Case Study #1: Mixed Farm Operation - The Kattel Farm

Alternate Scenarios

This fictional Case Study featuring cattle operation owned by Michael and Martha Kattel was prepared as part of a series to illustrate the process involved, and benefits of completing a financial review to analyze options that might be available for a farm operation. Every Situation has several possible solutions. The original Case Study Mixed Farm Operation illustrates an action plan based on one scenario. The following are action plans for alternative scenarios for this farm family to consider:

Scenario 2 – rent half section Scenario 3 – custom graze cows Scenario 4 – cow / calf buying feed Scenario 5 – selling and working off farm

Note: This Case Study and the alternate scenarios are not a recommendation for a particular course of action. Individual results for farm operators considering all their own option will vary, with their own financial information and family and business goals.

Scenario 2: The Action Plan -

In this scenario, the Action Plan is to rent an additional 2 quarters of land to grow crops for sale:

- Refinance the new land loan over longer terms. 20 years was again chosen a being an appropriate repayment period.
- Continue to sell the calves when weaned in late September each year.
- Rent an additional half section of land to grow cash crops for sale. The land available has 300 acres under cultivation, and the rental cost would be \$80/acre, 50% due in the spring and 50% due in the fall. In the projections, industry revenues and expense amounts for the area are used.
- Purchase a larger used combine costing \$30,000 net of their trade in, and finance the purchase over 5 years. As the combine will be purchased in the summer, and payments will be annual, no payments will be due in the first year. The Kattels currently own a small older combine as little grain is harvested each year. They will still purchase the new baler as per the original plan.
- All other aspects of their operation will remain the same in this scenario.

Operations were projected for 2 years based on these changes. The results follow:

	Aft	er Ch	ange Proj	iection (Year 1)	
Income (Jan 1 - De				Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)
Income				Expense	-
Livestock sale	es			Livestock expense	71,750
calves	180 x	1,500	270,000	Cropping expense	179,040
cull cows	33 x	1,400	46,200	Overhead expense	13,655
repl. hfrs	15 x	2,000	30,000	Operating interest	4,430
bulls	4	2,500	10,000	Term interest	35,613
Total			356,200	Total Cash Expense	304,488
Crop sales				Non Cash Expense	
oats	4000 x	2.80	11,200	Depreciation	37,684
barley	2000 x	4.00	8,000	Exp. side acc. adj.	1,194
canola	3000 x	10.00	30,000	Total Non Cash Expense	38,878
Total		-	49,200	Total Accrued Expense	343,366
Other income	е			•	
Gov't prog	Gov't programs		2,000	Net Accrued Farm Income	145,034
Custom work		3,000	Net wages	10,000	
Other			6,800	Living costs	60,000
Total		-	11,800	Income tax	15,000
Total Cash Inco			417,200	Debt Service Capacity	153,331
Inc. side accrua	,		71,200	(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation + term interest + non farm income	
Total Accrued I	ncome		488,400	- living costs - income tax)	
Profitability Ratios	.			Payments	
Debt Service Ra			1.35	Principal	77,785
Return on Asset			3.95%	Interest	35,613
Return on Equity:		3.83%	Total	113,398	
Max. operating loan required:		187,022	- Total		
			,		
Closing Financial	Ratios:				
Current Ratio			2.62		
Debt to Equity R	latio		0.42		
Equity Ratio			0.71		

Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)

Income						
Livestock sal	es					
calves	180	Х	1,500	270,000		
cull cows	33	Х	1,400	46,200		
repl. hfrs	15	Х	2,000	30,000		
bulls	4		2,500	10,000		
Total				356,200		
Crop sales						
oats	5500	Х	2.80	15,400		
barley	11250	Х	4.00	45,000		
canola	6000	Х	10.00	60,000		
Total				120,400		
Other incom	ne					
Gov't pro	grams			2,000		
Custom w	ork			3,000		
Other			_	6,800		
Total 11,800						
Total Cash Inc	Total Cash Income					
Inc. side accru	ual adju	ıst	ments _	0		
			_			
Total Accrued	l Incom	е		488,400		

Profitability Ratios:	
Debt Service Ratio:	1.35
Return on Assets:	3.91%
Return on Equity:	3.71%
Max. operating loan required:	146,506
Closing Financial Ratios:	
Current Ratio	3.16
Debt to Equity Ratio	0.37
Equity Ratio	0.73

<u>ection (Year 2)</u>			
Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 201	7)		
Expense			
Livestock expense	71,750		
Cropping expense	179,040		
Overhead expense	13,655		
Operating interest	3,047		
Term interest	34,680		
Total Cash Expense	302,172		
Non Cash Expense			
Depreciation	40,244		
Exp. side acc. adj.	537		
Total Non Cash Expense	40,782		
Total Accrued Expense	342,954		
Net Accrued Farm Income	145,446		
Net wages	10,000		
Living costs	60,000		
Income tax	15,000		
Debt Service Capacity	155,371		
(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation + term interest + non farm income			
 living costs - income tax) 			
+ term interest + non farm income			

Payments	
Principal	80,004
Interest	34,680
Total	114,684

Notes on Return on Assets and Equity:

- the percentage returns shown above are after living costs are paid. Before living costs, the returns on assets and equity are:
 - *Return on Assets = 5.71%*
 - *Return on Equity = 6.16%*

- The additional productivity and income projected results in an improvement in projected Net Accrued Income from \$131,134 in the projection before the change to \$145,446 in the second year of the plan. Estimated income tax, remains the same for each of these projected years even though net income is expected to increase because of the cash expense with the addition of cows and other income deferments that will happen with these changes.
- 2. With the refinancing proposed, payments should drop from the \$133,837 projected before the change to \$113,398 in Year 1, and \$114,684 in Year 2 of the Plan. This includes the payments on the additional debt planned in this Scenario for the purchase of a combine. The Debt Service Ratio is projected to remain adequate in both projected years at 1.35.
- 3. The Operating loan requirements in this Scenario will increase significantly during the operating season due to the additional crop inputs required, to \$187,022 in Year 1 and then reduce to \$146,506 in Year 2 of the Plan.

Scenario 2 Scenario 3 Scenario 4 Scenario 5

Scenario 3: The Action Plan

In this scenario, the Action Plan is to change from a cow/calf enterprise where all feed is produced and calves are sold in the fall, to a grazing operation where cow/calf units are grazed on a custom basis. The Action Plan, then is to:

- Continue to run the farm as a cow/calf operation, and produce feed as in the past until the fall, when all the livestock will be liquidated.
- Disperse feed and grain produced as able, retaining enough to provide feed for the livestock when it is sold. It is anticipated that some of the feed will be sold in Year 1 – any left unsold over year end would then be sold in the next year, Year 2 of our Plan.
- Sell equipment not needed for the new enterprise, as there is opportunity. It is expected that
 equipment used for spring operations will be sold in the summer, and harvesting and other
 equipment will be sold in the fall. An option would be to hold the equipment over for a spring
 sale, if it seemed the extra price gain would make it worthwhile. It is projected that \$282,000 of
 equipment would be sold, \$95,300 retained for the new enterprise.
- Sell the grazing lease in the fall of Year 1. Projected sale price is \$250,000.
- Terminate the existing private lease on 320 acres. Projections done suggest that profitability from operations would make net returns on this land marginal.
- Underseed the remaining crop land to grass for pasture at a cost of about \$4,000.
- Spend \$20,000 to improve fences to allow for more intensive rotational grazing in the new custom grazing enterprise.
- For future operations, custom graze all the cultivated land for approximately 4 months.

- Proceeds from the sale of assets will be used to pay off debt. Surplus funds projected to be \$250,000 will be put into an investment expecting to return 5%/year. Thereafter, surplus cash will be invested as it becomes available.
- With the reduced workload expected in this change of enterprises, Michael and Martha expect to be able to increase their level of non farm work. Income from this source would stay at the present \$10,000 for Year 1, but in Year 2, when the change of enterprises is complete, they expect it to increase to \$30,000.

Operations were projected for 2 years based on these changes. The results follow:

	Afte	er Ch	ange Pro	jection (Year 1)	
come (Jan 1 - De	c 31, 201	16)		Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2010	6)
Income			Expense		
Livestock sale	s			Livestock expense	54,180
calves	254 x	1,550	393,700	Cropping expense	95,535
cull cows	248 x	1,900	471,200	Overhead expense	13,655
repl. hfrs	50 x	2,000	100,000	Operating interest	471
bulls	10	3,500	35,000	Term interest	29,524
Total			999,900	Total Cash Expense	193,365
Crop sales				Non Cash Expense	
oats	4000 x	2.80	11,200	Depreciation	37,684
hay bales	1000 x	50.00	50,000	Exp. side acc. adj.	92,007
Total			61,200	Total Non Cash Expense	129,691
Other income			Total Accrued Expense	323,056	
Gov't programs		2,000	Net Accrued Farm Income	132,724	
Custom wo	ork		3,000	Net wages	10,000
Other			6,800	Living costs	60,000
Total			11,800	Income tax	150,000
Total Cash Inco	me		1,072,900	Debt Service Capacity	(68)
Inc. side accrual adjustments (617,		(617,120)	(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation + term interest + non farm income		
Total Accrued In	ncome		455,780	- living costs - income tax))
ofitability Ratios				Payments	
Debt Service Rat			0.00	Principal	0
Return on Assets			4.87%	Interest	29,524
Return on Equity			3.51%	Total	29,524
Max. operating lo		red:	71,140	Iotai	20,024
	2.1.10401		,		
losing Financial F	Ratios:				
Current Ratio			no debt		

0.00

1.00

Debt to Equity Ratio

Equity Ratio

	Income	(Jan 1	- Dec 31,	2017)
--	--------	--------	-----------	-------

Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017) Income				<u>Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017)</u> Expense	
Custom graz	ina			Livestock expense	
pasture	ັ550 x	75	41,250	Cropping expense	14,70
		-		Overhead expense	4,98
Total			41,250	Operating interest	
				Term interest	
Crop sales				Total Cash Expense	19,68
oats	7350 x	2.8	20,580	Non Cash Expense	
hay	950 x	50	47,500	Depreciation	11,78
Total		-	68,080	Exp. side acc. adj.	
				Total Non Cash Expense	11,78
Other incon	ne			Total Accrued Expense	31,40
Gov't pro	grams		500	Net Accrued Farm Income	21,08
Custom v	vork		3,000	Net wages	30,00
Other			7,800	Interest income	12,50
Total		-	11,300	Living costs	60,00
		_		Income tax	5,00
Total Cash Inc	come	-	120,630	Debt Service Capacity	10,3
Inc. side accr	Inc. side accrual adjustments		(68,080)	(Net acc. farm inc. + depreci	ation
		_		+ term interest + non farm in	come
Total Accrued	l Income	-	52,550	- living costs - income tax)	

Profitability Ratios:	
Debt Service Ratio:	no debt
Return on Assets:	-1.88%
Return on Equity:	-1.88%
Max. operating loan required:	0

Closing Financial Ratios:	
Current Ratio	no debt
Debt to Equity Ratio	0.00
Equity Ratio	1.00

Payments	
Principal	0
Interest	0
Total	0

0 14,700 4,980 0 0 19,680

11,782 0 11,782 31,462 21,088 30,000 12,500 60,000 5,000 10,370

Notes on Return on Assets and Equity:

- the percentage returns shown above are before interest income and after living costs are paid. Before living costs, the returns on assets and equity (farm and investment) are:
 - Return on Assets = .99%
 - Return on Equity = .99%

- 4. The sell off of assets in this plan allows for all debt to be repaid, and leaves \$250,000 to invest. Projected return of 5% results in additional net income of \$12,500 per year from that source. In the plan, payments are essentially 0 after the changes are complete.
- 5. Transition from the present operation to the proposed operation is expected to bear some cost in terms of lost productivity in the change year, some set up costs, and tax liability. Profitability from farm operations decreases from \$131,134 in the projection before the change to \$21,088 in the second year of the plan.
- 6. The reduction in farm operations means that both Michael and Martha will be able to have non farm jobs. They anticipate that net non farm income after tax for them together will increase from \$10,000/year to about \$30,000.
- 7. It is expected that the change, in particular the sale of livestock, could result in a large tax liability. For purposes of this Case Study, the amount is estimated to be \$150,000 which would be funded out of asset sales, mainly in Year 1.
- 8. Cash flow in this plan should be strong. The farm is projected to be profitable, and there will be non farm income and interest income, which in total, will exceed living costs and income tax requirement. The result is surplus cash that could be invested, or spent on items of personal interest.

Scenario 2 Scenario 3 Scenario 4 Scenario 5

Scenario 4: The Action Plan

In this scenario, the Action Plan is to continue on with the cow/calf enterprise where calves are sold in the fall, but change from growing the feed required to purchasing it. The Plan then, is to:

- In the spring of Year 1, sell equipment no longer needed for this operation (\$218,000 of the present \$337,300 equipment line).
- Use the proceeds from the sale of equipment to reduce debt.
- Refinance the reduced private loan over 20 years to decrease payments.
- Terminate the lease on the privately rented land.
- Starting in the first year, purchase all feed required.
- Rent out the surplus land. Rents in the area are currently \$80/acre.
- Not having to seed and harvest their own crops, Michael believes he will be able to get work during the summer with other farms in his area. The estimate for net wages is increased from \$10,000 to \$30,000 per year.

Operations were projected for 2 years based on these changes. The results follow:

After Cha	nge Pro	jection (Year 1)	
Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016) Income		Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016) Expense	
Livestock sales		Livestock expense	185,050
calves 180 x 1,500	270,000	Cropping expense	3,190
cull cows 33 x 1,400	46,200	Overhead expense	45,980
repl. hfrs 15 x 2,000	30,000	Operating interest	258
	10,000	Term interest	28,979
Total	356,200	Total Cash Expense	263,457
Crop sales		Non Cash Expense	
oats 2000 x 2.80	5,600	Depreciation	37,684
Total	5,600	Exp. side acc. adj.	-457
		Total Non Cash Expense	37,227
Other Income		Total Accrued Expense	300,684
Land rental	38,400	-	
Gov't programs	2,000	Net Accrued Farm Income	105,716
Custom work	3,000	Net wages	30,000
Other	6,800	Living costs	60,000
Total	50,200	Income tax	20,000
Total Cash Income	412,000	Debt Service Capacity	122,379
Inc. side accrual adjustments	-5,600	(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation + term interest + non farm income	
Total Accrued Income	406,400	 living costs - income tax) 	
Profitability Ratios:]	Payments	
Debt Service Ratio:	1.68	Principal	43,925
Return on Assets:	2.59%	Interest	28,979
Return on Equity:	2.08%	Total	72,904
Max. operating loan required:	34,224	Total	12,304
Max. operating toan required.	34,224		
Closing Financial Ratios:			
Current Ratio	5.07		
Debt to Equity Ratio	0.31		
Equity Ratio	0.76		

Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017

6			
180	X	1,500	270,000
33	Х	1,400	46,200
15	х	2,000	30,000
4		2,500	10,000
			356,200
			0
		-	0
Other income			
Land rental			38,400
Gov't programs			2,000
Custom work			3,000
Other			6,800
Total			50,200
Total Cash Income		406,400	
Inc. side accrual adjustments		0	
		-	
ncom	е		406,400
	33 15 4 ams rk me al adju	180 x 33 x 15 x 4 ams rk me	180 x 1,500 33 x 1,400 15 x 2,000 4 2,500 4 2,500 ams rk

Profitability Ratios:	
Debt Service Ratio:	1.84
Return on Assets:	3.24%
Return on Equity:	3.09%
Max. operating loan required:	0
Closing Financial Ratios:	
Current Ratio	6.22
Debt to Equity Ratio	0.28

Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017 Expense	2	
Livestock expense	185,050	
Cropping expense	3,190	
Overhead expense	45,980	
Operating interest	0	
Term interest	24,323	
Total Cash Expense	258,543	
•	200,040	
Non Cash Expense		
Depreciation	17,604	
Exp. side acc. adj.	(121)	
Total Non Cash Expense	17,483	
Total Accrued Expense	276,026	
Net Accrued Farm Income	130,374	
Net wages	30,000	
Living costs	60,000	
Income tax	15,000	
Debt Service Capacity	127,301	
(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation + term interest + non farm income - living costs - income tax)		

Payments	
Principal	44,770
Interest	24,323
Total	69,093

Notes on Return on Assets and Equity:

Equity Ratio

- the percentage returns shown above are after living costs are paid. Before living costs, the returns on assets and equity are:

0.78

- Return on Assets = 5.20%
- *Return on Equity = 5.57%*

- 9. The Kattels have always wondered if they would be better off purchasing their feed rather than producing it. In this option, they do that, and sell the equipment not now needed, giving up the rented land, and renting out surplus land.
- 10. Debt is significantly reduced with this change, and leverage and equity ratios also improve.
- 11. Profitability from farm operations slightly drops from \$131,134 in the projection before the change to \$130,374 in the second year of the plan.
- 12. The change means that both Michael and Martha will be able to have non farm jobs. They anticipate that Michael will now earn about \$10,000 after tax from non farm income in addition to Martha's projected \$20,000 in the second year of the plan.
- 13. Cash flow in this plan should be strong. No operating funding should be required in the second year of the plan, and surplus cash from farm and non farm sources should be available for investment into non farm investments or further reduction of debt.

Scenario 2 Scenario 3 Scenario 4 Scenario 5

Scenario 5: The Action Plan -

In this scenario, the Action Plan is to terminate farm operations, sell livestock and equipment and rent the land out. Michael and Martha would both then obtain full time non farm employments. The Action Plan then, is to:

- Calve out, and continue to feed the owned cows until about May 1, then sell as cow/calf pairs.
- After the cows are sold, sell remaining feed.
- In the spring, sell all equipment other than a front end loader tractor, the quad, tools and the farm pickup trucks. Total proceeds from the sale are projected to be \$299,300.
- Sell the grazing lease for \$250,000 prior to the grazing season.
- Use the proceeds from the sale of equipment, livestock and grazing lease to retire all debt.
 Surplus funds after the sale of \$250,000 will be put into an investment expected to return 5%. It it is expected there should be further funds to invest in Year 2 and the years following.
- Terminate the lease on the privately held land.
- Rent all the land out.
- Both Michael and Martha obtain full time employment. As the equipment will be sold in the spring, and the cattle in May, they won't be increase their scale of employment until after the sales. Net non farm income is expected to be about \$40,000 in Year 1 and \$70,000 in Year 2.

Operations were projected for 2 years based on these changes.	The results
follow:	

After Change Projection (Year 1)			
Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016) Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2016)			
Income		Expense	
Livestock sales		Livestock expense	31,800
calves 24 x 1,800	43,200	Cropping expense	0
cull cows 249 x 2,500	622,500	Overhead expense	5,680
repl. hfrs 50 x 2,000	100,000	Operating interest	0
bulls 10 3,000	30,000	Term interest	14,588
Total	795,700	Total Cash Expense	52,068
Crop sales		Non Cash Expense	
oats 2000 x 2.80	5,600	Depreciation	18,819
haybales 400 x 50	20,000	Exp. side acc. adj.	72,007
Total	25,600	Total Non Cash Expense	90,826
Other income		Total Accrued Expense	142,894
Land rental	44,000	Net Accrued Farm Income	23,006
Gov't programs	0	Interest	9,375
Custom work	1,000	Net wages	40,000
Other	4,800	Living costs	65,000
Total	49,800	Income tax	175,000
Total Cash Income	871,100	Debt Service Capacity	-134,212
Inc. side accrual adjustments		(Net acc. farm inc. + depreciation + term interest + non farm income	
Total Accrued Income	165,900	- living costs - income tax)	
Profitability Ratios:		Payments	
Debt Service Ratio:	-9.20	Principal	0
Return on Assets:	-1.48%	Interest	14,588
Return on Equity:	-2.13%	Total	14,588
Max. operating loan required:	none		
Closing Financial Ratios:			
Current Ratio	n/a		
Debt to Equity Ratio	0.00		
Equity Ratio	1.00		

Income (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017) Income		Expenses (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2017) Expense	!
Livestock sales		Livestock expense	0
none	0	Cropping expense	0
		Overhead expense	5,680
		Operating interest	0
		Term interest	0
Total	0	Total Cash Expense	5,680
Crop sales		Non Cash Expense	
none	0	Depreciation	12,609
		Exp. side acc. adj.	0
Total	0	Total Non Cash Expense	12,609
Other income		Total Accrued Expense	18,289
Land rental	44,000	Net Accrued Farm Income	31,511
Gov/t programs	0	Interest	12,500
Custom work	1,000	Net wages	70,000
Other	4,800	Living costs	70,000
Total	49,800	Income tax	8,000
Total Cash Income	49,800	Debt Service Capacity	48,620
Inc. side accrual adjustments	0	(Net acc. farm inc. + depre + term interest + non farm i	
Total Accrued Income	49,800	- living costs - income tax)	

Profitability Ratios:	
Debt Service Ratio:	no debt
Return on Assets:	-1.92%
Return on Equity:	-1.92%
Max. operating loan required:	none
· · · ·	

Closing Financial Ratios:	
Current Ratio	n/a
Debt to Equity Ratio	0.00
Equity Ratio	1.00

Payments	
Principal	0
Interest	0
Total	0

Notes on Return on Assets and Equity:

- the percentage returns shown above are before interest income after living costs are paid. Before living costs, the returns on assets and equity (farm and investment) are:
 - $\circ \quad Return \ on \ Assets = 1.52\%$
 - $\circ \quad Return \ on \ Equity = 1.52\%$

- 14. The selloff of assets in this plan allows for all debt to be repaid, and leaves \$250,000 to invest. Projected return of 5% results in additional net income of \$9,375 in Year 1 and \$12,500 in Year 2. In the plan, payments after the changes are complete are essentially 0.
- 15. Transition from the present operation to the proposed operation is expected to bear some cost in terms of lost productivity in the change year, some set up costs, and tax liability.
- 16. Profitability from farm operations decreases from \$131,134 in the projection before the change to \$31,511 in the second year of the plan. After change, however, there will be interest income as well, as noted above.
- 17. The termination of farm operations means that both Michael and Martha will be able to have full time non farm jobs. They anticipate that net non farm income after tax for them together will increase from \$10,000/year to about \$70,000 in the second year of the Plan. Income tax payable on net farm and investment income is expected to decrease from \$15,000 per year at present to about \$8,000 per year with this change. With the higher levels of non farm employment, they expect living costs to increase slightly.
- 18. Cash flow in this plan should be strong. Surplus cash should accumulate over time, to invest, or be spent on items of personal interest.

Summary

Four alternative Scenarios have been developed for this Case Study. Each Scenario has it's own individual results, positive features and negative features. Please refer to the Summary document which displays the Financial Ratios and Indicators for each Scenario, and what are considered to be the Positives and Negatives of each relative to the Case Study presented and Business and Personal Goals of the Case Study Farmers.

Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Scenario 4 | Scenario 5 |