

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation

2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Plan Purpose.....	1-1
1.2	Current Status of the Company.....	1-3
1.2.1	<i>Corporate History – West Fraser Timber.....</i>	<i>1-2</i>
1.2.2	<i>The Forest Management Agreement.....</i>	<i>1-2</i>
1.2.3	<i>Timber Operators in the Forest Management Area.....</i>	<i>1-5</i>
2.0	BACKGROUND.....	2-1
2.1	History and Rationale.....	2-1
2.2	Slave Lake Pulp’s 2000 Detailed Forest Management Plan	2-1
2.2.1	<i>Global and National Direction.....</i>	<i>2-1</i>
2.2.2	<i>Provincial Direction.....</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.2.3	<i>Company and Corporate Direction.....</i>	<i>2-4</i>
2.3	The Processing Facility.....	2-5
2.3.1	<i>The Pulp Mill Facility.....</i>	<i>2-5</i>
2.3.2	<i>Environmental Protection</i>	<i>2-7</i>
2.4	Fibre Requirement and Supply.....	2-13
2.5	Woodlands Organization	2-13
2.6	Future Developments	2-13
3.0	PLAN DEVELOPMENT.....	3-1
3.1	The Planning Team.....	3-1
3.1.1	<i>Submission Deadline.....</i>	<i>3-1</i>
3.1.2	<i>The Planning Team</i>	<i>3-1</i>
3.1.3	<i>Sub Committees - The Fish and Wildlife Integrated Technical Committee.....</i>	<i>3-2</i>
3.2	The Planning Process	3-3
3.2.1	<i>Development of a Management Philosophy and Approach to Planning.....</i>	<i>3-3</i>
3.2.2	<i>Identification of Biological, Social and Economic Issues.....</i>	<i>3-5</i>
3.2.3	<i>Development of Goals, Objectives and Strategies.....</i>	<i>3-10</i>
3.2.4	<i>Incorporation of Public Input.....</i>	<i>3-11</i>
3.2.5	<i>Performance Monitoring Strategy.....</i>	<i>3-12</i>
4.0	LANDSCAPE ASSESSMENT.....	4-1
4.1	Purpose and Approach to the Landscape Assessment	4-1
4.2	General Description.....	4-3
4.3	Description of Landscape Pattern and Structure.....	4-3
4.3.1	<i>Physiographic Setting and Geology</i>	<i>4-3</i>
4.3.2	<i>Glacial History, Surficial Geology and Soils</i>	<i>4-3</i>
4.3.3	<i>Hydrologic Description.....</i>	<i>4-4</i>
4.3.4	<i>Ecological Land Classification.....</i>	<i>4-9</i>
4.3.5	<i>Upper Foothills Natural Subregion</i>	<i>4-11</i>
4.3.6	<i>Lower Foothills Natural Subregion</i>	<i>4-11</i>
4.3.7	<i>Central Mixedwood Natural Subregion.....</i>	<i>4-12</i>
4.3.8	<i>Dry Mixedwood Natural Subregion.....</i>	<i>4-12</i>

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation

2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

4.4	The Forest Resource	4-13
4.4.1	Forested vs. Non-Forested Land.....	4-13
4.4.2	Cover Group Distribution.....	4-14
4.4.3	Forest Age Class Distribution.....	4-17
4.4.4	Amount and Distribution of Seral Stages.....	4-20
4.4.5	Patch Characteristics.....	4-27
4.4.6	Connectivity and Juxtaposition.....	4-28
4.4.7	Fragmentation.....	4-30
4.4.8	Disturbance and Succession Patterns.....	4-31
4.4.9	Timber Harvesting and Access.....	4-37
4.4.10	Access Patterns.....	4-39
4.4.11	Non-Timber Resource Extraction Industries.....	4-39
4.4.12	Historical Land Use - 1912 Dominion of Canada Report.....	4-39
4.4.13	Hazard Assessments.....	4-41
4.5	Biophysical Inventory	4-47
4.6	Landscape Use and Function	4-50
4.6.1	Land Use and Allocation.....	4-50
4.6.2	Forest Productivity.....	4-51
4.6.3	Timber Resource.....	4-53
4.6.4	Fish and Wildlife Resources.....	4-53
4.6.5	Water Resources.....	4-53
4.6.6	Recreational Resources.....	4-53
4.6.7	Historical Resources.....	4-53
4.6.8	Other Cultural Resources.....	4-53
4.6.9	Access.....	4-54
4.6.10	Visual Resources / Aesthetics.....	4-54
4.7	Landscape Use Interdependence	4-54
4.8	Landscape Management Unit Profiles	4-55
5.0	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PHILOSOPHY AND GOALS	5-1
5.1	Slave Lake Pulp Management Philosophy	5-1
5.2	Slave Lake Pulp Resource Management Goals	5-1
5.3	Guiding Principles	5-2
5.3.1	Sustainable Forest Management.....	5-2
5.3.2	Open and consultative process in the development of the Detailed Forest Management Plan.....	5-2
5.3.3	Sound forest management principle.....	5-3
5.3.4	Adaptive management principle.....	5-3
5.3.5	Multiple use principle.....	5-3
5.3.6	Amalgamation of FMA into a Single Sustained Yield Unit.....	5-4
5.3.7	Spatial Sequencing.....	5-4
5.3.8	Single Landbase vs. Discrete Landbase.....	5-4
5.3.9	Landscape Level Management.....	5-4
5.3.10	Range of Management Intensities.....	5-5
6.0	FMA GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGIES	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Ecological Goals	6-1
	Goal # 1 - Mitigate the impacts of forestry practices on biological diversity.....	6-1

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation

2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

Goal # 2 – Mitigate the impacts of forestry practices on wildlife.	6-9
Goal # 3- Maintain an acceptable range of native commercial tree species and their genetic diversity on the FMA.	6-13
Goal # 4 - Reduce the risk of significant loss of productive forest from insect and disease.	6-14
Goal # 5 - Maintain or enhance site productivity.	6-17
Goal # 6 – Mitigate the impacts of forestry practices on forest soils.	6-20
Goal # 7 - Mitigate the impacts of forestry practices on water quality and quantity.	6-22
Goal # 8 - Protect sensitive sites.	6-25
Goal # 9 - Mitigate the impacts of forestry practices on hydrological cycles.	6-27
Goal # 10 - Mitigate the impacts of forestry practices on fish and fish habitat.	6-30
Goal # 11 - Manage the FMA based on sound scientific principles.	6-32
Goal # 12 - Maintain or increase the productive forest landbase.	6-34
6.3 Socioeconomic Goals	6-36
Goal # 13 - Reduce significant loss of productive forest from forest fire.	6-36
Goal # 14 – Actively participate in forest policy decision-making.	6-39
Goal # 15 – Maintain or enhance sustainable fibre supply.	6-41
Goal # 16 – Maintain or enhance the long-term competitiveness of the forest industry.	6-46
Goal # 17 - Mitigate the impacts of forestry practices on known commercial and non-commercial non-timber values.	6-50
Goal # 18 – Develop and maintain long-term relationships with local aboriginal and Metis organizations.	6-53
Goal # 19 - Contribute to the economic stability of local communities.	6-55
Goal # 20 - Encourage and support fair, effective and informed public participation and provide the opportunity for effective communication.	6-57
7.0 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING	7-1
7.1 Implementation	7-1
7.1.1 Detailed Forest Management Plan Revisions	7-1
7.1.2 Operating Ground Rules.....	7-1
7.1.3 Working Groups.....	7-1
7.1.4 Allocation of New Timber Dispositions	7-6
7.1.5 Harvest Sequence “Phase-In”	7-6
7.1.6 Dispute Resolution.....	7-7
7.2 Monitoring	7-8
7.2.1 Detailed Forest Management Plan	7-8
7.2.2 Stewardship Report	7-10
7.2.3 General Development Plan	7-15
7.2.4 Annual Operating Plan and Report.....	7-16
7.3 Implementation and Monitoring Summary.....	7-18
8.0 PREFERRED FOREST MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	8-1
8.18.1 Preferred Forest Management Strategy Description	8-1
8.1.1 Timber Sustainability	8-2
8.1.2 FMU Amalgamation	8-3
8.1.3 Single Landbase Management.....	8-3
8.1.4 Prioritization of Stands for Harvest.....	8-4
8.1.5 Regenerated Yield Transition	8-4
8.1.6 Single Pass (Harvest Opening Size, Adjacency & Green-up).....	8-5
8.1.7 Fire.....	8-7
8.1.8 Access	8-8

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation

2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

8.1.9	Water and Fish	8-9
8.1.10	Wildlife	8-12
8.1.11	Trappers	8-15
8.2	Evaluation of PFMS by DFMP Team (goals and objectives matrix)	8-16
8.3	Resolution of Issues.....	8-20
8.3.1	Issues Identified in Terms of Reference.....	8-20
8.3.2	Quota Holder Issues with 1998 DFMP.....	8-28
8.3.3	Conifer Understorey Analysis	8-29
8.4	Summary of PFMS Results	8-31
8.5	Timber Supply Allocation	8-38
8.6	Final Harvest Sequence	8-38
8.6.1	Description.....	8-40
8.6.2	Deviations vs. Retention Areas	8-41
9.0	RESEARCH.....	9-1
9.1	Current Research Initiatives	9-1
9.1.1	Forest Resource Improvement Program Initiatives.....	9-1
9.1.2	Slave Lake Pulp Forest Enhancement Fund Projects.....	9-2
9.1.3	Other Research Projects	9-3
9.2	Proposed Research Initiatives	9-4

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation

2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A – Correspondence – DFMP Extension

Appendix B – FMA Agreement

Appendix C – Terms of Reference and Goals and Objectives (November 2000)

Appendix D – Woodlands Organizational Chart

Appendix E – Public Involvement Plan

Appendix F – 1912 Report of Timber Conditions Around Lesser Slave Lake

Appendix G – DFMP Formal Meetings

Appendix H – Timber Supply

Appendix I – FMU S1 Partition and FMA Quota Re-Allocation

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation

2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 2-1: Effluent T.S.S. Performance</i>	<i>2-9</i>
<i>Figure 2-2: Effluent B.O.D. Performance</i>	<i>2-9</i>
<i>Figure 2-3: Effluent Colour Performance</i>	<i>2-10</i>
<i>Figure 4-1: FMA Natural Subregion Area Summary</i>	<i>4-11</i>
<i>Figure 4-2: FMA Age Class Distribution by Natural Subregion</i>	<i>4-18</i>
<i>Figure 4-3: Seral Stage Distribution.....</i>	<i>4-24</i>
<i>Figure 4-4: Historical Fires in the FMA Area – Area Burned</i>	<i>4-32</i>
<i>Figure 4-5: Historical Fires in the FMA Area – Number of Fires</i>	<i>4-32</i>
<i>Figure 4-6: Current Land Use Dispositions.....</i>	<i>4-50</i>
<i>Figure 4-7: East & West Prairie Rivers LMU Profile</i>	<i>4-56</i>
<i>Figure 4-8: Driftpile River LMU Profile.....</i>	<i>4-57</i>
<i>Figure 4-9: Swan River LMU Profile</i>	<i>4-58</i>
<i>Figure 4-10: Lesser Slave River LMU Profile</i>	<i>4-59</i>
<i>Figure 4-11: Sauleteaux River LMU Profile</i>	<i>4-60</i>
<i>Figure 7-1: Dispute Resolution Process.....</i>	<i>7-7</i>
<i>Figure 8-1: Comparison of Current Landscape and Harvest Patch Sizes</i>	<i>8-6</i>
<i>Figure 8-2: Comparison of Current Landscape Patch Sizes to Projected Landscape Pattern.....</i>	<i>8-7</i>
<i>Figure 8-3: Conifer Understorey Identification</i>	<i>8-30</i>
<i>Figure 8-4: PFMS Deciduous Harvest Flow Summary</i>	<i>8-31</i>
<i>Figure 8-5: PFMS Coniferous Harvest Flow Summary.....</i>	<i>8-31</i>
<i>Figure 8-6: PFMS Area Harvested</i>	<i>8-32</i>
<i>Figure 8-7: PFMS Harvest Patch Sizes</i>	<i>8-32</i>
<i>Figure 8-8: PFMS Average Harvest Age</i>	<i>8-33</i>
<i>Figure 8-9: PFMS Growing Stock Summary (Gross Landbase).....</i>	<i>8-33</i>
<i>Figure 8-10: PFMS Seral Stage Summary (Gross Landbase).....</i>	<i>8-34</i>
<i>Figure 8-11: PFMS Cover Types</i>	<i>8-34</i>
<i>Figure 8-12: Preferred Forest Management Strategy Forecasted Landscape Condition</i>	<i>8-35</i>

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation

2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Table 1-1: Conifer Allocations within FMU's Impacted by the FMA</i>	<i>1-5</i>
<i>Table 1-2: Deciduous Allocations within the FMA.....</i>	<i>1-5</i>
<i>Table 2-1: Effluent Indicator Levels</i>	<i>2-8</i>
<i>Table 2-2: Current Annual Fibre Requirement.....</i>	<i>2-13</i>
<i>Table 3-1: Detailed Forest Management Planning Team</i>	<i>3-2</i>
<i>Table 4-1: Landscape Area Summary.....</i>	<i>4-1</i>
<i>Table 4-2: Timber Productivity Rating Summary.....</i>	<i>4-4</i>
<i>Table 4-3: FMA Watershed Area Summary (ha).....</i>	<i>4-7</i>
<i>Table 4-4: Forested vs. Non-Forested Area Summary (ha)</i>	<i>4-14</i>
<i>Table 4-5: Cover Group Gross Area Summary (ha).....</i>	<i>4-14</i>
<i>Table 4-6: Cover Type Gross Area Summary (ha).....</i>	<i>4-15</i>
<i>Table 4-7: FMA Age Class Distribution (ha)</i>	<i>4-17</i>
<i>Table 4-8: Seral Stage Definitions</i>	<i>4-23</i>
<i>Table 4-9: Seral Stage Area Summary</i>	<i>4-24</i>
<i>Table 4-10: Cover Type Patch Summary</i>	<i>4-27</i>
<i>Table 4-11: Seral Stage Patch Summary</i>	<i>4-27</i>
<i>Table 4-12: Cover Type & Seral Stage Patch Summary.....</i>	<i>4-27</i>
<i>Table 4-13: Cover Type Patch Connectivity</i>	<i>4-28</i>
<i>Table 4-14: Seral Stage Patch Connectivity</i>	<i>4-29</i>
<i>Table 4-15: Cover Type & Seral Stage Patch Connectivity.....</i>	<i>4-29</i>
<i>Table 4-16: Cover Type Patch Juxtaposition</i>	<i>4-30</i>
<i>Table 4-17: Seral Stage Patch Juxtaposition</i>	<i>4-30</i>
<i>Table 4-18: Historical Fire Weather Data</i>	<i>4-33</i>
<i>Table 4-19: Forest Pests.....</i>	<i>4-35</i>
<i>Table 4-20: FBP Fuel Types</i>	<i>4-41</i>
<i>Table 4-21: Biophysical Inventory – Grizzly Ridge Wildland Park.....</i>	<i>4-47</i>
<i>Table 4-22: Twenty Most Prevalent Companies.....</i>	<i>4-51</i>
<i>Table 4-23: Forest Productivity Summary.....</i>	<i>4-51</i>
<i>Table 7-1: Planning Working Group Issues List.....</i>	<i>7-2</i>
<i>Table 7-2: Planning Working Group Work Plan</i>	<i>7-3</i>
<i>Table 7-3: Silviculture Working Group Issues List.....</i>	<i>7-4</i>
<i>Table 7-4: Silviculture Working Group Work Plan.....</i>	<i>7-5</i>
<i>Table 7-5: Monitoring Program Matrix</i>	<i>7-18</i>

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation

2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

Table 8-1: Species Specific Watershed Threshold Ages	8-9
Table 8-2: Watershed Threshold Assessment	8-9
Table 8-3: Trapline Seral Stage Area Summary	8-15
Table 8-4: DFMP Team Evaluation of Single Pass vs. Two Pass Harvest Systems....	8-17
Table 8-5: 1998 Quota Holder Issue Resolution	8-28
Table 8-6: Annual Allowable Cut Allocation.....	8-38
Table 8-7: Twenty Year Harvest Schedule by Cover Type.....	8-40
Table 8-8: Twenty Year Harvest Schedule by Landscape Management Unit	8-40
Table 8-9: Deviations vs. Retention Areas	8-41

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation
2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

List of Maps

<i>General Overview</i>	4-2
<i>Watersheds</i>	4-6
<i>Natural Subregions</i>	4-10
<i>Cover Type Distribution</i>	4-16
<i>Species Group and Age Classification</i>	4-19
<i>Seral Stage Distribution</i>	4-26
<i>Fire History by Decade</i>	4-34
<i>Historical Harvest Pattern</i>	4-38
<i>Non-Timber Resource Extraction Industries</i>	4-40
<i>Fire Behavior Potential</i>	4-43
<i>Distribution of Lightning Strikes</i>	4-44
<i>Values at Risk</i>	4-45
<i>Barriers to Fire Spread</i>	4-46
<i>Forest Productivity Categories</i>	4-52
<i>Seral Stage Distribution (after ten years of harvest under PFMS)</i>	8-14
<i>Twenty Year Harvest Sequence (PFMS)</i>	8-39
<i>Landbase Categories</i>	App. H-5
<i>Stand Density Management Areas</i>	App. H-10
<i>Twenty Year Harvest Sequence (Two-Pass)</i>	App. H-18
<i>Twenty Year Harvest Sequence (PFMS)</i>	App. H-27

Slave Lake Pulp Corporation

2002 Detailed Forest Management Plan

Supporting Reference Material

The Slave Lake Pulp Detailed Forest Management Plan references a number of publications which will be made available to interested parties upon request. Any publications which have copyrights will require author approval for duplication.

Detailed Forest Management Plan: Fish and Wildlife Gap Analysis – Applied Ecosystem Management Ltd. August, 2000.

Historical Resources Potential Model: Alberta Western Heritage. 2001.

Heritage Compliance Plan for West Fraser Mills Ltd. Alberta Forestry Operations in Blue Ridge Lumber and Slave Lake Pulp FMA's. Prepared by Alberta Western Heritage. May, 2001.

WRENSS Reports. Watertight Solutions.

Sawridge Creek. 2000

Inverness River. 2001

Swan River and Tributaries. 2002

Slave Lake Pulp Detailed Forest Management Plan: Natural History Chapter. Applied Ecosystem Management Ltd. October, 2000.

1998 Slave Lake Pulp Detailed Forest Management Plan

Canadian Council of Forest Ministers - Criteria and Indicators

National Forest Strategy. Canadian Council of Forest Ministers. May, 1998

2000 Slave Lake Pulp Timber Supply Analysis for S1. Silvacom. February, 2002.

2000 Slave Lake Pulp Growth & Yield Document. Silvacom. April, 2001.

2000 Slave Lake Pulp Future AAC Contribution . The Forestry Corp. for Millar Western Forest Products. November, 2001. (owned by Millar Western Forest Products)

2000 Slave Lake Pulp Landbase Determination. Silvacom. February, 2002.

Regional Hydrologic Description of the Slave Lake Pulp Forest Management Agreement Area South of Lesser Slave Lake, Alberta. Watertight Solutions Ltd. February, 2002.

Natural History Inventory 2000 Grizzly Ridge Wildland Park .Cottonwood Consultants Ltd. of Calgary for Natural Resources Service of Alberta Environment. March, 2001.

Landspreading Sludge in the Forest Without Incorporation. T.M. Macyk and T. Pojasok . Alberta Research Council. 1998.

West Fraser FAMS Enhancement Project. INFORM – Network for Management Systems Limited. May, 2001.