

Case Study #2: Pre-Succession Beginning Farmer - The Wheat Farm

Alternate Scenarios

This fictional Case Study featuring Tyler and Chrystal Wheat was prepared as part of a series to illustrate the process involved, and benefits of completing a financial review to analyze options that might be available for a farm operation. Every Situation has several possible solutions. The original Case Study Mixed Farm Operation illustrates an action plan based on one scenario. The following are action plans for alternative scenarios for this farm family to consider:

[Scenario 2](#) – buy home quarter, rent 9 quarters, buy founder’s equipment

[Scenario 3](#) – buy home quarter, rent 3 quarters, buy half of founder’s equipment

[Scenario 4](#) – buy home quarter, rent 3 quarters, buy half of founder’s equipment, custom spray

[Scenario 5](#) – buy home quarter, buy \$100,00 of equipment, continue to work off farm

Note: This Case Study and the alternate scenarios are not a recommendation for a particular course of action. Individual results for farm operators considering all their own option will vary, with their own financial information and family and business goals.

Scenario 2 - The Action Plan

In this scenario, Tyler and Chrystal will purchase Bill and Edna’s equipment instead of renting it as in the original Scenario. In all other respects, the Plan remains the same:

- Tyler and Chrystal will purchase the home quarter for \$600,000 using bank financing. Bill and Edna will use the money to build a new home on an adjoin quarter they will keep.
- Tyler and Chrystal will rent the remaining 9 quarters of land Bill and Edna own, however, 3 of the quarters (the land they will inherit eventually) will be rent free. The rent on the remaining 6 quarters will be slightly reduced.
- Tyler and Chrystal will purchase Bill and Edna’s equipment line for \$950,000, the present estimated market value. Bill and Edna will finance the purchase over 15 years at 0% interest.
- Bill and Edna will continue to farm the ½ section they rent. They will pay Tyler and Chrystal \$20,000 per year back for equipment usage as long as they continue to do so.
- Tyler will reduce his non farm employment to part time and will earn about \$10,000 per year after taxes and deductions. Chrystal will continue on with her employment as at present.

Operations were projected for 2 years based on these changes. The results follow:

<u>After Change Projection (Year 1)</u>			
<u>Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)</u>		<u>Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)</u>	
Income		Expense	
Crop sales		Cropping expense	501,930
wheat	30,000 x 5.50 165,000	Overhead expense	12,100
canola	16,000 x 10.00 160,000	Operating interest	6,793
barley	30,000 x 4.10 123,000	Term interest	28,342
Total	448,000	Total Cash Expense	549,165
Other income		Non Cash Expense	
Gov't programs	5,000	Depreciation	82,800
Custom work	20,000	Exp. side acc. adj.	1,844
Other	5,000	Total Non Cash Expense	84,644
Total	30,000	Total Accrued Expense	633,809
Total Cash Income	478,000	Net Acc. Farm Income	70,786
Inc. side accrual adjustments	226,595	Net wages	30,000
Total Accrued Income	704,595	Living costs	45,000
		Income tax	5,000
		Debt Service Capacity	161,928
		(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation	
		+ term interest + non farm income	
		- living costs - income tax)	

Profitability Ratios:

Debt Service Ratio:	1.29
Return on Assets:	2.94%
Return on Equity:	8.61%
Max. operating loan required:	280,861

Payments

Principal	96,757
Interest	28,342
Total	125,099

Closing Financial Ratios:

Current Ratio	0.87
Debt to Equity Ratio	6.52
Equity Ratio	0.13

After Change Projection (Year 2)

Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)

Income

Crop sales				
wheat	39,900	x	5.50	219,450
canola	25,650	x	10.00	256,500
barley	48,450	x	4.10	198,645
Total				674,595

Other income

Gov't programs				5,000
Custom work				20,000
Other				5,000
Total				30,000

Total Cash Income 704,595

Inc. side accrual adjustments 0

Total Accrued Income 704,595

Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)

Expense

Cropping expense	501,930
Overhead expense	12,100
Operating interest	5,805
Term interest	33,539
Total Cash Expense	553,374

Non Cash Expense

Depreciation	81,176
Exp. side acc. adj.	(168)
Total Non Cash Expense	81,008

Total Accrued Expense 634,382

Net Acc. Farm Income 70,213

Net wages	30,000
Living costs	45,000
Income tax	5,000

Debt Service Capacity 164,928

(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation
+ term interest + non farm income
- living costs - income tax)

Profitability Ratios:

Debt Service Ratio:	1.18
Return on Assets:	2.97%
Return on Equity:	7.22%
Max. operating loan required:	218,783

Payments

Principal	106,723
Interest	33,539
Total	140,263

Closing Financial Ratios:

Current Ratio	0.96
Debt to Equity Ratio	5.21
Equity Ratio	0.16

Notes on Return on Assets and Equity:

- *the percentage returns shown above are after living costs are paid. Before living costs and interest on the house portion of the debt, the returns on assets and equity are:*
 - *Return on Assets = 7.79%*
 - *Return on Equity = 27.10%*

Some comments about these results are as follows:

1. In this scenario, the operation is unchanged from a production standpoint, so projected revenue is the same as in the original case. Net income declines however, as the increased depreciation in the initial years resulting from the purchase of the parents' equipment in the initial years is greater than what they were going to pay for rent.
2. Debt service capacity in this scenario is higher than in Scenario 1, but the payments are higher as well. The budget surplus (difference between Debt Service Capacity and Payments) is similar in both cases. With the higher amount of payments relative to payment capacity, the Debt Service Ratio is lower in this scenario indicating a higher repayment risk.
3. In this scenario, the relationship of equity to assets is lower as well resulting in a lower equity ratio, again indicating a higher level of financial risk.
4. Operating loan requirements in this Scenario are similar in this scenario to the original case.
5. Overall, the results in this scenario are similar to the original case except that in this scenario, Tyler and Chrystal will be carrying more of the risk as reflected in the Current Ratio, Equity Ratio and Debt Service Ratio.

Scenario 3: The Action Plan –

In this scenario, Tyler and Chrystal will farm only the land they presently own, the quarter they presently rent, the home quarter with they will buy, and the land from the parents that is rent free. With the reduced operations, they will need only part of Bill and Edna's equipment. They will continue to rent equipment to the parents as in the Base scenario. Tyler will still work part time, but more than if they were farming the complete operation.

- Tyler and Chrystal will purchase the home quarter for \$600,000 using bank financing. Bill and Edna will use the money to build a new home on an adjoining quarter they will keep.
- Tyler and Chrystal will farm only their owned quarter, their rented quarter, the home quarter they are purchasing and the 3 quarters that will be rent free (the land they will inherit eventually).
- Tyler and Chrystal will purchase only part of Bill and Edna's equipment line for \$500,000, the present estimated market value. Bill and Edna will finance the purchase over 15 years at 0% interest. Bill and Edna will sell the rest of their equipment.
- Bill and Edna continue to farm the ½ section they rent. They will pay Tyler and Chrystal \$20,000 per year back for equipment usage as long as they continue to do so.
- Tyler will reduce his non farm employment to part time and will earn about \$20,000 per year after taxes and deductions (compared to \$10,000 in the original scenario and Scenario 2). Chrystal will continue on with her employment as at present.

Operations were projected for 2 years based on these changes. The results follow:

<u>After Change Projection (Year 1)</u>			
<u>Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)</u>			
Income			
Crop sales			
wheat	10,000 x	5.50	55,000
canola	8,400 x	10.00	84,000
barley	15,000 x	4.10	61,500
Total			200,500
Other income			
Gov't programs			3,000
Custom work			20,000
Other			3,000
Total			26,000
Total Cash Income			226,500
Inc. side accrual adjustments			142,715
Total Accrued Income			369,215
<u>Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)</u>			
Expense			
Cropping expense			229,210
Overhead expense			8,100
Operating interest			1,837
Term interest			28,342
Total Cash Expense			267,489
Non Cash Expense			
Depreciation			46,800
Exp. side acc. adj.			1,844
Total Non Cash Expense			48,644
Total Accrued Expense			316,133
Net Acc. Farm Income			53,082
Net wages			50,000
Living costs			45,000
Income tax			5,000
Debt Service Capacity			128,224
(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation + term interest + non farm income - living costs - income tax)			

Profitability Ratios:	
Debt Service Ratio:	1.35
Return on Assets:	2.48%
Return on Equity:	2.68%
Max. operating loan required:	116,918

Payments	
Principal	66,757
Interest	28,342
Total	95,099

Closing Financial Ratios:	
Current Ratio	0.93
Debt to Equity Ratio	4.81
Equity Ratio	0.17

After Change Projection (Year 2)

Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)

Income

Crop sales				
wheat	20,300	x	5.50	111,650
canola	13,050	x	10.00	130,500
barley	24,650	x	4.10	101,065
Total				<u>343,215</u>

Other income				
Gov't programs				3,000
Custom work				20,000
Other				3,000
Total				<u>26,000</u>

Total Cash Income 369,215

Inc. side accrual adjustments 0

Total Accrued Income 369,215

Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)

Expense

Cropping expense	229,210
Overhead expense	8,100
Operating interest	1,358
Term interest	33,539
Total Cash Expense	<u>272,207</u>

Non Cash Expense

Depreciation	43,056
Exp. side acc. adj.	-168
Total Non Cash Expense	<u>42,888</u>

Total Accrued Expense 315,095

Net Acc. Farm Income 54,120

Net wages 50,000

Living costs 45,000

Income tax 5,000

Debt Service Capacity 130,715

(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation
+ term interest + non farm income
- living costs - income tax)

Profitability Ratios:

Debt Service Ratio:	1.19
Return on Assets:	2.56%
Return on Equity:	2.56%
Max. operating loan required:	81,751

Payments

Principal	76,723
Interest	33,539
Total	<u>110,263</u>

Closing Financial Ratios:

Current Ratio	1.05
Debt to Equity Ratio	3.81
Equity Ratio	0.21

Notes on Return on Assets and Equity:

- *the percentage returns shown above are after living costs are paid. Before living costs, the returns on assets and equity are:*
 - *Return on Assets = 5.20%*
 - *Return on Equity = 13.54%*

Some comments about these results are as follows:

6. In this scenario, operations are significantly scaled back, less debt is incurred and a higher level of non farm income is maintained.
7. Farm profitability is reduced somewhat in this scenario, along with capacity to service debt, however, the debt service requirement is much less.
8. With the lower level of debt, financial risk is much reduced in this scenario compared to scenario 2.
9. The projections suggest that Tyler and Chrystal can earn more farming the extra rented land than the extra income Tyler would earn working more as in the scenario, however, the debt service requirement in owning and financing all the equipment creates significantly more payments.
10. Operationally, this scenario is likely less risky than both the original alternative and Scenario #2. With the larger acreages involved in those alternatives, if price or yields become less than projected, net income and ability to service debt would be more severely impacted.
11. Financial performance in this option is projected to be satisfactory, but with less opportunity for significant gains if things go well than in the first 2 alternatives.

Scenario 4: The Action Plan –

In this scenario, Tyler and Chrystal will farm the land they presently own, the quarter they presently rent, the home quarter, which they will buy, and the land from the parents that is rent free. They will purchase only part of Bill and Edna's equipment. Tyler will now purchase a sprayer and do custom spraying instead of working off farm part time.

- Tyler and Chrystal purchase the home quarter for \$600,000 using bank financing. Bill and Edna will use the money to build a new home on an adjoining quarter they will keep.
- Tyler and Chrystal farm only their owned quarter, their rented quarter, the home quarter they are purchasing and the 3 quarters that will be rent free (the land they will inherit eventually).
- Tyler and Chrystal purchase only part of Bill and Edna's equipment line for \$500,000, the present estimated market value. Bill and Edna will finance the purchase over 15 years at 0% interest. Bill and Edna will sell the rest of their equipment.
- Bill and Edna continue to farm the ½ section they rent. They will pay Tyler and Chrystal \$20,000 per year back for equipment usage as long as they continue to do so.
- Tyler will end his present employment, and will instead purchase a good, used high clearance sprayer, trailer and tanks to do custom spraying in the spring and fall. He will use the farm's tandem grain truck to pull the sprayer and trailer, and haul the water tanks. He will trade in the farm's present sprayer. Cost difference is estimated to be about \$160,000, which he will finance over 5 years. Projected net income from this custom spraying enterprise after fuel, repairs and hired labour is \$60,000/year (included in farm income as custom spraying). Chrystal will continue on with her employment as at present.

Operations were projected for 2 years based on these changes. The results follow:

<u>After Change Projection (Year 1)</u>			
<u>Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)</u>		<u>Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)</u>	
Income		Expense	
Crop sales		Cropping expense	229,210
wheat	10,000 x 5.50 55,000	Overhead expense	8,100
canola	8,400 x 10.00 84,000	Operating interest	1,150
barley	15,000 x 4.10 61,500	Term interest	31,852
Total	200,500	Total Cash Expense	270,312
Other income		Non Cash Expense	
Gov't programs	3,000	Depreciation	46,800
Custom work (father)	20,000	Exp. side acc. adj.	3,189
Custom spraying	60,000	Total Non Cash Expense	49,989
Other	3,000	Total Accrued Expense	320,301
Total	86,000	Net Acc. Farm Income	108,914
Total Cash Income	286,500	Net wages	30,000
Inc. side accrual adjustments	142,715	Living costs	45,000
Total Accrued Income	429,215	Income tax	10,000
		Debt Service Capacity	162,566
		(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation	
		+ term interest + non farm income	
		- living costs - income tax)	

Profitability Ratios:

Debt Service Ratio:	1.41
Return on Assets:	5.42%
Return on Equity:	19.22%
Max. operating loan required:	110,228

Payments

Principal	83,233
Interest	31,852
Total	115,085

Closing Financial Ratios:

Current Ratio	0.85
Debt to Equity Ratio	4.81
Equity Ratio	0.17

After Change Projection (Year 2)

Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)

Income			
Crop sales			
wheat	20,300	x 5.50	111,650
canola	13,050	x 10.00	130,500
barley	24,650	x 4.10	101,065
Total			343,215
Other income			
Gov't programs			3,000
Custom work			20,000
Custom spraying			60,000
Other			3,000
Total			86,000

Total Cash Income	429,215
Inc. side accrual adjustments	0
Total Accrued Income	429,215

Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)

Expense	
Cropping expense	229,210
Overhead expense	8,100
Operating interest	785
Term interest	39,589
Total Cash Expense	277,684
Non Cash Expense	
Depreciation	57,456
Exp. side acc. adj.	(454)
Total Non Cash Expense	57,002
Total Accrued Expense	334,686
Net Acc. Farm Income	94,529
Net wages	30,000
Living costs	45,000
Income tax	8,000

Debt Service Capacity	168,574
(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation + term interest + non farm income - living costs - income tax)	

Profitability Ratios:

Debt Service Ratio:	1.12
Return on Assets:	4.77%
Return on Equity:	12.26%
Max. operating loan required:	77,247

Payments

Principal	110,646
Interest	39,589
Total	150,235

Closing Financial Ratios:

Current Ratio	0.94
Debt to Equity Ratio	3.64
Equity Ratio	0.22

Notes on Return on Assets and Equity:

- *the percentage returns shown above are after living costs are paid. Before living costs, the returns on assets and equity are:*
 - *Return on Assets = 7.14%*
 - *Return on Equity = 21.08%*

Some comments about these results are as follows:

12. In this scenario, as in Scenario #3, farm production operations are significantly scaled back, however, now the operations are expanded in the area of custom work.
13. Profitability and debt service capacity are strong in this scenario, however, debt service requirement is high also due to the proposed debt for the spraying equipment. Payments are less in Year 1 as only 1 payment on the sprayer will be made in the first year, resulting in a higher Debt Service Ratio. The numbers in Year 2 reflect the normal long term situation.
14. In this scenario, operational risk is likely higher than for Scenario #3 because of the uncertainty of the custom work income, however, it is spread over 2 enterprises which normally lessens risk overall. While lower prices and yields might reduce the amount of custom work available, they should not impact on income as much as when a larger crop acreage is involved.
15. Financial performance in this option, with the returns projected, appears to be satisfactory, but marginal, until the sprayer loan is paid off, as the custom spraying enterprise comes with significant debt. Financing the sprayer equipment over 7 years instead of 5 would improve the Debt Service Ratio. As well, if more custom work is obtained, the results would improve dramatically.
16. The custom spraying enterprise could cause some time conflicts with the farming enterprise in that the spraying would normally be done during busy times in the farming operation. On the other hand, Tyler would have more free time during the off season months to pursue other business management activities such as marketing, training and seminars, and possibly other activities such as machinery repair and some non farm work. This might compensate somewhat for the reductions in farm income resulting from time conflicts during the summer months. These considerations have not been accounted for in the projections done, but could be.

Scenario 5: The Action Plan –

In this scenario, Tyler and Chrystal will farm only the land they will own and the quarter they presently rent. They will now live in the buildings on the home quarter, will expand their present equipment line somewhat, and have their harvesting custom done.

- Tyler and Chrystal will purchase the home quarter for \$600,000 using bank financing. Bill and Edna will use the money to build a new home on an adjoining quarter they will keep.
- Tyler and Chrystal will farm only their owned quarter, their rented quarter, and the home quarter they are purchasing from Tyler's parents
- Tyler and Chrystal will purchase only the essential items out of Bill and Edna's equipment line for \$100,000, the present estimated market value. Bill and Edna will finance the purchase over 15 years at 0% interest. Bill and Edna will sell the rest of their equipment. This will give Tyler and Chrystal all the equipment they need for this reduced operation except for equipment for harvesting which they will have custom done.
- Bill and Edna will terminate their farming operations. They will sell the remainder of their equipment and rent their land out.
- Tyler will continue with his full time employment and operate the farm in his spare time. Chrystal will continue on with her employment as at present.

Operations were projected for 2 years based on these changes. The results follow:

<u>After Change Projection (Year 1)</u>			
<u>Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)</u>			
Income			
Crop sales			
wheat	7,500 x 5.50	41,250	
canola	5,500 x 10.00	55,000	
barley	7,000 x 4.10	28,700	
Total		<u>124,950</u>	
Other income			
Gov't programs		2,000	
Other		<u>2,000</u>	
Total		4,000	
Total Cash Income		128,950	
Inc. side accrual adjustments		52,575	
Total Accrued Income		181,525	
<u>Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)</u>			
Expense			
Cropping expense			135,150
Overhead expense			8,100
Operating interest			962
Term interest			<u>28,342</u>
Total Cash Expense			172,554
Non Cash Expense			
Depreciation			14,800
Exp. side acc. adj.			<u>1,844</u>
Total Non Cash Expense			16,644
Total Accrued Expense			189,198
Net Acc. Farm Income			(7,673)
Net wages			60,000
Living costs			45,000
Income tax			0
Debt Service Capacity			50,469
			(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation + term interest + non farm income - living costs - income tax)

Profitability Ratios:	
Debt Service Ratio:	0.74
Return on Assets:	-1.40%
Return on Equity:	-20.59%
Max. operating loan required:	58,094

Payments	
Principal	40,090
Interest	<u>28,342</u>
Total	68,432

Closing Financial Ratios:	
Current Ratio	0.74
Debt to Equity Ratio	4.06
Equity Ratio	0.20

After Change Projection (Year 2)

Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)

Income

Crop sales			
wheat	10,500	x 5.50	57,750
canola	6,750	x 10.00	67,500
barley	12,750	x 4.10	52,275
Total			<u>177,525</u>

Other income			
Gov't programs			2,000
Other			<u>2,000</u>
Total			4,000

Total Cash Income 181,525

Inc. side accrual adjustments 0

Total Accrued Income 181,525

Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)

Expense

Cropping expense	135,150
Overhead expense	8,100
Operating interest	2,110
Term interest	<u>33,539</u>

Total Cash Expense 178,899

Non Cash Expense

Depreciation	13,616
Exp. side acc. adj.	<u>(168)</u>

Total Non Cash Expense 13,448

Total Accrued Expense 192,347

Net Acc. Farm Income (10,822)

Net wages	60,000
Living costs	45,000
Income tax	0

Debt Service Capacity 51,333

(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation
+ term interest + non farm income
- living costs - income tax)

Profitability Ratios:

Debt Service Ratio:	0.61
Return on Assets:	-1.59%
Return on Equity:	-21.48%
Max. operating loan required:	90,525

Payments

Principal	50,057
Interest	<u>33,539</u>
Total	83,596

Closing Financial Ratios:

Current Ratio	0.62
Debt to Equity Ratio	3.93
Equity Ratio	0.20

Notes on Return on Assets and Equity:

- *the percentage returns shown above are after living costs are paid. Before living costs, the returns on assets and equity are:*
 - *Return on Assets = 1.92%*
 - *Return on Equity = -3.50%*

Some comments about these results are as follows:

17. This scenario is largely an extension of the Base situation, and has Tyler and Chrystal purchasing the parents' home quarter to become their residence instead of the home they rent in town. The payments required to service the debt required is partially offset by the rent they would now not need to pay, and the additional income they would be able to make off this additional land. As they would no longer have access to Tyler's parents equipment, they would need to purchase some additional items, and now have some of the work custom done.
18. Farm profitability in this case is slightly negative in both years because interest on all debt is included in expenses. Even with full time employment now for Tyler, debt service capacity will be less than payment requirements because of the high resulting payments. In Year 3 payments will drop a bit because in that year the truck loan will be paid off, but repayment capacity will still be insufficient.
19. This scenario is marginal or inadequate in all financial respects. As noted, debt service capacity is insufficient to make payments in the long term, assuming financial performance is as projected. They would be highly leveraged in that they would be carrying a heavy debt load relative to their assets. Their payments would be structured in such a way that they would not see significant payment relief for several years. If revenues and expenses occur as expected, they should gain slight amounts of equity over time, but very likely in insufficient amounts for them to hope to graduate this farm from a part time operation to a viable farming business.
20. Overall, Tyler and Chrystal can't afford to purchase their parent's quarter under this scenario. If they want to purchase it, they should consider one of the other scenarios.

Summary

Four alternative Scenarios have been developed for this Case Study. Each Scenario has it's own individual results, positive features and negative features. Please refer to the Summary document which displays the Financial Ratios and Indicators for each Scenario, and what are considered to be the Positives and Negatives of each relative to the Case Study presented and Business and Personal Goals of the Case Study Farmers.