FeedPrint



| | Category | Outcome-based |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | Obiective | To gain an understanding of GHG emissions generated by animal feed supply chains over their complete life cycle as well as from feed utilization To use results to assist in strategic management (sourcing feed materials, change compound feed composition, affect upstream production) to reduce GHG emissions To help organizations report on corporate responsibility |
| 1001 all | Geographical applicability | Europe |
| General description of the tool | Functionalities | Hotspots identification, Alternative scenarios testing, provide a footprint value/metrics |
| | Target audience | Farmers, food supply chain managers and feed industry and suppliers |
| | Developers | Wageningen University and Blonk Milieu Advies (Netherlands) |
| | Cost (tool and data) | Free |
| | Past or current users | Several EU and international initiatives |
| | | |

Commodities covered

Live cattle (exc. Purebred), pork, prepared animal feeds and chicken

BMPs covered

Reduced tillage practices* Fertilizer application - source

Application rate based on testing and book values Application method - all types of land tillage

Fertilizer application - rate

Fertilizer application - placement

*modelled partially (i.e. only to calculate the emissions from machinery use)

Indicators covered

Land use Energy use Eutrophication Fossil fuel depletion Acidification

GHG emissions

Data inputs

| Data requirements | Primary data required | Default values | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Environmental conditions | Farm type: farm category (dairy, pigs, poultry or veal calves) and animal category | n/a | |
| Crop management | Feeding livestock: soil type of grassland, nitrogen on grassland | - Source of feed (country) - Feed: dry matter, energy value, crude protein, phosphorus - Feed management: pesticides applied, manure applied, fertilizers applied, machinery use, energy for storage, yield at harvest, weight losses | |
| Carbon sequestration/storage | No | No | |

| | feed only) Feeding livest - for all livestc - for dairy onl amount of fee - for fattening bodyweight a water/feed ra - for breeding age of weanir littersize (pigl - for broilers: curve, bodyw | | | ock: ock: ratio y: amou ed besid y pigs: ty t slaugh tio sows: f. g piglet ets borr type of eight at | on of feed nt of concentrate in feed, es grass upe of fattening pigs, tering, strategy of feeding, arrowing per sow per year, s, age of selling piglets, n alive), water/feed ratio broilers, type of growth | e - Housing, manure storage: "For each animal product the most common Dutch farming system is assumed, implying an average housing type, average manure storage facilities etc." | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------|---|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Energy use | 9 | | No | | | No | | | |
| | Primary pr | | ing | No | | | No | | | |
| | Water | | | No | | | No | | | |
| | Transport | | | No | | | No | | | |
| | Others | | | No | | | No | | | |
| 0 | Scope | V | Farm level | V | Supply | chain | | | | |
| • | Ease of use for the data collector Relatively easy, but may require specific documentation, quick to fill - Qualitative data entries can be easily completed by the user. However, unless the producer has done a soil assessment, data soil organic matter (nitrogen) can be hardly found but there are default values for guidance. Any data entries related to livestock are easy for producer to fill. | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | Modellin | g me | thods | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | Consistency of the model with the goal and scope of the tool Consistent - calculation of entire life cycle impacts of feed supply (including utilization) which helps identify the hotspots and test alternative scenarios | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | Transpare | ncy an | d quality of do | umentation | Guidance document: Yes - A manual is imbedded in the tool | | | | | |
| | | | | Methodology document: Yes - http://edepot.wur.nl/254098 | | | | | | |
| • | Conformity of the methodology with the current state-of-the-art agronomic and environment sciences | | | Consistent - aligned with GHG calculation and LCA standards (ISO 14040/14044/14067, PAS2050, IPCC Guidelines for National Inventory Reports, IDP Guide to standard LCA) | | | | | | |
| • | Methodology | | | - LCA standards such as ISO 14040 and 14044 and PAS 2050 are the basis of the methodology - For GHG emissions calculations at the national level, the LCA methods were consistent with IPCC requirements - For methane emissions from enteric fermentation: Tier 3 method used in the Dutch National Inventory Report - Cradle-to farm gate with functional unit for meat of 1 kg of live weight of a specific animal, for eggs of 1 kg of fresh eggs, and for milk 1 kg of FPCM leaving the farm-gate - Allocation methodology: based on ISO 14044 rules and Dutch horticulture protocol | | | | | | |
| • | Dataset sources used for modelling | | | - Collected data publicly available: FAOstats, Eurostat, public research results from Blonk Consultants and WUR - Reviewed the draft reports with industry experts: stakeholders of CFPAN working group - Feed: average nutritional quality of feed materials from Dutch feed list of the "Centraal Veevoeder Bureau" (CVB-list) | | | | | | |
| 0 | Outputs | / Re | esults | | | | | | | |
| 0 | Results | ☑ | | mary of results ables | V | Detailed summary of resul | ts in graphs | | | |
| 0 | Analysis | | Summary of | main hotspots | ✓ | Comparison with alternative | ve scenarios | | | |
| O | Limits of the tool/model As the tool is focused on GHG emissions related to feed, options to modify farm conditions are limited | | | | | | | | | |





