant trees dying and being replace intermediate trees.

Table 6-3. Strata 1 - Projected stand quadratic mean diameter for coniferous dominated stands on good and medium sites

|  | Quadratic Mean Diameter |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stand Age | Coniferous | Deciduous |
| 10 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| 20 | 7.6 | 8.8 |
| 30 | 10.7 | 12.4 |
| 40 | 13.3 | 15.5 |
| 50 | 15.6 | 18.2 |
| 60 | 17.6 | 20.4 |
| 70 | 19.3 | 22.3 |
| 80 | 20.7 | 23.9 |
| 90 | 21.8 | 25.2 |
| 100 | 22.7 | 26.3 |
| 110 | 23.5 | 27.1 |
| 120 | 24.0 | 27.7 |
| 130 | 24.4 | 28.1 |
| 140 | 24.7 | 28.4 |
| 150 | 24.8 | 28.5 |
| 160 | 24.8 | 28.5 |
| 170 | 24.8 | 28.3 |
| 180 | 24.6 | 28.1 |
| 190 | 24.4 | 27.8 |
| 200 | 24.1 | 27.4 |



Figure 6-34. Strata 1 - Projected stand quadratic mean diameter of merchantable coniferous and deciduous trees located within good and medium site coniferous dominated stands ( $C$ or CD).

Table 6-4. Strata 2 - Projected stand quadratic mean diameter for deciduous dominated stands on good sites

|  | Quadratic Mean Diameter |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stand Age | Coniferous | Deciduous |
| 10 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| 20 | 10.5 | 10.3 |
| 30 | 14.4 | 14.3 |
| 40 | 17.5 | 17.7 |
| 50 | 20.0 | 20.5 |
| 60 | 21.9 | 22.8 |
| 70 | 23.3 | 24.6 |
| 80 | 24.2 | 26.1 |
| 90 | 24.9 | 27.2 |
| 100 | 25.2 | 28.0 |
| 110 | 25.3 | 28.6 |
| 120 | 25.1 | 28.9 |
| 130 | 24.8 | 29.0 |
| 140 | 24.4 | 29.0 |
| 150 | 23.8 | 28.8 |
| 160 | 23.2 | 28.4 |
| 170 | 22.5 | 28.0 |
| 180 | 21.7 | 27.5 |
| 190 | 20.9 | 26.9 |
| 200 | 20.0 | 26.2 |



Figure 6-35. Strata 2 - Projected stand quadratic mean diameter of merchantable coniferous and deciduous trees located within good site deciduous dominated stands (D or DC).

Table 6-5. Strata 3 - Projected stand quadratic mean diameter for stands on poor sites

|  | Quadratic Mean Diameter |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stand Age | Coniferous | Deciduous |
| 10 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| 20 | 7.8 | 8.7 |
| 30 | 10.8 | 12.0 |
| 40 | 13.2 | 14.7 |
| 50 | 15.1 | 16.9 |
| 60 | 16.6 | 18.7 |
| 70 | 17.8 | 20.0 |
| 80 | 18.7 | 21.0 |
| 90 | 19.3 | 21.7 |
| 100 | 19.7 | 22.2 |
| 110 | 19.8 | 22.4 |
| 120 | 19.9 | 22.4 |
| 130 | 19.8 | 22.3 |
| 140 | 19.5 | 22.1 |
| 150 | 19.2 | 21.8 |
| 160 | 18.8 | 21.3 |
| 170 | 18.3 | 20.8 |
| 180 | 17.8 | 20.2 |
| 190 | 17.3 | 19.6 |
| 200 | 16.7 | 19.0 |



Figure 6-36. Strata 3 - Projected stand quadratic mean diameter of merchantable coniferous and deciduous trees located within poor site stands (C, CD, DC, or D).

Table 6-6. Strata 4 - Projected stand quadratic mean diameter for understory managed stands

|  | Quadratic Mean Diameter |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stand Age | Coniferous | Deciduous |
| 10 | 7.3 | 9.1 |
| 20 | 12.9 | 15.7 |
| 30 | 17.1 | 20.3 |
| 40 | 20.2 | 23.3 |
| 50 | 22.4 | 25.0 |
| 60 | 23.8 | 25.9 |
| 70 | 24.6 | 26.0 |
| 80 | 24.9 | 25.5 |
| 90 | 24.8 | 24.7 |
| 100 | 24.5 | 23.6 |
| 110 | 23.8 | 22.4 |
| 120 | 23.0 | 21.0 |
| 130 | 22.1 | 19.6 |
| 140 | 21.1 | 18.2 |
| 150 | 20.0 | 16.8 |
| 160 | 18.9 | 15.4 |
| 170 | 17.8 | 14.1 |
| 180 | 16.7 | 12.8 |
| 190 | 15.6 | 11.6 |
| 200 | 14.6 | 10.6 |
|  |  |  |



Figure 6-37. Strata 4 - Projected stand quadratic mean diameter of merchantable coniferous and deciduous trees located within understory managed stands.

### 6.6 Comparing volumes from different utilization standards

The coniferous volume projections used for this project were based on $15 / 11$ utilization and a 15 cm stump height, which are the current standards used in the Edson FMA. To understand how utilization and stump height impact the results, the volumes obtained from two other standards were compared to the proposed standard. The alternate methods are a "traditional" standard which uses a $15 / 11$ utilization with a 30 cm stump height and an "opportunity" standard which is a $13 / 7$ utilization with a 15 cm stump height.

The results for yield curve \#18 (NSR= LF, Site=Good, and CC=C) is the only comparison presented, however the results are representative of all FMA yield curves. The curve form is similar regardless of the standard used (based on Equation 7 and Equation 9); as would be expected the "traditional" standard projects slightly less volume and the "opportunity" standard provides somewhat more volume. For a TSA, changes in volume projection to harvest ages are of the most consequence. Compared to the "proposed" standard, at a stand age of 100 years the traditional method shows a $\approx 4 \%$ decrease in volume, while the "opportunity" method indicates $\mathrm{a} \approx \% 9$ increase in volume utilized (Table 6-7).

Table 6-7. Comparison of projected coniferous yields (Yield Curve\#18) with different utilization standards and stump heights

|  | Projected Coniferous Volume |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Proposed Standard <br> Stand age <br> Stump height: 15cm) | Traditional Standard <br> (Utilization: 15/11 <br> Stump height: 30cm) | Opportunity Standard <br> (Utilization: 13/7 <br> Stump height: 15cm) |  |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | 11 |  |
| 50 | 60 | 57 | 78 |  |
| 60 | 109 | 104 | 131 |  |
| 70 | 149 | 142 | 174 |  |
| 80 | 180 | 173 | 207 |  |
| 90 | 204 | 196 | 232 |  |
| 100 | 222 | 214 | 249 |  |
| 110 | 234 | 225 | 260 |  |
| 120 | 241 | 233 | 266 |  |
| 130 | 244 | 236 | 266 |  |
| 140 | 243 | 235 | 263 |  |
| 150 | 239 | 232 | 256 |  |
| 160 | 232 | 226 | 246 |  |
| 170 | 223 | 218 | 234 |  |
| 180 | 212 | 208 | 220 |  |
| 190 | 199 | 196 | 204 |  |
| 200 | 186 | 183 | 187 |  |

### 6.7 Data to be output to Woodstock Model

Program: 10_Woodstock

A program was used to combine yield curves and piece size data into a single file which will form the basis of the yield section in the Woodstock TSA model.

### 6.8 Estimating AAC potential from marginal stands

## Introduction

Weyerhaeuser has submitted a DFMP that proposes an annual allowable cut level for the Edson FMA. Complicating this process, the Edson FMA has a number of timber operators with diverse standards. These operators have agreement upon the definition of what constitutes a truly merchantable stand (see the landbase allocation report). However, there is a relatively small range of forest types (hereafter called marginal) where some disparities between operators arose as to if marginal stands are viable for operations.

Weyerhaeuser proposes to address this problem through the approach discussed below. This method is based on previous discussions with officials from Alberta Sustainable Resource Development.

## Methods

A summary of the steps are as follows:

1. Identify net landbase (first ignore marginal stands) - During the landbase allocation portion of the DFMP process Weyerhaeuser in discussion with other Edson FMA timber harvesters developed a set of agreed upon "rules" to identify the merchantable landbase. These rules were used in the submitted November 24, 2004 Landbase Allocation document. Alberta SRD gave an agreement-inprinciple to this document in February of 2005. Therefore, the Edson FMA AACs will be based upon this "approved" netdown procedure along with the "approved" submitted February 4, 2005 yield curves. At this initial stage marginal stands were not addressed.
2. Identify marginal stands - In the November 24, 2004 Landbase Allocation document subjective deletion rules were to identify stands located on undesirable (often too wet) harvest sites. Two subjective deletion rules were used: 1) Stands with $10 \%$ or more Larch composition or; 2) Stands with $80 \%$ or more Black spruce composition. All stands that met either of the above criteria were removed from the net landbase.

Some Edson FMA timber harvesters expressed a concern that the above subjective deletion rules were too coarse and removed some merchantable stands. During meetings with Edson FMA timber harvesters the following rules were agreed upon to indicate potential marginal stands (all the following must be true to qualify).
a. Stand must have been identified as a subjective deletion in the November 24, 2004 Landbase Allocation document and have no more than $20 \%$ larch composition
b. Stand must be greater than and equal to 14 m tall
c. Stand must have greater than an "A" crown closure

The above rules were applied to stands that had a single removal of being subjectively deleted only. For example, if a stand was both a subjective deletion and was within a water buffer it could not be considered as a "marginal" stand.
3. Estimate volume from marginal stands - In the February 4, 2005 yield curve submission, plots located within marginal areas were removed and did not contribute to the final yield curve projections. Therefore, plot volumes sampled on marginal area needed to be compiled. The compilation process was the same as that used in the February 4, 2005 yield curve submission. Five SAS programs were used:
a. 01mergetsp_marginal
b. 02si_marginal
c. 03htdbh_marginal
d. 04volume_marginal
e. 05marginal_standvol

A conservative rotation age of 140 years was assumed for marginal stands. Thus, only compiled volumes for plots within marginal stands 120 to 160 years old were used to calculate a mean volume $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$. Mean annual increment (MAI) was then calculated by dividing by 140 years.
4. Estimate marginal stand AAC - The maximum possible AAC from marginal stands was calculated by multiplying MAI by marginal stand area for each FMU.
5. Locate marginal stands on Stanley sequence map - After the proposed Stanley harvest sequences have been derived (marginal stands not included) the marginal stands will be identified on a map to provide visual representation of where the opportunities exist for harvesting marginal stand in association with sequenced stands.
6. Allocation - Operators will likely not sequence marginal stands in isolation but rather in association with stands within the net landbase already sequenced. Operator allocation of marginal stands will be in proportional to the conifer allocation as described within this dfmp. As an example, a quota holder having $10 \%$ of the conifer AAC in a FMU would be able to access up to $10 \%$ of the volumes indicated in Table 1 below in any quadrant.

## Analysis and Results

## Estimating Marginal Stand Yields

The numbers below were obtained from the program 05_marginalvol.sas.
Number of plots located in subjective deletion stands (February 4, 2005 yield curve report) $=\mathbf{3 3 2}$ plots
Number of plots located in subjective deletion stands + no more than $20 \%$ larch composition or $80 \%$ or greater black spruce composition $=\mathbf{2 8 7}$ plots

Number of plots located in subjective deletion stands + no more than $20 \%$ larch composition or $80 \%$ or greater black spruce composition + stand height $>=14 \mathrm{~m}+$ crown closure $>=$ " $B$ " density $=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ plots

Number of plots located in subjective deletion stands + no more than $20 \%$ larch composition or $80 \%$ or greater black spruce composition + stand height $>=14 \mathrm{~m}+$ crown closure $>=$ " $B$ " density + stand age between 120 and 160 years old $=46$ plots

Mean volumes for 46 plots on marginal stands 140 to 160 years old
Total Volume $=125 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$
Coniferous Volume $=123 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$
Deciduous Volume $=2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$

Coniferous MAI $=123 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha} / 140 \mathrm{yrs}=0.88 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$
Deciduous MAI $=2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha} / 140 \mathrm{yrs}=0.01 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$

Table 29. Estimated annual gross* marginal stand volumes for FMUs E1F, E2F, W5F, and W6F in FMA\#970035.

| FMU | Marginal <br> Stand Area <br>  <br> $(\mathbf{h a )}$ | Coniferous <br> MAI | Coniferous <br> Volume <br> $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3} / \mathbf{y r})}\right.$ | Deciduous <br> MAI | Deciduous <br> Volume <br> $\mathbf{( m}^{\mathbf{3} / \mathbf{y r})}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E1F | 2,795 | 0.88 | 2,460 | 0.01 | 28 |
| E2F | 2,875 | 0.88 | 2,530 | 0.01 | 29 |
| W5F | 754 | 0.88 | 664 | 0.01 | 8 |
| W6F | 3,358 | 0.88 | 2,955 | 0.01 | 34 |
| FMA | 9,782 |  | 8,608 | 98 |  |

*does not take into account cull, retention, or spatial reduction percentage
$\dagger-$ marginal stand areas were obtained from the netdown document.

### 6.9 Converting to coniferous $15 / 10$ utilization volume from $15 / 11$ coniferous utilization volume

Report Provided by: J.S. Thrower \& Associates Ltd.

Yield tables were developed for Weyerhaeuser's Edson FMA and submitted to ASRD February 2005. These yield tables were developed based on the coniferous utilization standard of 15 cm minimum stump height and 11 cm minimum top diameter ( $15 / 11$ utilization). Weyerhaeuser requires a simple conversion factor for operators who want to use a 10 cm minimum top diameter ( $15 / 10$ utilization). This memo describes the procedures used to determine the factor for converting conifer $15 / 11$ yield tables to $15 / 10$ yield tables.

The basic steps to calculate the conversion factor were:

1. Recompile the tree- and plot-level data with a conifer $15 / 10$ utilization standard.

We used the same compilation routine as used in the $15 / 11$ analysis and simply changed the conifer minimum top diameter to 10 cm in the tree-level volume calculation. The compilation routine then compiles the plot-level volumes using the alternate utilization standard.
2. Refit the volume-age yield tables using the $15 / 10$ utilization volume compilation.

We used the same yield table modeling routine as used in the 15/11 analysis (no changes necessary). The volume age curves were fit to the higher 15/10 utilization plot-level volumes (Figure 41).
3. Compare the resulting $15 / 10$ yield tables to the original $15 / 11$ tables curves. We did a logical comparison of the $15 / 10$ utilization yield tables to $15 / 11$ utilization yield tables (i.e. the $15 / 10$ yield tables do not cross below the $15 / 11$ yield tables) (Figure 41 ).


Figure 41. Alternate utilizations for yield table number 14.
4. Compute the average percent difference between $15 / 10$ and $15 / 11$ utilization at timber harvest ages for each yield table.
Weyerhaeuser determined that the average timber harvesting age over the next 20 years for coniferous stands is approximately 110 years age. We calculated the percent difference between $15 / 10$ and $15 / 11$ utilization yield tables for each base yield table ( 161 in total) between the ages of 90 to 130 years (Figure 42).


Figure 42. 15/10 percent difference from 15/11 volume.
5. Compute the area-weighted average percent difference between $15 / 10$ and $15 / 11$ yield tables.

We attached the net landbase area to each analysis unit and calculated the area-weighted average of the volume ratio between the $15 / 10$ and $15 / 11$. The $15 / 10$ conifer yield tables were, on average, $2.99 \%$ higher than the $15 / 11$ conifer yield tables (Table 30, Table 31).

Table 30. Yield table 15/11 to $15 / 10$ conversion factors.

| landbase | area(ha) | area <br> (\%) | total volume |  | conifer volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / 10 \% \text { diff. } \\ & \text { from } 15 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | conversion factor | $\begin{gathered} 15 / 10 \% \\ \text { diff. from } \\ 15 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | conversion factor |
| C | 157,875 | 56.4 | 2.68 | 1.0268 | 3.52 | 1.0352 |
| D | 122,232 | 43.6 | 0.60 | 1.0060 | 2.32 | 1.0232 |
| All | 280,107 | 100.0 | 1.77 | 1.0177 | 2.99 | 1.0299 |

Table 31. Conversion factors for largest analysis units in the landbase (up to 80\% of area of landbase).

| landbase | yield number | area <br> (ha) | area <br> (\%) | total volume |  | conifer volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 15/10 \% diff. from 15/11 | conversion factor | $\begin{gathered} 15 / 10 \% \\ \text { diff. from } \\ 15 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | conversion factor |
| D | 13 | 21,316 | 7.6\% | 0.56 | 1.0056 | 2.78 | 1.0278 |
| D | 14 | 19,835 | 7.1\% | 0.57 | 1.0057 | 2.27 | 1.0227 |
| C | 42 | 16,938 | 6.0\% | 2.78 | 1.0278 | 3.46 | 1.0346 |
| D | 15 | 16,522 | 5.9\% | 0.58 | 1.0058 | 2.04 | 1.0204 |
| C | 41 | 9,984 | 3.6\% | 2.77 | 1.0277 | 3.51 | 1.0351 |
| C | 14 | 9,616 | 3.4\% | 2.33 | 1.0233 | 3.32 | 1.0332 |
| C | 109 | 9,607 | 3.4\% | 1.75 | 1.0175 | 3.53 | 1.0353 |
| D | 16 | 9,053 | 3.2\% | 0.58 | 1.0058 | 1.92 | 1.0192 |
| C | 17 | 8,786 | 3.1\% | 2.34 | 1.0234 | 3.07 | 1.0307 |
| D | 19 | 7,095 | 2.5\% | 0.49 | 1.0049 | 2.71 | 1.0271 |
| D | 17 | 6,513 | 2.3\% | 0.59 | 1.0059 | 1.84 | 1.0184 |
| C | 13 | 6,497 | 2.3\% | 2.33 | 1.0233 | 3.47 | 1.0347 |
| C | 54 | 6,250 | 2.2\% | 5.13 | 1.0513 | 5.84 | 1.0584 |
| D | 7 | 6,233 | 2.2\% | 0.58 | 1.0058 | 2.80 | 1.0280 |
| C | 48 | 6,121 | 2.2\% | 4.33 | 1.0433 | 5.03 | 1.0503 |
| C | 15 | 5,676 | 2.0\% | 2.33 | 1.0233 | 3.21 | 1.0321 |
| C | 16 | 5,141 | 1.8\% | 2.33 | 1.0233 | 3.13 | 1.0313 |
| D | 8 | 4,709 | 1.7\% | 0.59 | 1.0059 | 2.29 | 1.0229 |
| D | 20 | 4,362 | 1.6\% | 0.50 | 1.0050 | 2.20 | 1.0220 |
| C | 36 | 4,338 | 1.5\% | 2.23 | 1.0223 | 2.92 | 1.0292 |
| C | 2 | 3,793 | 1.4\% | 1.78 | 1.0178 | 2.76 | 1.0276 |
| C | 6 | 3,321 | 1.2\% | 1.78 | 1.0178 | 2.47 | 1.0247 |
| C | 53 | 3,301 | 1.2\% | 5.13 | 1.0513 | 5.89 | 1.0589 |
| C | 71 | 3,156 | 1.1\% | 2.30 | 1.0230 | 2.74 | 1.0274 |
| C | 72 | 3,153 | 1.1\% | 2.29 | 1.0229 | 2.64 | 1.0264 |
| D | 10 | 2,903 | 1.0\% | 0.60 | 1.0060 | 1.94 | 1.0194 |
| D | 9 | 2,696 | 1.0\% | 0.60 | 1.0060 | 2.06 | 1.0206 |
| C | 23 | 2,462 | 0.9\% | 3.76 | 1.0376 | 4.50 | 1.0450 |
| C | 5 | 2,422 | 0.9\% | 1.78 | 1.0178 | 2.52 | 1.0252 |
| C | 35 | 2,323 | 0.8\% | 2.23 | 1.0223 | 2.97 | 1.0297 |
| C | 11 | 2,244 | 0.8\% | 1.81 | 1.0181 | 2.54 | 1.0254 |
| C | 47 | 2,181 | 0.8\% | 4.33 | 1.0433 | 5.08 | 1.0508 |
| C | 40 | 2,164 | 0.8\% | 2.77 | 1.0277 | 3.58 | 1.0358 |
| C | 30 | 2,162 | 0.8\% | 2.34 | 1.0234 | 3.02 | 1.0302 |
| D | 4 | 2,150 | 0.8\% | 0.53 | 1.0053 | 1.86 | 1.0186 |

### 6.10 Summary of volume sampling procedures

This section outlines the sampling procedures used in the Weyerhaeuser TSP programs. The Weyerhaeuser TSP program has changed slightly over the years with technological advances and changes in objectives. Within each year the program remained constant, therefore the volume sampling procedures will be explained for each year with similar years grouped.

## Sample design

The same sample design was applied in 1996, 1997 and 1999. Stands were stratified on the basis of broad cover group, dominant species, density and height class of the overstory cover type. Four broad cover groups were considered: C, CD, DC and D. In the C, and CD covertypes the dominant species was defined as the leading conifer species. In the D , and DC covertypes the dominant species was the leading deciduous species. Stands with trembling aspen and balsam poplar as the dominant species were combined. Four 5metre height classes were defined ( 5 to $9 \mathrm{~m}, 10$ to $14 \mathrm{~m}, 15$ to 19 m and 20 metres or more). In general, plots were distributed throughout these strata proportional to area.

The objective of the sampling program, conducted in 1996, 1997 and 1999 was to sample stands that were likely to be harvested. Based on the program objectives the following stands were for the most part excluded from sampling; stands with an 'Unproductive' timber productivity rating (TPR), stands with a 30\% and greater larch component and stands with a $50 \%$ or more black spruce component with an ' A ' crown closure.

The sample design differed in 1998 because the TSP program had a different objective. The purpose of the TSP program in 1998 was to determine the merchantability of 'A' crown closure deciduous stands. For this reason only stands that met this criteria were included in the sampling population.

In 1996 and 1997, stands were selected by choosing a central sampling location from a list of township quarter section centres within the Weyerhaeuser FMA area. For each randomly selected quarter section centre, three stands within 1.5 km that met the stratification criteria were chosen. Plots were clustered three per stand. The first plot was randomly located within the stand and the second and third plots were placed 100 metres apart on an azimuth of either East, North, South or West depending on the location of the first plot within the stand. All plots were located prior to fieldwork.

In 1998 and 1999 a slightly different stand and plot selection method was applied. A seamless forest cover was created removing administrative boundaries caused by townships. Transect lines were systematically placed every 300 metres, from an arbitrarily chosen starting point at the bottom right boundary corner of the Edson library index coverage. Transects were split at the polygon edges and either one, two or three plots were placed at least 20 metres apart along transects, at distances determined by a random number generator.

The intent of the program is to sample stands that may be included in the productive land base for timber management purposes. The volume sampling program is primarily intended for yield curve development for forecasting timber supplies in both the deciduous and coniferous land bases.

## STAND AND PLOT SELECTION:

A central sampling location will be randomly selected from a list of all township quarter section centres. For each randomly selected quarter section, three stands within 1.5 km . of the quarter section centre will be selected. Plots will be randomly selected within each selected stand. Stand selection will be without replacement.. Where access is extremely poor, plots may be replaced with alternates.

## PLOT CONFIGURATION:

The plots will be fixed area plots of 160 m 2 ( a circular plot with a radius of 7.14 M ).

## Field procedures

Field procedures remained reasonably consistent over the four years of the program. Tie points were established for each plot cluster using mapped features such as roads and seismic lines. Plots were circular in shape with a 7.14 -meter radius $\left(160 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$. Plots were not to be moved in the event of natural features such as small openings or creeks. Plots were moved if they were located on seismic lines or newly constructed features not typed out on the map. These plots were moved a distance of 20 metres past the disturbance. All trees with a diameter at breast height $(\mathrm{DBH})$ greater than 7.0 centimeters had the species, DBH , condition code and crown class recorded. Additional information, age at breast height and total height was collected from sample trees at each plot. A minimum of three conifer sample trees were selected in pure conifer stands. Similarly, three deciduous sample trees were necessary in pure deciduous stands. In mixedwood stands, three conifer and three deciduous sample trees were selected. In plots with less than the required number of sample trees, trees were chosen from outside of the plot, but within the same stand. Species, height and DBH were measured on all sample trees taken from outside of the plot. The trees selected from outside the plot were the trees closest to plot center. All cores were taken to an office environment for counting.

## MEASUREMENTS:

Plot establishment: All plots are to be marked with an aluminum stake to facilitate check cruising. GPS will be used to record the location of plot centre. Plots are not to be moved for natural features such as openings in stands and creeks. If the plot falls on a seismic line, then the plot should be moved 20 metres perpendicular to the direction of the seismic line. If the plot lands on a newly constructed feature that would be typed out in AVI, such as a well site or road, proceed forward or backwards on the same line of travel to a distance of 20 meters past the edge of the new disturbance.

Tree Measurements: All trees of 7.0 cm . dbh or greater are to be tallied. Height is to be measured on every fifth tree tallied on a plot (in pure stands, a maximum of 5 trees measured for height is satisfactory ). The following measurements are to be recorded on all trees - species, dbh, condition code, and crown class

Every fifth tree will have the following additional measurements recorded: total height, height to live crown, and age.

Ages are to be recorded on every plot (from dominant and codominant trees which also have a height taken). A minimum of 3 trees of coniferous species are to be aged in pure conifer stands. Similarly, 3 age trees are necessary in pure deciduous stands. In mixedwood stands, aging will be done on 3 conifer and 3 deciduous trees. In plots with less than the required number of trees, age trees are to be taken from trees outside of the plot (but within the same stand. Species, height and dbh are to be measured on age trees outside of the plot. The trees selected from outside the plot will be the trees closest to plot centre.) All cores are to be taken to an office environment for counting, and will be maintained by the contractor for checking by Weyerhaeuser, if required.

## Plot Description:

- AVI field type (overstory and understory)
- overstory condition : decadent, overmature, mature, immature
- evidense of previous harvesting
- ecosite and community classification based on the Field Guide to Ecosites of West Central Alberta (Beckingham and Archibald).


## Coniferous Understory:

Coniferous understory will be surveyed with sub-plots of 100 m 2 (centred at the plot centre of the tree plot). A dot tally of the coniferous stems greater than 0.3 m in height and less than 7.0 cm dbh will be conducted. Coniferous understory stems are to be counted by species and height class ( $0.3 \mathrm{~m}-$ $2 \mathrm{~m}, 2.1 \mathrm{~m}-4 \mathrm{~m}, 4.1 \mathrm{~m}-6 \mathrm{~m}, 6.1 \mathrm{~m}+$ ).

### 6.11 TSP Quality Control Checklist

| Measurement | Allowable Error |
| :---: | :---: |
| PLOT INFORMATION |  |
| Direction from Tie-point | - directions from the tie-point to the witness tree must be complete enough to facilitate plot relocation (specific allowance has not been defined because of variability in distances, etc. |
| Plot Centre Location | - bearing and horizontal distance to the plot centre must be within 2 degrees of the bearing and within + or $-2 \%$ of the distance |
| TREE INFORMATION |  |
| Ages | - ages must be within + or - 4 years for conifers and + or - 10 years for deciduous trees |
| No. of Trees | - no error allowed; all stems 7.1 cm or greater with the plot boundary must be numbered |
| No. of Understory Stems | - total number of stems by species must be within $10 \%$ of check cruise |
| Tree\Sapling Species | - no error allowed, all species must be identified correctly |
| Tree DBH | - must be within + or -0.5 cm |
| Height | - must be within + or - $5 \%$ |
| ECOSITE INFORMATION |  |
| Humus Form | - must be correctly identified |


| Drainage | - with + or - one drainage class |
| :--- | :--- |
| Depth to mottles, <br> water, gleying, <br> drainage | - within + or $-10 \%$ of the actual depth |
| Texture | - within + or - one texture class |
| Ecosite Phase | - correct to the ecosite phase level |
| Aspect | - within + or -15 degrees |
| Slope Position | - within + or - one topographic position |
| Slope | - within + or $-5 \%$ |
| Vegetation | - must be within one cover class |


| TREE MEASUREMENTS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tree DBH | - if more than $5 \%$ of the total numbered trees are incorrect (not within <br> 0.5 cm.$)$, all plots established by the crew in the entire day will have to be <br> remeasured |
| Height | - if more than $20 \%$ of the heights checked are incorrect (not within 5\%) <br> the heights in all plots established by the crew in the entire day will have <br> to be remeasured |
| No. of Trees | - if any trees (of 7.1 cm or greater) are incorrectly tallied as being in or <br> out of the plot, then all plots established by the crew in the entire day will <br> have to be remeasured |
| Species | - if any trees are tallied with incorrect species, then all plots within that <br> stand will require revisitation to confirm species identification |
| ECOSITE CLASSIFICATION: Rating System |  |


| Humus form | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Drainage | 1 |
| Depth to mottles, water, gleying, <br> drainage | .5 |


| Texture | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ecosite Phase | 1 |
| Aspect | .5 |
| Slope position | .5 |
| Slope | .25 <br> eac <br> hegetation |
| If weighted errors in the above ecosite <br> rating system are greater than 2, all other <br> plots surveyed that day will have to be <br> rechecked. |  |


| Completeness <br> of Tally Sheets | - all appropriate fields on the tally sheets should be filled in. Field <br> crews with missing entries will be required to revisit plots to fill in <br> missing entries. Correct codes are to be used. |
| :--- | :--- |

6.12 March 31, 2005 Approval Letter
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March 31, 200 S

Mr. Paul Scott
Planning Forester
Weyerhaeuser Company Ltd.
2509 Aspen Drive
Edson, Alberta
T7E 1S8

Dear Mr. Scott:

## REF: APPROVAL - WEYERHAEUSER EDSON YIELD CURVES

The Forest Management Branch has completed its review of the report "Edson DFMP Yield Curve Development (February 4, 2005)". The Resource Analysis Section agrees-in-principle with the methodology, can replicate the generated yield estimates, and the calculations appear reasonable.

Weyerhaeuser Edson's Yield Curves are acceptable for use in the Detailed Forest Management Plan (DFMP) on the condition that the following items are corrected and a complete and revised document is included in the DFMP.

1. Required Action: Piece size was calculated as quadratic mean diameter ( DBHq ). There are a couple problems with this analysis which require action prior to final submission:
a. Piece size is modeled within a different set of strata then the yield strata. Therefore, a piece size strata field should be created to ensure a clean link to the net landbase.
b. This analysis should only include stems that contribute merchantable volume, as this is intended to evaluate piece size of merchantable stems. It appears that all stems were included in the submitted analysis. The company must recompile the DBHq to include only merchantable stems and refit the piece size models.
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c. Model DBHq with stand age defined by the story of primary management not simply the overstory, as the story of primary management will be the one utilized when the model is applied to the net landbase. The company must refit the piece size models based on the stand ages from story of primary management.
d. The yield curve development report needs to include resulting model coefficients and tables of piece size values for each piece size stratum.
2. Editorial: Table 2-9 on page 18 of the document appears to have the coefficients mixed up. For example, in the second row 'LFG' 'Bw' should be 'LFG' 'Aw'. It appears that this is only an issue in the documentation and not in the SAS code. Check to make sure that the correct coefficients were used for the compilation and make appropriate corrections in the final submission.
3. Editorial: It appears that the wrong graph was inserted on page 197. Check to be sure all graphics and tables represent the final yield predictions and make appropriate corrections in the final submission.
4. Editorial: The comment in the results and discussion regarding a decline observed in the conifer dominated stands is odd as relatively little decline is observed. An assumption stated in the document regarding a truncated yield function at 160 years and a constant yield following 160 years has not been applied nor is it appropriate for these yield functions. Remove this comment from the discussion, to reduce any potential confusion regarding whether or not such an adjustment was applied to the curves.
5. Editorial: Include a description of how the area weighted yield functions were generated. This can be relatively brief, as long as it is clearly described.
6. Outstanding Check: We were unable to verify if the yield strata are being assigned the same to the net landbase as they are assigned for use in model development and that the same net landbase areas are being reported. This check will need to be conducted upon submission of a final net landbase. Please contact this office to discuss.
7. Caution: The methodology used to calculate site index (SI) makes it incompatible with any application to specific stands and/or strata where SI is derived independently of the original source data. This makes this model incompatible with future use for regenerating yields based on a measured SI seed. This is the conclusion based on the following characteristics:
a. using conifer and deciduous site index instead of species-specific site index;
b. using stand-level pooled-plot site index calculation instead of calculating site index for each plot and finding the mean of those plot observations for the stand-level SI;
c. using an individual tree SI model with top height inputs; and
d. using one mean SI within a stratum which does not appear to have a stable SI across all age classes, according to the TSP data.
8. Caution: Leading species was not used to help define the yield strata. Weyerhaeuser showed that the proportion of spruce leading to pine leading conifer types on the landbase proportionally reflected the plots used to develop the yield model for the conifer strata. In order for these yields to maintain their validity in the regenerated landbase, the company will have to ensure that a similar leading species split is maintained.
9. Caution: Dre to the methodology utilized we observed some overestimates and some underestimates of yield among strata / yield curves. At the strategic level these outages appear to balance out. They may, however, prove to be challenging to apply to harvest scheduling and operational planning.
10. Post Harvest Transitions: The transition assumptions to be used in the timber supply analysis were not outlined in detail in the yield curve documentation. Detailed postharvest transitions will be evaluated during the review of the timber supply analysis.
11. Death Age: The death ages to be used in the timber supply analysis were not outlined in the yield curve documentation. An appropriate death age for the model will be evaluated during the review of the timber supply analysis.
12. Growth and Yield Monitoring: A robust growth and yield-monitoring program is required to evaluate assumptions made within this yield curve development exercise.

Thank you for completing this component of the Detailed Forest Management Plan. Should you have any questions please contact Darren Aitkin directly at (780) 644-5581.

Yours truly,

Robert W, Stokes, RPF
Senior Manager
Forest Planning Seetion
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[^0]:    cc: Daryl Price, Senior Manager, Resource Analysis Section
    Darren Aitkin, Growth and Yield Forester, Resource Analysis Section
    Bert Ciesielski, Area Forester, Drayton Valley

